Special Feature

CONNECT

A Stocktake Of Oddities: A-Z p1

3205 YC

So many oddities – raising so many questions -but who will answer them?

You've probably noticed in the same way I have – and particularly over the last three years – there exists in the world some right, real oddities. They're things that hit us as not making sense. Our reaction though isn't intelligent.

I mean that in a kindly sense, since most of us – if not all – are just too busy to ask the questions we all have in our heads – so we DON'T get to voice them. It's all too easy to accept that someone more informed must know the rational answer – but do they? And can we trust them anyway? If our leaders had a wrong agenda they're following, would we know it – would we care?



- Well, why wouldn't we care? But we would, wouldn't we! We would care as I firmly believe because the oddities I'm referring to are all the big things in life that are affecting us in a big way in how we live our lives. Not least, because nearly ALL of them have a monetary effect on us and that is reason enough for anyone counting their pennies.
- So, let's take it that we do care AND we have questions we wish to voice. So, how do we voice those questions exactly?

Well, there was a time when others were voicing those questions for us. They were called journalists and they printed their questions in the popular press. That press in more recent times has come to be known as the Mainstream Media **MSM** of course. Those same journalists would put politicians on the spot – often in front of cameras – to answer the questions some of us had in our heads. It was a reasonable system and was even

entertaining in its own way. I'm thinking back several decades now in all honestv.

It was the time when the BBC really seemed to be on their game. They had journalists like **Robin Day** and **Jeremy Paxman**, to name but two – quizzing politicians who would almost visibly shake in front of their probing inquisitor.

As an example, Jeremy Paxman can be seen doing some grilling, in his inimitable style, albeit a little softened at the edges – of someone with more questions than answers. His interview with **Russell Brand** starts with Jeremy being critical of Russell for not voting in parliamentary elections. How positively unreasonable is that you might be thinking – not to vote? Actually, Russell does have a point. I mean - if there's nothing in the baker's shop any of us want to buy – we leave without buying - don't we? If we all did that with elections, what might happen – do you think? To keep voting for these people says we are happy with these people. And who is – really?

Paxman vs Russell Brand - full interview - BBC Newsnight

Perhaps now, a new political system is required and we could all be involved in creating it as I think Russell is in favour of. Given that the topic is too large for any one person to fully grasp, the idea of inviting everyone to participate sounds like a good one.

The thing is, today the likes of **Day** and **Paxman** have long since gone and the MSM has been thoroughly captured. See the Media heading below for a stocktake on where we have come to.

So today, we do not have journalists asking questions for us. Neither do we have politicians asking questions for us – which is even more concerning in its way. Oh, there is one – or maybe two – who are prepared to stand up and stick their necks out. In doing that – one MP recently stuck his neck out too far and too often. He got it sliced by his party. **Andrew Bridgen** - the most outspoken MP in the UK parliament today – was ejected from the Tory party for asking too many difficult questions and sharing some most uncomfortable sounding quotes - which were truth bombs in reality. He is the frontrunner in his field by a clear mile. He shows himself to have the intelligence, integrity and - above all – understanding and full awareness that he holds the position he does for and on behalf of ALL the people. That is the People in his constituency and the wider population of Britain. This is indeed a thoroughly rare thing among today's politicians.

He talks about his ejection from the Tory party -and his view on parliament today - in an interview with **Dr John Campbell**.

Here's a thing! The video was posted on Dr Campbell's YouTube channel. This is the link: Mr Andrew Bridgen MP

Try it!

YouTube declared that it breached YouTube guidelines and took it down. Now <u>try this one</u>.

This platform is proving to be one of the safe places to go to for truth. YouTube on the other hand is proving to be something else altogether.

If someone didn't want us to hear these messages, then that someone would attempt to silence them – and that someone might even succeed.

I expect you will have heard the previous PM for New Zealand speak about the ONLY source of TRUTH and all the *misinformation* on the internet.

This clip is from Sky News Australia: in an article titled - <a href="Jacinda Ardern quickly becoming the Great Reset's high priestess as the movement's slow march towards big government control rumbles on.

Speaking of silencing, there's another story which is being replicated in different countries. The UK is following right behind – as you will already know if you are getting your news from the currently unsilenced oasis for real news on the internet. CONNECT calls this *ALTERNATIVE* to the **MSM** The People's Media **TPM**.

This story is from <u>The People's Voice</u>: in an article titled - *Ireland Passes Law Making It ILLEGAL To Read Non-Mainstream News Sources*

WOW – Can this really be true? Check it out for yourself. And do not think this clamping down behaviour is only going on in Ireland. No sir – it's pretty much everywhere that counts - and you might wonder why?!

