

CONNECT

The Truth Of 'Conspiracy Theory'

2435 LD

"Everything they say turns out to be a lie"

- 1 Oh how this phrase has literally *stormed* onto the world's media platforms over the last 3yrs and exclusively on the mainstream 'media' - in direct contrast with the People's Media platforms. How funny!

It's as if the mainstream 'media' - in some way or other - is in cahoots with the government and/or other collections of persons unidentified. The mere thought or expressed idea would immediately be labelled as an example - Conspiracy Theory - by that same collection of media platforms and the crowd of fact-checkers that leap on such notions to poo-poo them.



- 2 The subject of Conspiracy Theories has interested me for as long as I can remember - but say 20plus years and even before that. And before that, such theories weren't really known by that name. The reason being that the term - so clever really - hadn't been thought of. That is until it had!

Part of the reason for this long-held interest - as I have come to understand - is that I have a passion for truth, fairness and honesty. This doesn't make me special in any way of course. Most people are just like me - more or less. The thing is - there are people who are very much NOT like me and that is where it gets interesting. To be frank - it is because of these two opposing qualities - one present one NOT - that I am writing this today. It is the reason why I now do what I do today and I'm passionate about it.

I hate lies and deceit with a vengeance and will do what I can to help eradicate it - wherever it's found. As it happens, there's plenty to be found!

- 3 I have touched on this topic before – but as an element of a bigger story covering - part of what is now a collection of articles on major issues of public interest.

Here however, I have been prompted to return to the topic – expanding on what's been said before – as a result of reading an excellent piece by commentator, journalist and filmmaker, Iain Davis. Iain Davis has written an expansive article for [UK Column](#) – a People's Media platform – titled: [Why was the "conspiracy theory" myth created?](#)

I have included short extracts from Iain's article to help expand my own writings – but also to tempt you into reading Iain's article in full.

- 4 To begin – we really should start at the beginning. The ubiquitous term - Conspiracy Theory - has become as common in the global lexicon today as the word *Covid*. I make this comparison – not only because it is true – but because, rather strangely, the two terms do seem to have more than a casual connection, as I will touch on later.
Conspiracy Theory right there again perhaps?

So, let's look at a few definitions – courtesy of:

[Wikipedia](#):

A conspiracy theory is an explanation for an event or situation that invokes a conspiracy by sinister and powerful groups, often political in motivation.[3][4][5] when other explanations are more probable.[3][6][7] The term has a negative connotation, implying that the appeal to a conspiracy is based on prejudice or insufficient evidence.[8] A conspiracy theory is not the same as a conspiracy; instead, it refers to a hypothesized conspiracy with specific characteristics, such as an opposition to the mainstream consensus among those people (such as scientists or historians) who are qualified to evaluate its accuracy.

If you didn't find this definition totally clear, you're not alone.

This definition though is interesting and not least because this wonderful online information resource – now sadly tainted and evidently corrupted – refers to *other explanations being more probable*. Really?

Not so, in the minds of those looking at things from a different standpoint - having dug deep into a particular subject - and having discovered what they have discovered.

Wiki is right when it says – a Theory 'refers to a hypothesised conspiracy with specific characteristics, such as an opposition to the mainstream consensus' - including those who we are all pressed to believe. That is exactly what happens when people do not believe what people – such as politicians, 'medical experts', historians and others want us all to believe. The masses will reject the views and opinions of these same commentators for one or two reasons.

1. They already know them to lie – or know them to be untrustworthy
2. The story they tell does not stack with what is already known

The thing is, people like politicians, 'medical experts', historians and others seem to be oblivious to the one truth that is all pervading.

TRUST – it's like virginity. Once it's lost – it stays lost!

The last point to examine in Wiki's theory – is the one of pure arrogance. It refers to those same people who are qualified to evaluate a theory's accuracy. This means – if you are not one of these people yourself – you are **not qualified** to evaluate. A wonderful *put-down* albeit with a gentle touch.

