Special Feature

# CONNECT

## Squirrelled Away In The Food

3145 VD

I recommend avoiding the nut paste – there's something secret in it!

Anti-fertility vaccines do exist - and are widely in use. Many recipients of these vaccines have no idea that they are being prevented from giving birth – that for them, at least, there will be no next generation.

Many people, organisations and businesses are involved in a long-term plan to reduce – or even, in some cases, prevent – breeding. The technology has existed for years, while the desire to reduce populations has existed for decades - or even centuries. As for informed consent, that's non-existent. Amazingly, all this has been revealed in an article in a local magazine.



2 In **April 2023's** edition of **The Local Answer**, a magazine that drops through letterboxes across Gloucestershire each month, there was an article called "Protecting Native Species." The article's writer was **Dorothy Glen**, who writes a regular column called "Wildlife Matters." The article can be read online at <u>Pets and Wildlife</u>.

D.Glen's article was about the threat to native species of crayfish and squirrels. She explained that red squirrels are in danger of becoming extinct in England within ten years. The reason is – grey squirrels, which - as is well known are not a native species in the UK. They were introduced into this country in the same way that American signal crayfish were introduced - by humans.

It seems reasonable – to me at least – that it would surely be a good idea to consider before animal species are introduced into a new country – *what might the negative impact be on the existing native species?* 

This is especially so in the case of crayfish. It's common knowledge that our native red squirrels have disappeared from all but a few places in England, Ireland and Wales because of the grey squirrel. According to an article on the **Natural History Museum's** website, <u>Squirrels in the UK: what they are up</u> to, when and why, the grey squirrel was introduced as long ago as 150 years. That's a long time in which to work out that doing the same thing with crayfish might be a bad idea – one to be avoided. Just a thought...

3 So, given that human meddling is the reason that red squirrels are threatened with extinction, I wasn't exactly happy to find out from D.Glen's article that this problem is now to be solved - by FURTHER human meddling! Such meddling being, that grey squirrels are going to be put on The Pill.

Now, I'd be delighted to see red squirrels returning in healthy numbers to our country... although presumably, at some point, the UK gov't will decide that THEIR numbers need to be "managed." At which point, they'll presumably also be put on The Pill. How fortunate it is that the cost of this new human meddling is – surprisingly - lower than the cost of culling.

D.Glen's article sums up how this depopulation plan will involve enforced contraception, with a total absence of informed consent. If I were a squirrel I'd have something to say about this!

<u>The UK Squirrel Accord</u> and DEFRA are trialling the use of an oral contraceptive for grey squirrels that will be placed in feeding boxes, *squirrelled away* in yummy-sounding hazelnut paste so the poor unsuspecting squirrels don't know they're eating the stuff.

The UK Squirrel Accord [UKSA], a UK-wide partnership of conservation and forestry organisations, government agencies and companies, linked to red squirrel community groups, was instigated by – as he is now - King Charles III while **DEFRA** is the UK government's Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs.

In my article on Connect - <u>A Rural Whodunnit?</u> – M-3125 UB, I expressed my concern about DEFRA's apparent lack of an ability to tell animal species apart and DEFRA's lack of compassion for dogs – albeit hunting hounds. So, this wasn't exactly good news.

Given this - what could possibly go wrong with lacing hazelnut paste with a contraceptive and leaving it lying around?

4 How about the following:

## Red squirrels may well also eat the hazelnut paste – and will also be unable to reproduce.

There's no suggestion in the Natural History Museum article <u>Squirrels in the</u> <u>UK: what they are up to, when and why</u> that the two species of squirrels eat different things. The article says that grey squirrels predominantly seek out high-calorie seeds such as acorns, beech nuts, hazelnuts, sweet chestnuts and walnuts but that red squirrels eat these seeds too. It also says that squirrels eat flowers, fungi, shoots and some plant bulbs, as well as, sometimes, insects, birds' eggs and even nestlings [young birds which can't yet fly].

However, as UKSA explains on the <u>Fertility control research</u> page of its website, the Animal and Plant Health Agency [APHA] - which is carrying out the research is developing a species-specific feeder. The idea is that, in grey-squirrel-only areas, the feeders will have a weighted door to prevent any red squirrels that are there from getting to the bait. That is, almost any red squirrel - although a very large red squirrel could get to the bait. So, the plan is that only grey squirrels will eat the stuff on the basis they are – generally – heavier than the reds.

APHA is a part of DEFRA – so fingers crossed.