So, the basic and FIRST question, among many, is this. If journalists are not asking the questions rolling around in OUR heads – and neither are those MPs in parliament who should represent us – who then do we turn to - to ask these questions? A very good question!

Well, looking at it through my lens – there is NO ONE!

And that Ladies and Gentlemen, is the fundamental problem of this age.

There is no one in place to hold politicians – and any puppet masters attached to them – to account. And because politicians know this, there is nothing to prevent politicians doing anything they choose. And they are.

People and their questions are being silenced – as you will have seen above.

This article is not though about what we might do about this state of affairs - as a voting and non-voting public. And in my view, the answer does not reside in compiling and submitting petitions – so genteel an act as that is. It does not mean taking to the streets with pitchforks either – so unsavoury. Although, I do confess that the latter might have a positive visual effect in drawing attention to the problem. The French are very good at this stuff – although I could not condone damage to property or persons.

In my view, these two forms of actions – one paper-based and the other street-based - only reinforce the idea that there are those who are in Control of US all - and the People ARE those who are controlled.

The truth is – once we realise it – the exact opposite of that notion. **William Keytes** explains the principle in a talk with **Richard Vobes**. The article is titled: <u>This is BIG - with William Keytes</u>.

We have to remember that it is the politicians who work for the People. Politicians are in place to do the People's bidding – not the other way around. As I said earlier, **Andrew Bridgen** understands this concept very well indeed.

I have the vision of a scene from the film **V from Vendetta** in my head now. **Guy Fawkes** might one day be looked at rather differently in years to come – as viewers of the film might already do.

Take a listen to the video speech by the protagonist in the film - V for Vendetta (2005) - V on TV Scene (2/8) | Movieclips. A full transcript of V's speech is provided in a pdf below. It's an interesting listen and an interesting read.

There is a much more powerful way to achieve the equilibrium we would all desire – by peaceful means - and I will cover this another time.

Instead, this article is about the oddities that exist – oddities that:

- 1. too few questions are being asked about.
- 2. any questions asked are being slowly silenced or deleted.
- 3. deserve being explained and all questions answered in detail.
- Now the truth is, the **A-Z p1** list below, could be much longer. The full list is long and is getting longer with the passing of every week. But what I've done in the interest of brevity, is to script a few explanatory notes about each story mentioned and provide a link or two to particular pieces of information. There are many more stories and more oddities under the same and similar subject headings. I will return another time to expand the list for those interested to see them again in summary form in the next version **A-Z p2**.

Please note, that I have not personally verified the accuracy of the information provided. What I can say, however, is that the information does not stand alone. In each example there are several, if not many articles, containing corroborating information which provide a level of verification to the information provided. My window for deeper questioning stays open.

What I would impress upon all readers to do, is to delve into the subjects of particular interest to them and verify what they can as a result.

The bottom line with each of the stories mentioned is this. The questions raised about the different subjects are of sufficient seriousness that they deserve to be looked at and questioned in detail. Maybe some will prove ultimately to be totally inaccurate - and maybe not. Frankly, I doubt they will prove to be inaccurate, but I leave that door of possibility open, as one always should. What is clear in my own view, is that each story warrants a detailed review, with the right level of questioning asked and all questions answered to the full satisfaction of all concerned.

How such a review might come about – facilitating the questions being asked and answered - is a topic for another day.

So, with that said, I invite you to pick a subject from the list of six below. You might begin your search for corroborating information with a visit to a records depository: The History Book – **THB**.

6A ENERGY - HIDDEN TRUTHS

I thought to start with this subject as it's one which so few of us know about or understand. This perhaps is partly due to certain facts – and if not facts, certain ideas – that have been covered up or hidden.

We're now told – by the WEF and pretty much every state around the world – that we have to wean ourselves off carbon-based fuels. The reasons for this have been shouted loudly – but never clearly. But it's interesting how indepth discussion on the subject is not allowed. I say this because, top-flight scientists, who have challenging views about climate change, get silenced. In an intelligent society there would be a fully open and transparent debate. And those debates on the subject would involve the best heads around the entire world. That hasn't happened as far as many can tell and is unlikely to happen under the current system. What does that tell us?

But with all that said, there's a fascinating story about power generation here. The thing is – most people will have no knowledge of it. Hmm. Why could that be - we might wonder?

Stanley Meyer: <u>Invented a car engine powered by water</u>

Also look up The Joe Cell and Nikola Tesla.

The Joe Cell also powered a car with water.