- 5 This *put-down* strategy was used in a different way when it was voiced by one world *misleader*. Here I refer to Jacinda Ardern – NZ's current PM.

In the clip - referenced- you can hear this politician say – very clearly – that "We will be your single source of truth"

"Unless you hear it from us IT IS NOT THE TRUTH".

[Jacinda Ardern's Ministry Of Truth](#)

Wow! Can you believe this?

JA speaks to her countrywide – in fact worldwide – audience as if she is a teacher speaking to her class of primary children.

She is saying - her gov't people are the only ones qualified to assess the truth and when they have it – they will give it to us – *to swallow with no argument!*

It is important to keep in mind the part which JA plays within Klaus Schwab's plan. JA is a recruit - as a young leader - of KS's World Economic Forum. JA is one of several young leaders – and thus supporters of KS's and the WEF's Great Reset plan – along with Trudeau of Canada and Macron of France.

This explains more:

[WEF: Young Global Leaders School - created by Klaus Schwab](#)

- 6 Sticking briefly with definitions, let's look at this one – courtesy of:

[Merriam Webster](#):

a theory that explains an event or set of circumstances as the result of a secret plot by usually powerful conspirators

also: a theory asserting that a secret of great importance is being kept from the public

This is far simpler and more accurate but it's by no means the finished article!

- 7 **How did the term – Conspiracy Theory - come into being?**

The popular belief among many – and for good reason – is that it grew out of a need to close down inquisitive voices and disbelievers of the US government's official narrative.

This is what [Iain Davis wrote](#):

It seems that the Conspiracy Theory – a CIA brainchild - was first revealed in a 1976 dispatch.

This communication was marked "Psych" and "CS". The psych abbreviation referred to psychological operations or what is better known in the modern world as disinformation. The CS was the abbreviation used for their Clandestine Services unit.

Why was a dispatch sent out? According to [Zero Hedge](#), it was generated in "response to a Freedom of Information Act request by the New York Times in 1976."

The dispatch first discussed how concerning this trend of requests was to the organization as well as the US government. The missive went on to explain the purpose of the dispatch, which was to "provide material countering and discrediting the claims of the conspiracy theorists, so as to inhibit the circulation of such claims in other countries."

Having viewed many of the absorbing documentaries surrounding both Kennedy murders of the 1960's – and from a critical standpoint – it was easy for me to arrive at the idea that much of the official narrative does not stack. There are too many loose ends, gaps in the story and important conflicting 'evidence'. If I can see this, then so can countless other non-experts. That is the non-experts, who are however sufficiently endowed with basic mental capacity – which makes them adequately qualified to arrive at a reasoned and logical opinion.

That opinion, in this case – and so many others – is simply described as this: There:

1. are sufficient and material inconsistencies
2. are sufficient and material gaps in information
3. is a dire lack of transparency from the controlling authorities
4. is a complete lack of clear determination to answer questions
5. is a lack of any productive engagement with the wider public which individually and collectively suggests, overwhelmingly that - the official narrative is materially at odds with the assessed viewpoints any reasonable person - applying critical analysis to the issue - is likely, if not certain, to arrive at.

This being so, nothing less than a complete explosion of all the known facts and evidence will suffice if the truth is to be arrived at and accepted by all. A public inquiry is the accepted forum for such a process... BUT one that is not run by the controlling authorities.

If there really was nothing to hide – then the controlling authorities would not have any difficulty in agreeing to such a process. The thing is - the controlling authorities haven't agreed – and are unlikely to.

And your own thought on this - is what?

- 8 Alex Jones – the outspoken and very high profiled – People's Media platform host on [InfoWars](#), has been headline news in recent weeks. Alex Jones has been outspoken on a number of Conspiracy Theories including the one

which has bounced him into court twice in almost as many months with a third due to start shortly. The court cases refer to the Sandy Hook story.