### Some squirrels will later be eaten by other animals – which will also be unable to reproduce.

The Botstiber Institute for Wildlife Fertility Control – which aims to advance the use of effective, sustainable fertility control methods to mitigate humanwildlife conflicts and promote coexistence worldwide – provides some fascinating <u>fact sheets</u> on its website.

One of its fact sheets is called <u>Frequently Asked Questions</u> [FAQs]. This document contains one - very brief - reference to the issue of contraceptives being placed into the food chain.

The answer to the question – Can people consume animals treated with contraceptives? – is - after being ingested, the vaccines are digested, so they are safe for human consumption.

Really? I'd have thought that if the vaccines are so easily digested that they don't remain in the animal's body, they wouldn't be effective in preventing reproduction.

I'd also have thought that this extremely real issue requires a lengthy and detailed explanation, providing reassurance that there will be no adverse effect on humans who eat animals that have ingested the vaccine. That is, an evidenced explanation, not simply a statement.

But this issue, of course, goes way beyond the issue of humans eating animals. While I have no plans to eat a squirrel, that's not a view shared by all animals. Squirrels in the UK are prey to badgers, pine martens – where they exist - and birds of prey. They can even fall victim to a cat or dog – or other squirrels. They're part of the food chain – as we all are – although humans usually overlook this point, especially in the UK where's a lack of bears, wolves and tigers.

So - contraceptive vaccines end up in other animals and birds. Although grey squirrels have yet to be put on The Pill, plenty of other animals are already on it. The Botstiber Institute <u>fact sheets</u> make it clear that this type of fertility control is being used to "manage" the populations of many animal species.

Such species include wild horses, deer, pigeons, rodents, koalas, kangaroos even primates and elephants. It seems that for "iconic species such as elephants, primates and feral horses, fertility control is the most publicly acceptable method of population control.

Given that killing is the only alternative on offer, is this any surprise?

#### Then there's the matter of the wider ecosystem - even more concerning.

What goes into the body usually ends up coming out - although there is growing doubt about whether that's true for certain ingredients in the Covid-19 injections. A link at the end, under **Further Reading**, goes to a repository of articles on that topic.

Wild animals, bless 'em, have a habit of leaving their droppings around – I can't imagine why – but they do. Whether those droppings get eaten by other forms of wildlife or not – in any event, those droppings are now in the ecosystem. The stuff that has been put into animals is going into the soil, plants and trees. Even if the droppings were removed by the waste operatives, the people formerly known as bin men, they'd go into landfill [the droppings, not the waste operatives], so they'd still be in the ecosystem. Even I can see this – and I was no star at Biology A-level.

5 Some readers may perhaps feel sorry for animals who – without any informed consent [or even uninformed, for that matter] have their fertility controlled.

But is it only non-human animals who have their fertility controlled – or prevented – by contraceptive vaccines?

Of course it isn't. A **1994** document on <u>Vaccination for contraception</u> on the US National Institute of Health's website makes clear that vaccines for human birth control were, at that time, well on their way to being used. Interestingly, the paper – which was published in an Australian and New Zealand journal - refers to such a form of birth control being used *both in developing countries and in a developed country such as Australia*. The paper also said that concerns had been expressed about *birth control vaccines being abused by health authorities in developing countries and being used in vulnerable groups such as aborigines in our own country [Australia]*.

Now, why would people in **1994** have been concerned about birth control vaccines being abused – particularly with indigenous people? Let's see - could it be because of the many instances in which people – often in so-called developing countries – have been allegedly abused by being injected with a raft of different substances?

Many people dismiss such stories as so-called conspiracy theories – but they're wrong to do so. These stories are well documented, including by establishment sources.

A 2017 paper called <u>The Introduction of Diphtheria-Tetanus-Pertussis and</u> <u>Oral Polio Vaccine Among Young Infants in an Urban African Community: A</u> <u>Natural Experiment</u> describes a study on the introduction of diphtheriatetanus-pertussis [DTP] and oral polio vaccine [OPV] in the West African nation of Guinea-Bissau in the early **1980s**. This is another paper on the US National Institute of Health's **NIH** website – part of the US government. Five times more children who had been given the DTP vaccine died than unvaccinated children. Safe and effective? Obviously, it wasn't safe, but as for the question of whether it was effective, the answer depends surely on – what was intended for the injection to do in the children? The paper also says that no prospective study has shown that the DTP vaccine has any benefit – to children's health and survival, that is – but DTP is the most widely used vaccine and is even used as the measure of compliance by African nations. Nations that don't fully comply are financially punished by GAVI – so, by **Bill Gates**.