Nikola Tesla powered a car with electricity extracted from the atmosphere 100yrs ago. These discoveries and inventions were silenced and shut down. **Dr Richard Greer** tells us that Nikola Tesla showed his discovery of tapping into FREE and CLEAN energy to American financier, **JP Morgan.** Morgan's response was "*If I can't meter it – I don't want it.*"

And neither should the rest of us apparently! Why - we are left to wonder?

Under the heading of green energy generation, a watch of two documentaries will be quite educational. Trees are safe-depositories of the carbon – a substance which some say is very bad to have in the atmosphere. So, tree logging for firewood would be bad – we'd guess. Not so bad perhaps, if the trees were replaced by replanting. But are they – and isn't there a natural, unavoidable and significant time gap?

How green is it to have one of the UK's major power generation plants – and one subsidised by UK taxpayers - powered by wood chips sourced as a result of cutting down prime forests – in Canada – 11,000 miles away by sea.

BBC: The Green Energy Scandal

Executives tell us they are not using prime forest timber – but instead waste cuttings of wood as a by-product from normal logging activities.

This documentary tells a different story.

The Fifth Estate: Wood from BC forests is burning to fuel UK energy needs This has more.

Any questions?

There is more on THB - Library and Bank - under Subjects and Topics.

6B ENERGY - ALTERNATIVE SOURCES

Sticking with energy generation we are all being told that green sources of energy are the way to go. They tell us about wind farms – and we have all noticed them across the skyline – and solar panels – and we have seen these spreading out like blankets of snow across once fertile fields producing food for us all. Instead of these blankets disappearing within a day or so of strengthening sunshine – these boys are here to stay. Or are they?

One famous documentary film maker got underneath this blanket and reported on some very interesting findings. It's another one of those oddities which sounded good in one way – but had an air of falseness about it in another.

Michael Moore: Planet Of The Humans

There are points raised in this film which deserve a lot of questions being asked. If only we had someone to ask!

Any questions?

There is more on THB - Library and Bank - under Subjects and Topics.

6C CANCER CURES - SUPPRESSED

Who doesn't know somebody that is currently afflicted or has died as a result of contracting this disease? The numbers of people must be off the charts and those numbers keep increasing at a scary rate. What has recently been adding to that rate, is the fall-out – as some say – from recent medical interventions connected with the C19 pandemic. And that is another subject.

There are numerous stories about cures for cancer and many are accessible on the internet. What they come accompanied by the accusation of them being quackery – at least that's what some people call them. Those people tend to be – as far as I can determine – the same people that have a vested interest in the status quo. It appears the only TRUTH about cancer and its cure – not that they have one yet – comes from Big Pharma. Unbelievable you may think.

Does anyone wonder if Big Pharma perhaps has a financial interest in prolonging the search? Asking for a friend, kind of idea.

One doctor has been called all kinds of things – has been harassed by medical boards and Big Pharma companies – taken to court numerous times by those same parties – but survives today to tell his story. And survive is what many of his patients have also done thanks to the doctor's discovery!

<u>Dr Stanislaw Burzynski</u>: Cancer is a serious business.

A recent article about the doctor's success in court is by <u>Principia Scientific</u>: *U.S. Govt Finally Releases Burzynski Cancer Cure Treatment!*

Any questions?

There is more on THB - Library and Bank - under Subjects and Topics.

6D COVID CURES - SUPPRESSED

There is much that has been written about the Covid pandemic and there is much still to be written about it. It seemed to many that from the *get-go* there were a number of oddities about the official narrative – that is the narrative that was laid down from the beginning. That official narrative seemed strangely similar to – if not uniform with – those narratives from other international sources. There is no doubt that there are benefits to be derived from experts working together across borders and doing so quickly. That is what all of us were led to believe had happened and – for a while – it sounded convincing.

But there were many commentators – doctors and scientists - that were not convinced. Among those doctors was a S.African born doctor based in the UK. Her name is **Dr Tess Lawrie** and her story began under this topic as a result of listening to another frontline doctor - **Dr Pierre Kory** - giving testimony to the US government about his findings. Those findings related to the treatments he was using to cure patients of symptoms allied to Covid19.

Dr Kory's findings were replicated and confirmed by thousands of similar frontline doctors around the world, all of whom achieved success with their patients as a result of using a combination of drugs and vitamins. At the centre of the treatments used was a drug called **Ivermectin**.