The two cases so far have ended in financial penalties being awarded against him and his platform, amounting to over \$1Billion. The story behind the case is truly incredible. Incredible that is, until you wear the hat of an analytical and critical thinker and take off the sunglasses. With that hat on - and the glasses off - the picture changes significantly. In fairness - it remains incredible, BUT for completely different reasons.

If those like Jacinda Ardern are the only source of TRUTH, then it's not good to have loud, believable mouthpieces like Alex Jones telling his viewers that there's a major problem with the official narrative. Alex Jones has been dealt a blow in the courts and beyond any amount of reason. That is, once you have a grip on the facts of the legal cases and what led to them.

It is easy to arrive at the conclusion that there were two objectives of the court system - focused on Alex Jones.

1. Finish Alex Jones as a media platform host
2. Assure all others - like Jones - that they will get the same treatment

The court system appears to have won round one - but in doing so, what have they revealed about themselves and their motives?

Iain Davis writes:

Zero Hedge discovered that judges are "trained to look at conspiracy allegations as just another legal claim to be disproven or proven based on the specific evidence."

*"Federal and all 50 states" have codes that include statutes and punishments for anyone committing a conspiracy.
Law schools teach conspiracy law as a "fundamental legal concept".
Accuse Conspiracy and Escape Detection
The creation of conspiracy theory has been so well-executed with the indoctrination of the average American.*

*Anything tagged conspiracy theory is automatically discredited.
A conspiracy theorist is considered unhinged or at the very least, delusional and misguided.*

When this stereotype is backed up with conspiracy theory law, it allows real conspiracies to remain hidden. This one phrase taints and discredits whistleblowers and anyone else seeking to expose the truth.

How true this is!

The Sandy Hook Story has been written about before on CONNECT.
CONNECT: [Sandy Hook - A Shooting Event: 2345 EA](#)

CONNECT: [The Trials To Finish Freedom: 2415KA](#)

- 9 I confess to finding it odd - that the speak of Conspiracy Theories raised itself so very quickly in the opening months of the pandemic in 2020. This was at a time when the world population were at the mercy of governments – being told that the deadly pandemic was claiming lives right in front of the TV cameras. Those scenes – together with the commentary - looked odd at the time, but because so many of us were all being traumatised and frozen stiff with fear, some basic questions went totally unasked. Had they been asked – we can imagine how they would have been received and dealt with.

It was as if those controlling authorities knew what was coming and put in place all the necessary levers to make sure their message - which they wanted us all to get and not challenge – was the one single message. The One Single Truth.

The EU picked up on the messaging recognising that the governments of the EU could be faced with that challenge against their One Single truth. They produced this:

EU # **Think Before Sharing: TBS** – *being one of so many three-word slogans.*
The 6 Things Conspiracy Theories Have In Common

1. A 'Secret' Plot
2. A Group of conspirators
3. Supporting 'evidence'
4. They suggest nothing happens by accident
5. They assume the world is good or bad
6. 'Someone' is to blame!

This was just one tool that was put into use to discredit the challengers. And it was effective – as the mainstream 'media' picked it up and ran with it. Before any time was lost, anyone who just happened to mention an idea which was out of line with the *group think* at the time – whether that be in casual conversation with a neighbour or friend – shortly to become an ex-friend – or part of a TV debate involving those *qualified to evaluate* anything – that term 'Conspiracy Theory' was rolled out. And done so together with a description of how – using the 6pointers - to recognise one.

- 10 The idea of 'evidence' is an interesting one too – no3 of 6 on the list above.

Iain Davis writes:

'Something is either evidence or it isn't. Evidence can't be forced to fit any theory. Rather, the theory is formed from the evidence. If the evidence suggests the theory is true, it may be so—but the theory must account for all the relevant evidence. If there is evidence that contradicts the theory, then the theory remains doubtful and requires further investigation.'