Even Wikipedia has an article called **Medical experimentation in Africa**, one instance being <u>forced contraception</u> in the form of testing a new form of birth control on black African women. The US government meanwhile has admitted that it carried out the <u>Tuskegee Syphilis Study</u>, a **forty-year experiment** on black men. This alone is stunning evidence of what governments and health authorities are capable of doing to humans – and its own people at that – and this experiment only ended after it was leaked to the press.

A few links to other stories of the abuse of humans, using the tools of medicine – usually vaccines or at least, products which are called vaccines – are listed at the end under **Further Reading**. Many of these instances also involve Gates - being projects funded through his **Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation**.

One question that might be asked is – was the Tuskegee Syphilis Study motivated in part by an intention to reduce the number of black people? We'll possibly never know, but we DO know that the US government DOES have an agenda for human depopulation. It's an agenda supported by a lot of people and I'm not talking about reducing human numbers by voluntary management - such as choosing to have smaller families. Isn't it interesting, by the way, that Bill Gates <u>infamously linked vaccines to a</u> <u>reduction in the human population?</u>

6 One person who supports depopulation is **Henry Kissinger**. The cat's definitely out of the bag about Kissinger's agenda of a New World Order which benefits a small number of people. How ironic this appears to be, given that Kissinger is a Jew who, along with his family, escaped the rising Nazism in Germany in **1938**.

Kissinger's views on how the world should be have been reported not only by the indie media such as Global Research in <u>Henry Kissinger, the World Economic Forum and Population Control</u> and James Corbett in <u>FLASHBACK</u>: <u>Meet Henry Kissinger (2009)</u> but by establishment sources include Office of the Historian and even Wikipedia.

Wikipedia has placed a comment on its article about <u>National Security Study</u> <u>Memorandum 200: Implications of Worldwide Population Growth for U.S.</u> <u>Security and Overseas Interests</u>. The comment is intended to cast doubt about the content of that article – but we can ignore the comments since, there's plenty of evidence of not only Kissinger's but the US government's depopulation agenda on the website of The Office of the Historian. This office is directed by the US Department of State – so this is the US government telling us about its own agenda.

The National Security Study, also known as the "Kissinger Report", was completed in **1974** by the United States National Security Council under Kissinger's direction, following **President Nixon's** orders. Among many other documents relating to this depopulation agenda that can be found on the Office of the Historian's website is a memorandum called <u>NSC-U/DM-130</u> from the Chairman of the National Security Council Under Secretaries <u>Committee to President Ford on December 14, 1974</u>. Its recommendations for the US government include that it should plan to achieve the following:

A global target for **replacement fertility levels** – *downwards, to be clear.* Increased funding and support for worldwide research into **low-cost, efficient and acceptable fertility control methods** – *acceptable to whom, one might ask?* 

Delivery of basic health services - including **family planning - to poorer developing countries** – *Planned Parenthood springs immediately to mind*. All the above, of course, was to be led by – and benefit – the USA, as is clear from an earlier Department of State meeting - <u>Memorandum of</u> <u>Conversation, Washington, June 8, 1973</u>. At this meeting, it was stated that the "population explosion" in developing countries was a threat to US economic interests - and also, more fundamentally, *presented a danger to US politico-military interests.* 

7 Given that there's an agenda in play to reduce the number of people on the planet – *depopulation* – could the same method of fertility control that's overtly in use in animals – including in future, squirrels – be covertly used on humans?

Apart from avian contraceptives, all the methods described in the Botstiber Institute for Wildlife Fertility Control's fact sheets are effective for a wide range of mammals.

Let's look at one of them - <u>GonaCon</u>, which is a "mammalian contraceptive/sterilant." It works as a gonadotropin-releasing hormone [GnRH] vaccine. GnRH is a hormone in animals' bodies – including humans that is essential for the production of sex hormones such as estrogen, progesterone and testosterone. GonaCon stimulates the production of antibodies that bind to GnRH, thus reducing GnRH's ability to stimulate the release of sex hormones.

The result - in animals – is a *decrease in all sexual activity.* That's how it works. Animals won't mate, or will mate less often, because there's no point – it won't lead to a pregnancy.