Ivermectin quickly proved to be safe and effective in treating C19 and was widely available and immensely cheap to produce. It was a drug recognised as such by the World Health Organisation **WHO** and had been administered in billions of doses to a worldwide population over a number of decades. The interview Dr Lawrie did with channel host **Del Bigtree** was revealing! The HighWire: Dr Tess Lawrie talks with Del Bigtree

The truth appears to be, Ivermectin – and another safe and effective drug **Hydroxychloroquine** – was discredited along with any doctors prescribing it. It appears that test results were skewed in order to prove that instead of the drug being a cure it was actually a danger to patients. Ivermectin was described by the **MSM** as a horse dewormer – which it is to be fair. But what the Mainstream Media failed to tell us all is that the human version of the drug cures people of C19. It practically returns people from the dead – it's said to be that good.

The result was that instead of a safe and effective alternative treatment being accepted as readily available for patients with C19, it was determined the only treatment that could be administered was one of prevention – an injection in other words in the form of brand-new technology with no track record of safety or effectiveness. The injection was hailed as the only path forward for the entire world population. Since its roll-out, the mRNA technology has proved to be less than safe and less than effective. Why is it all the governments around the world bought into it – and with our money too?

Oracle Films: Safe and Effective: A Second Opinion (2022)

Any questions?

There is more on THB - Library and Bank - under Subjects and Topics.

6E SOCIAL MEDIA - CENSORSHIP

It's widely accepted and understood, the first casualty in wartime is truth. Each opposing side kicks in with propaganda which is intended to win the hearts and minds of the home nation. Are there any adults left on the planet who are not aware of this fact? Probably not – but perhaps a few.

So, taking this principle and applying it to the war against the pandemic – starting as it did in 2020 - do we all believe that propaganda COULD HAVE been at play here also? I am of course accepting of the fact that the enemy is not another nation here – as it would be in the normal use of the word. The thing is, there was clearly an enemy at play - according to the government - and that enemy was identified to us all as being *misinformation*. And that *misinformation* was being put out on platforms not considered to be part of the MSM. The MSM has been the delivery system for most governmental communications on the subject and any other sources of information – labelled instantly as *misinformation*, for your information – were censored.

So, the enemy in the case of the plandemic was the opposing narrative which was at odds with the official narrative – the government's. Governments around the world were on to the tails of that enemy almost from the *off*, which instantly set some people's alarm bells ringing. It was another one of those oddities.

With something as serious as the pandemic – and something so apparently visible in its effects - one might think there was no room for a different narrative. Unlike the *elephant in the room* concept, the effects and strategies brought into play would be totally clear to everyone – thus no argument and NO different opposing narrative necessary. The thing was, however, there was an opposing narrative and there WAS an elephant in the room. It was the government which seemed NOT to recognise it. That elephant however wasn't the same one the government told us about every evening.

Listening to the government we were told that the hospital beds were full of C19 patients, as were the pop-up Nightingale Hospitals dotted around the UK's major cities. I spoke to a senior doctor at a Bristol hospital and specifically about the Nightingale Hospital set up on a university campus north of the city. The doctor said the pop-up hospital was empty and it stayed that way. His own hospital in the centre of Bristol was quiet and for two reasons. Few if any C19 patients - and other patients were turned away.

The following two videos were first posted on social media platforms. They were taken down by those same platforms – almost certainly under instruction from their controllers.

The woman who posted the video, about Gloucester Hospital, was subsequently arrested by police in her home. That presumably was to make an example of her. Only the official narrative is permitted to get out. Worrying - I would call that - as I suspect you might think also. Lady films empty Gloucester Royal Hospital in UK - and got arrested.

This second video is an interview by a nurse working in the south-west of England. Presumably, this lady wasn't arrested as her identity was hidden for purposes of the interview.

Nurse speaks out, empty beds, and no C19 cases in Devon hospitals UK

The opposing narrative, of the type I'm pointing to above, could have been rebuffed easily by the government – in principle. This might have been done by showing how things actually were in other hospitals – wheeling out medical staff and patients to confirm what the government were telling us all. That didn't happen of course. And neither did the government respond to the questions asked of it – to explain what was actually going on in the hospitals in the south-west, Gloucester and Bristol.

So, if the above two videos are an indication of the blanking of information by social media platforms, we would have to wonder – and ask – how far does this blanking go? From my own perspective I can say this. It is extensive! And that gets nowhere near the truth of it. To see something sensitive about C19 on YouTube is practically unheard of today – it's shut down. The story above - **Dr John Campbell** and **Andrew Bridgen MP** - is a classic example.

But before leaving this topic, do take a look at this next story.

Infowars: How Twitter colluded with Biden regime to rig and censor debate

The antidote to this problem of truth and the burying of truth is questions. If governments fail to answer those questions in full - and to everyone's satisfaction - then they could hardly blame us for making our own determinations about that thing we call TRUTH.