This is lovely – Iain Davis continues:

The TBS claims that a fact is established if it is authorised by a qualified expert or fact-checking site and is reported with an objective "tone", whatever that means. Only approved evidence is evidence, as the TBS introduces the ridiculous notion of officially sanctioned evidence. This is not even close to any kind of logical methodology for finding "facts".'

Remember this?

"Unless you hear it from us IT IS NOT THE TRUTH".

[Jacinda Ardern's Ministry Of Truth](#)

Iain Davis continues on the theme of evidence.

Nowhere in the TBS alliance 2020 announcement is the word "evidence" discussed in connection with the term "conspiracy theory". The word is only used once in the statement of Prof. Butter, who claimed that there was "evidence" that people can be taught to recognise "conspiracy theories".

So presumably, Prof. Butter has precisely defined what a conspiracy theory is?

Indeed he has. In his pamphlet entitled Guide To Conspiracy Theories, Prof. Butter defines "conspiracy theory" as "the belief that events are secretly manipulated behind the scenes by powerful forces". This odd definition is the illogical drivel that forms the basis of nearly all of the so-called academic research into conspiracy "theory" and its alleged "theorists".

This is a cracker!:

The argument that proponents of this pseudo-intellectual "definition" advocate runs as follows:

Real conspiracies are only identifiable when they are officially acknowledged by approved government spokespersons, recognised by appropriate experts or revealed by the mainstream media. Until then, regardless of how much evidence there is exposing the conspiracy, it remains a "secret" and is therefore incomprehensible. While it is categorised as a secret, any evidence exposing the conspiracy must be ignored because none of it can possibly exist until the conspiracy is officially approved for public debate.

The thing is people – this is really happening.

It would almost be fun if we were reading the scratchy outline plot of a sci-fi film describing a dystopian future being unfolded on the population.

We should probably take this seriously at some level and will - I would guess - once we stop laughing about the absurdity of it all.

And just to drive the dagger home – the officialdom goes on to describe critical thinkers as delusional.

Conspiracy theory is, according to the TBS alliance, any questioning of the official authorised accounts of an event or a related policy decision. The conspiracy theorist's delusional "thinking pattern" is that he has the temerity to doubt the stories that those in power demand he believe.

Notice the reference to 'He' here. It may come to pass that the critical thinking females among us will be the ones who save the day and our bacon. What the girls decide to do then with the delusional males we'll have to see!

And the bottom-line issue for the controlling authorities - Iain Davis describes as:

Worse still, those doubts may lead "conspiracy theorists" to question those who rule them and undermine the trust that the rulers crave. According to Lewandowsky and Cook, such questions are dangerous and should be actively resisted by all:

And I'm sure they will do all in their power to do make that happen – if true!

11 Iain Davis continues:

*In 2020, Audrey Azouley said:
[Conspiracy theories] amplify and legitimize misconceptions about the pandemic, and reinforce stereotypes which can fuel violence and violent extremist ideologies.*

The TBS campaign is part of a concerted effort to link alleged "conspiracy theory" with extremism and violent extremism, which means terrorism. The mainstream media have been pushing this agenda for some time. There is no evidence to support this contention, but our would-be overlords departed the realm of facts and truth some time ago as they redefined evidence as something quite distinct.

NOTE: Audrey Azoulay is a French civil servant and politician who has been serving as the Director-General of the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization since 2017, becoming the second female leader of the organization. This helps explain where her allegiances are.

12 So, whether there was a plan attached to Covid – one which delusional males are falling over themselves to talk about or not – it looks like our commentator, Iain Davis, has put his finger right on the theory.

the reason why the bogeyman of the "conspiracy theorist" has been created is evident. It is a catch-all for anyone who questions the state in all its forms.

But this was an interesting discovery of Iain's – according to a survey:

The people labelled as "conspiracy theorists" turned out to be a subset reflective of wider society and, from a demographic perspective, not to be a distinct group at all. They held a variety of views on a wide range of subjects. Their only unifying trait was that they did not believe everything they were told by the government and other authoritative institutions.