What might happen if humans were fed GonaCon or a similar contraceptive oral vaccine? I'd imagine the dose would be lower for humans, because otherwise, the effect would be noticeable. If the dose were right, people might be less interested in sexual activity but might not notice that they're less interested. Men and women would both be less fertile and combined with decreased sexual activity, there'd surely be a fall in the birth rate.

If on the other hand, humans were being fed a high dose of GonaCon or similar, designed to bring the population right down quickly, we'd see the effects. For one thing, people might be less clearly male or female.

Hang on a sec... isn't this *exactly* what we're seeing? People being confused over what sex they are, as reported by The Guardian in <u>'An explosion': what is behind the rise in girls questioning their gender</u> <u>identity?</u> and by TCW in <u>The mind-blowing evil of slicing off young girls'</u> <u>breasts</u>. The picture is being confused to a large degree by gender identity ideology, which helps to cause and perpetuate such confusion.

A drastic fall in birth rates and male and female fertility and a shocking increase in miscarriage and stillbirths. This picture is being confused to some degree by the possibility of the cause being C19 injections. How ironic it would be that if the real cause were something else – something else that had been going on for much longer!

8 Returning to that casual remark by **Bill Gates** about <u>vaccines reducing the</u> <u>world's population</u>, here's some more food for thought – as if any were needed!

GonaCon is described on the fact sheet as a vaccine. That fact sheet was dated **August 2019**. Before the definition of "vaccine" was changed – which was in **May 2019**, shortly before that fact sheet was published - **pregnancy would have had to be a disease for GonaCon to be a vaccine**.

This is because, according to <u>USA Today's "fact check</u>," Merriam-Webster's definition of a "vaccine" before **May 2019** was:

"a preparation of killed microorganisms, living attenuated organisms, or living fully virulent organisms that is administered to produce or artificially increase immunity to a particular disease."

<u>Merriam-Webster's definition of "vaccine"</u> now is two definitions.

The second definition is the one that allows GonaCon to be called a vaccine: "a preparation or immunotherapy that is used to stimulate the body's immune response against noninfectious substances, agents, or diseases."

So - a vaccine is now anything that causes an immune response by the body.

That includes, to me, anything which results in a *dis-ease caused by the body's defences.* 

Food for thought, indeed.

9 It seems to me that control of fertility – whether of squirrels, humans or any other animals – is not safe in the hands of governments and governmentdirected scientists. Such people lack humility – how dare they offer people a stark choice when it comes to animal species of "we'll either kill them or stop them from breeding"? Their God-complex is on show here!

Furthermore, there is a lack of interest in the bigger picture. Everything – as I said above – goes somewhere. It doesn't simply disappear conveniently. Yet the organisations who are playing God with non-human species act as if what they do to one animal will have no effect on other animals, the food chain, or the ecosystem.

10 Speaking of the God-complex, just before this article went to press a new claim was published by the **World Health Organisation** [WHO] on the home page of its website - <u>1 in 6 people globally affected by infertility</u>.

At first sight, this statistic might support the idea that contraceptives are in people's food as well as animals' food. I'll return to this idea - as well as the WHO's research on infertility - in a future article.

Meanwhile, infertility is not presented by the WHO as a threat to the human race. Rather, infertility is a breach of our human rights and a disease that requires treatment – *and funding* – *lots of funding*. This appears to be a typical problem – reaction – solution situation! They cause a problem – they gain a reaction – and they provide a solution.

The WHO's God-complex is painfully clear in its report on infertility – <u>Infertility Prevalence Estimates, 1990–2021</u>. The foreword says [the embolding is mine]:

This report, the first of its kind in a decade, reveals an important truth: *infertility does not discriminate.* 

That's one way of putting it.

Another way of putting it is that people don't get to choose when – or even whether – they become biological parents.

The WHO, however, clearly does not accept this absurd idea. The WHO appears to believe that a child can be ordered from Amazon and will be delivered by drone – or stork, perhaps – an hour or two later. No doubt with the parents' choice of hair, colour, eye colour and of course IQ level.



Infertility isn't, according to the WHO, an inability to reproduce. Rather, it's defined as *an inability to produce a child exactly when the parents want to produce a child*.

Apparently, according to the WHO's rights-based approach to becoming parents, we should be able to choose not only the number but also the exact timing of our offspring. If this isn't a God-complex– what is?

PS1 All the above quoted references are included in the CONNECT LIBRARY. The Library is our Records Repository where articles on this and other related subjects can be found. Also to be found are articles linked together in strings. The strings relate to particular threads – connecting articles across different subjects and topics.