Any questions?

There is more on THB - Library and Bank - under Subjects and Topics.

6F MEDIA – AND THE ROLE IT PLAYS IN MESSAGING

There was a time when people had huge respect for journalists and the organisations they worked for. Perhaps in prime place among them was old auntie – otherwise known as the Beeb, or more formerly the BBC. They were considered top notch and untouchable as far as the British public were concerned – and maybe a good slice of the world population too. How things have changed.

Now I know there will be people reading this and thinking - what am I talking about? If any of us only drink one brand of wine or one brand of beer, it's likely we will not notice the taste changing gradually over the decades - if in fact it does. If we flip from one brand to another, we stand more chance of noticing any changes - plus we get to compare one brand against another.

And comparing the BBC against a different platform has shown up some glaring differences over time. More recently that's included what the BBC hasn't been talking about which so many serious commentators on other platforms have been talking about. It's sad – but true.

Now, the number of mainstream media **MSM** platforms around the world has been shrinking. This is no truer than in the USA. In the USA there used to be a myriad of news platforms – almost uncountable in fact – but now they have been amalgamated into an incredible small number. Many of the brandings though have survived but the truth is they are all owned by a senselessly small number of media giants.

The documentary I'm going to share below makes this point very clearly. In this first video, there's a shocking revelation and it's made by a German media editor about the role he has played - over many years - in lying to the people. **Udo Ulfkotte** was a highly regarded journalist until he decided to come clean in what was an extraordinary video confession. It was not long after he made that confession - and the publication of his book on the same subject - that he was found dead.

No one is saying the two events are connected, but - the window of possibility has been left open. He will not have been the first to have met his fate in such a way - upsetting certain elements of the -whatever you may wish to call them.

Stop World Control: BUSTED! Media Crimes Exposed

Another documentary with important inside knowledge is the following. The Pyramid of Power: Chapter 2 – Establishment Media

Any questions?

There is more on THB - Library and Bank - under Subjects and Topics.

7 The above six stories are just six among so many which all describe some of the oddities in the world today which are NOT being addressed by anyone with the power to do something about it.

And in that remark, we can clearly see the difficulty and the shortcomings of the world and its systems of administration as they are today. Something quite different is required and the sooner it gets into place the better it will be on so many fronts.

A significant and all-important point to bear in mind and leave you with - is this simple expression of basic facts. Those in government around the world - in the main - are elected by the People and remunerated - to do the job they're there for - by the People.

That said:

There are 650 MPs in the UK parliament – each elected by the People.

The People number over 65million - 65,000,000.

The People out-weigh those in parliament by 1:100,000.

Just a small point to keep in mind – there's far more sheep than sheepdogs!

I'm not suggesting pitchforks – but I will return to the topic.

Magazine: CONNECT M3

THE HISTORY BOOK - THB

We have compiled a repository of interesting articles across a wide range of subjects – cross-referenced in many cases - all freely accessible to you and all our interested readers.

The articles take the form of webpages, PDFs, audios and videos - accumulated from a growing worldwide network of sources and authors.

Articles previously published on the CONNECT-m3 website – are filed in the <u>LIBRARY</u> section of **THB**. Filed in the <u>BANK</u> section are articles held for general reference and expanded research.

Further articles relative to the subjects covered in *this* magazine article can be read under their respective headings, in our different **Reading Rooms**.

The History Book is already a compendium of immensely valuable information and - with records being added every week - is rapidly expanding to become a unique source of reference on many important and major topics of our time.

Access to thousands of articles, on the expanding array of subjects, is FREE - providing for you - our reader - an easily accessible reference library within a few simple clicks 24/7. Please do enjoy!

CONNECT'S Maxim and Oath

Connect is only interested in finding and sharing the TRUTH. In search of that TRUTH, we only pose questions – we have no answers.

By: David Charles

Contact/Source: CONNECT: Magazine

- 3 <u>LINK</u> **BBC Newsnight**: Paxman vs Russell Brand full interview
- 4 LINK **Dr John Campbell**: Interview with Andrew Bridgen MP
- 4 LINK **Sky News Australia**: Jacinda Ardern is the single source of truth
- 4 LINK The People's Voice: Non-Mainstream News Sources now ILLEGAL
- 5 LINK **Richard Vobes:** Interview with William Keytes
- 5 LINK V for Vendetta (2005): V on TV screen

FURTHER READING

A LINK V for Vendetta (2005): V on TV screen- Transcript

PLEASE DOWNLOAD AND SHARE THIS ARTICLE



Opportunity to join the CONNECT team and network

END