This means that the delusional males didn't represent any particular group. They weren't extreme Left – extreme Right – or anything else. They were just – well, normal – and I'd say certainly not delusional in the least.

13 One final reference to Iain's expose of the Conspiracy Theory truth.

"Conspiratorial thinking" is not an identifiable psychological flaw. It is not irrational, as claimed. It is nothing more than the questioning of authority.

"Conspiracy theorist" is just a label deployed by those who defend power to convince the wider public to see those so labelled as other. This "othering" is a technique used by all tyrannical regimes to subjugate, oppress and sometimes eliminate those dissidents.

Mis-/disinformation is merely information that suggests cause for doubt about official narratives and that leads to the questioning of authority. The essentially egalitarian nature of the current model of social media is causing "damage" to "society" because people can openly communicate ideas with each other.

"Traditional gatekeepers" are necessary to stop this infestation. Only the mainstream media—the approved mouthpieces and propagandists of the régime—can safeguard power and protect it from the contagion of public scrutiny.

"True information" is decreed by the appointed experts, fact checkers and the mainstream media, who collectively set the permitted tone for the conversation. They define the Overton window, outside of which all political debate is forbidden.

UNESCO's Constitution is a meaningless bit of paper. While it is certainly waging a war for the "minds of men", the TBS alliance which it has formed despises the "unrestricted pursuit of objective truth" and "the free exchange of ideas and knowledge".

UNESCO is one gang among many that claim authority. Collectively, these gangs are seeking to exploit labels created to shut down free speech and freedom of thought. They are determined to silence any who question their power, by any means necessary.

It would serve all our interests if we were to take note of Iain's analysis – by an adequately qualified person to assess the issues. The controlling authorities have plenty of resources at their disposal – not least tax-payers' money – to make sure that what they have in mind for everyone will actually happen. Putting down those *pesky theorists* with their questioning and disagreeable disposition - by labelling them Conspiracy Theorists – would have been an anticipated part of the coping strategy.

14 I cannot leave this topic without this short conclusion.

CONSPIRACY THEORY

The term – Conspiracy Theory – and its origins we have already looked at. The CIA has been accredited for its introduction to combat fake news and any challenging or opposing narrative to the controlling authority's official narrative and much preferred storyline.

The term – as we all know - is now used ad nauseam to discredit anyone who challenges the official narrative.

The term – as we have all seen - is powerful beyond measure and sows the seed of doubt in anyone's mind when it is connected with one of those challenges.

But and this is a fundamental BUT - Conspiracy Theories are like plants – they grow and thrive in soil which suits them. Take away that soil and they wither and die. The soil – or shall we say the circumstances - in which Conspiracy Theories grow and thrive is simply described here: They thrive and bloom when these elements are present:

1. Too much conflicting information
2. Inconsistencies in the official narrative
3. Too many oddities and anomalies in that same narrative
4. A total lack of transparency – and refusal to offer up transparency
5. The avoidance of questions or - more often - ignoring them altogether

In every Conspiracy Theory, the above tell-tale elements can all be found. What can develop from these theories – pursued scientifically with professionalism – is an ultimate Conspiracy FACT.

FACT is what is left when the official narrative has been exposed as a lie. Someone looking for the truth will piece together the facts that are known. Where the gaps exist in the exercise, that someone puts in place a stand-in thought or idea. It's a substitute stand-in fact, if you like, which stands to be replaced – or confirmed – later.

So, a theory arises and is subject to later modification or collapse due to a different or better theory – OR better still, arrival at THE TRUTH.

In a sense, we could now have arrived at a situation – rather amusingly I feel – where every time the term Conspiracy Theory is used – we all recognise it as code. What started as a rather clever linguistic strategy to discredit the theorists - may now have become a self-inflicting weapon – inflicting damage on the real perpetrator, whoever they may be. Every time the phrase is uttered, the listener receives it as code for a warning bell – warning that lies are being told here and secrets are to be uncovered.