#### The CONNECT LIBRARY

We have compiled a library of interesting articles across a wide range of subjects - and they are all accessible to our interested readers. They take the form of webpages, PDFs, audios and videos.

Some of the articles have been published by CONNECT but many have simply been catalogued for general reference and expanded research.

Further articles relative to the subjects covered in *this* magazine article can be read under their respective headings, in our different Reading Rooms.

The CONNECT Library is free to join and is being added to every week. <u>Register here today</u> and gain access to thousands of articles on your subjects of interest – using it as your reference library to return to whenever you wish.

#### **CONNECT'S Maxim and Oath**

Connect is only interested in finding and sharing the TRUTH. In search of that TRUTH, we only pose questions – we have no answers.

By: Helen King

Source: CONNECT: Magazine

- 2 LINK The Local Answer: Pets and Wildlife
- 2 LINK Natural History Museum: Squirrels in the UK: what they are up to, when and why
- 3 LINK The UK Squirrel Accord
- 3 LINK Connect: A Rural Whodunnit?: M- 3125 UB
- 4 LINK The UK Squirrel Accord: Fertility control research
- 4 LINK Botstiber Institute for Wildlife Fertility Control: Fact Sheets
- 4 LINK Botstiber Institute for Wildlife Fertility Control: FAQs

5	<u>LINK</u>	National Institutes of Health: Vaccination for contraception
5	<u>LINK</u>	National Institutes of Health: The Introduction of Diphtheria- Tetanus-Pertussis and Oral Polio Vaccine Among Young Infants in an Urban African Community: A Natural Experiment Wikipedia: Medical experimentation in Africa - forced contraception
5	<u>LINK</u>	
5	<u>LINK</u>	Wikipedia: Tuskegee Syphilis Study
5	<u>LINK</u>	<b>BitChute:</b> Bill Gates talks 1 Billion Population Reduction Through Vaccines At TED Talk
6	<u>LINK</u>	<b>Global Research:</b> Henry Kissinger, the World Economic Forum and Population Control
6	<u>LINK</u>	James Corbett: FLASHBACK: Meet Henry Kissinger (2009)
6	<u>LINK</u>	<b>Wikipedia:</b> National Security Study Memorandum 200: Implications of Worldwide Population Growth for U.S. Security and Overseas Interests
6	<u>LINK</u>	<b>Office Of The Historian:</b> Memorandum NSC–U/DM–130 From the Chairman of the National Security Council Under Secretaries Committee (Ingersoll) to President Ford, Washington, 14Dec1974
6	<u>LINK</u>	Office Of The Historian: Memorandum of Conversation, Washington, 8June1973
7	<u>LINK</u>	Botstiber Institute for Wildlife Fertility Control: Fact Sheet: GonaCon
7	<u>LINK</u>	<b>The Guardian:</b> 'An explosion': what is behind the rise in girls questioning their gender identity?
7	<u>LINK</u>	<b>TCW:</b> The mind-blowing evil of slicing off young girls' breasts
8 8	<u>LINK</u> LINK	<b>USA Today:</b> Fact check: Missing context in claim that Merriam- Webster changed 'vaccine' definition <b>Merriam-Webster</b> : Vaccine - definition
9	<u>LINK</u>	World Health Organisation: 1 in 6 people globally affected by infertility: WHO
9	<u>LINK</u>	World Health Organisation: Infertility Prevalence Estimates, 1990–
		2021 FURTHER READING
А	<u>LINK</u>	Connect: Infertility By Vaccines? - SC-3135A
В	<u>LINK</u>	Connect: Why Is The Birth Rate Diving? - OP-3135A
С	<u>LINK</u>	The History Book: Bill Gates
D	<u>LINK</u>	The History Book: Vaccines
E	<u>LINK</u>	LifeSite News: The Bill Gates Effect: WHO's DTP vaccine killed more children in Africa than the diseases it targeted
F	<u>LINK</u>	<b>VacTruth:</b> Minimum of 40 Children Paralyzed After New Meningitis Vaccine
G	<u>LINK</u>	Great Game India: How Bill Gates Funded NGO PATH Killed Tribal Girls In India In Unauthorised Clinical Trials
Н	<u>LINK</u>	<b>News Punch:</b> New Gates Polio Vaccine Linked to Paralysis Outbreaks in Africa: Officials Confirm 7 Children Paralyzed