That is, lies being told by the controlling authorities and secrets held by them. In other words, the effect of hearing the phrase will be completely reversed - placing the question mark over the labeller rather than the labelled.

Remo Conscious had it about right it seems. Have a listen.

It's also pretty catchy!

[Everything they say - they say - turns out to be a lie - be a lie!](#)

The lyrics are [here](#).

PS1 Many will recognise the scene in the header image – the Pentagon Building in Washington after 9/11.

This story is one of the greatest Conspiracy Theories of all time – if not the greatest - alongside Father Christmas of course!

The thing is, there are more unanswered questions about this story – 9/11 – than there have ever been in the last 20plus years since that fateful day.

I was interested to listen to broadcaster - Richie Allen - talk about a personal experience he recalled from the noughties, following the event.

I'll let Richie tell you his story - broadcast from his home - reflecting on 9/11.
[21 Years Later: Reflections On 9/11](#) -at **17min20**

The thing is though – the story only confirms what so many already know. The programme is Richie's recollections from the day and hopefully you will find it interesting listening to a media broadcaster's perspective.

There was no Boeing 757 found in the wreckage of that building. Really – oh yes really!

For all those delusional men that love a good Conspiracy Theory – then can I point you to this documentary film about that day in and around the Pentagon Building. Fascinating.

[THE 9/11 PENTAGON ATTACK](#)

PS2 Another absorbing watch is the film starring Kevin Costner – JFK.

Yes – it's a film – and not a documentary.

But is it – really?

The film's [trailer](#) gives a good insight into the day and the characters at play. It also fits very closely to respected documentaries and testimony from respected sources.

PS3 Dr David Martin is a giant in the field of Big Pharma crime and patents filed by them and people who work for them connected with creating bio-weapons. Dr Martin is a PhD and world renown legal expert in law and patents.

You may like to hear him speak about Crime Conspiracies.

[Plandemic 2 | Indoctrination](#)

[Dr David Martin - Follow the patents, then you will understand COVID](#)

CONNECT'S Maxim and Oath

Connect is only interested in finding and sharing the TRUTH.
In search of that TRUTH, we only pose questions – we have no answers.

By: David Charles

Contact/Source: CONNECT: [Magazine](#)

3 [LINK](#) UK Column

3 [LINK](#) UK COLUMN: Why was the "conspiracy theory" myth created?

4 [LINK](#) Wikipedia: Conspiracy Theory - defined

- 5 [LINK](#) Jacinda Ardern's Ministry Of Truth
- 5 [LINK](#) WEF: Young Global Leaders School - created by Klaus Schwab
- 6 [LINK](#) Merriam Webster: Conspiracy Theory - defined
- 8 [LINK](#) InfoWars: Alex Jones
- 8 [LINK](#) CONNECT: Sandy Hook - A Shooting Event: 2345 EA
- 8 [LINK](#) CONNECT: The Trials To Finish Freedom: 2415 KA
- PS1 [LINK](#) RICHIE ALLEN: 21 Years Later: Reflections On 9/11
- PS1 [LINK](#) THE 9/11 PENTAGON ATTACK
- PS2 [LINK](#) Film trailer: JFK
- PS3 [LINK](#) Plandemic 2 | Indoctrination
- PS3 [LINK](#) Dr David Martin - Follow the patents, then you will understand COVID

FURTHER READING

- [LINK](#) Remo Conscious - "Lies" Official Music Video
- [LINK](#) Top Secret Writers:
How CIA Invented the Term "Conspiracy Theory" to Discredit You
- [LINK](#) Newsweek
The 9/11 Victim Count Is Still Climbing

PLEASE DOWNLOAD AND SHARE THIS ARTICLE



Opportunity to join the CONNECT [team and network](#)

END