

CONNECT

The Cat's In The Cradle!

2045 TD

Sorry, Climate Change – I just don't have time for you anymore.

1 It's "Cat's in the Cradle" time for the Climate Change movement. This is an old expression and means that *someone* no longer has the time to spend on *someone* else.

2 Sadly, Climate Change doesn't have this problem. It has far too many people spending far too much time and energy on it. Not for too much longer, because - *the cat's out of the bag*.

3 According to The Wordcounter: ["Cat's in the cradle: What's it mean and when should you use it?"](#) Harry Chapin's great, albeit sad, 1974 song ["Cat's in the Cradle"](#) - about a relationship that's hit the wall of "I don't have time to see you, sorry"- inspired the phrase's use.

I'm looking forward to a time when people take the same stance towards the Climate Change movement – they stop making the time for it.

4 Any movement – or agenda - it is only as strong as its credibility - how plausible it is. Lack of congruence weakens its credibility and the CC agenda is riddled with lack of congruence.

Before I let the cat out of the bag on some of that lack of congruence, a quick cat story. The new head of an animal charity - Charles Darley - left soon after starting because, according to a Civil Society article [Cats Protection interim CEO quits after just three months](#), of the charity's lack of congruence.

The charity's Chair of Trustees had 18 adopted cats from the charity. Clearly, she is a kind woman who loves cats – as I'm sure Mr Darley and everyone else involved with the charity does - and loves to give them a home.

The problem, however, is that she kept all these cats in a three-bedroom house which means - in Mr Darley's view - she was not keeping them in accordance with the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs [DEFRA]'s cat welfare code of conduct - too many cats together and potentially a stressful and unhealthy environment for them.

5 The charity was saying said one thing – its Chair of Trustees was doing something quite different.

Lack of congruence is a huge problem with the global climate-industrial complex – which is, in my view, a far more accurate term for the Climate

Change movement.

It isn't simply that Climate Change is foisted on us, especially on children in schools, as the only environmental issue that needs to be resolved.

What about pollution, dealing with waste and the possibility that so-called "green solutions" are less sustainable, less clean and less just to humans, wildlife and the environment?

Or that many, many scientists disagree with the Climate Change narrative – the *only* narrative we are expected to believe – as evidenced by "[There is no climate emergency, say 500 experts in letter to the United Nations.](#)"

Or that carbon dioxide, which is essential for life, has been re-branded - demonised as a deadly toxin – one that must be removed from the planet. *Really?*

Even [Wikipedia](#) – at the moment – although no doubt the article will soon be amended to support the agenda – says:

Photosynthesis is largely responsible for producing and maintaining the oxygen content of the Earth's atmosphere, and supplies most of the energy necessary for life on Earth.

It also says:

Photosynthesis is vital for climate processes, as it captures carbon dioxide from the air and then binds carbon in plants and further in soils and harvested products.

Or that trees keep being cut down all over the UK.

Trees remove carbon dioxide – why are they being chopped down?

Unless trees get in the way of something and removing them helps to remove carbon... or should I say "[carbon units](#)" – in the sense of the Starship Enterprise in Star Trek: The Motion Picture.

- 6 It isn't just that the arguments made by For Britain in [What's behind the climate change movement?](#) - that the Climate Change movement is an economic, not a conservationist movement and that pollution, protection of the natural environment and wildlife conservation are of no interest to it -are both compelling and well-referenced.

Or that Climate Change is mainly the prerogative of white, middle-class people. This is not just my view – it is also the view of a non-white Climate Change activist who had the painful experience of observing a fellow non-white activist being *greenwashed* out of the story:

["What Vanessa Nakate being cropped out of a photograph tells us about climate change and race."](#)

Or that proponents of the Climate Change agenda are not interested in whether it makes poor people even poorer, as explained in an article from The Economist, republished on the Children of Millennium website.

[Ted Nordhaus On How Environmental Activists Mislead And Hold Back Progress](#) by Ted Nordhaus - the founder of the Breakthrough Institute, a research centre focused on technological solutions to environmental challenges - presents a blistering criticism of the Climate Change agenda.

Nordhaus argues that the "movement" presents an apocalyptic picture which seems designed to prompt rash action, rather than right action.

He also says that it is dominated by wealthy countries with little, if any, regard for poorer nations and does not take concerns about climate justice seriously, saying:

"A climate movement less plagued by feverish dreams of the apocalypse would focus more on balancing long-term emission reductions and growth, development and adaptation in the here and now."

Nordhaus argues that it is the poor of the world who will end up paying the price.

It isn't just, either, that the only "green" solutions that are acceptable to governments are top-down, high-tech, expensive "solutions." Bill Gates, of course, is once again in the spotlight, now not only selling "solutions" for Covid-19 but also for the *new Covid* - green issues.

One of his *many* product lines, in addition to vaccines, is so-called green energy. Some unsurprising news was announced by the UK government in [Prime Minister and Bill Gates launch £400m partnership to boost green investment](#)

Gates is now all set to sell to us in the UK, a solution to a problem.

The existence of that problem - and so, the need for a solution - was also created by Gates himself.

Kerching!

I say this, because when Bill Gates published on his blog site, in 2020, an article called "[Introducing the Green Premiums](#)" that began:

"Over the past several years, I've been making the case that we have to eliminate global carbon emissions"

- he did not explain why he was making a case for zero-carbon.

Neither did he offer any evidence to support his case.

He just presented it, knowing it would be accepted unquestioningly.

When I say, "sell to us in the UK," I mean of course that Gates is just one, albeit perhaps one of the most prominent, of the many technocrats that supply goods and services to the corporation formerly known as the UK government.

The same "government" that has formed a partnership with Bill Gates for "green" energy, recently authorised emergency use of a pesticide containing thiamethoxam - a chemical known to kill bees and damage river life.

The BBC reported this in [Banned bee-harming pesticide approved for use, despite expert advice](#) and included an image of sugar beet - which, they said, is important for producing sugar. Sugar, that is put into a lot of foods without our consent and of which people in the West consume far too much - which of course, contributes to the incidence of diabetes.

Is sugar an essential crop?

*One worth risking - or sacrificing - bees for?
I can't see it, myself.*

Did the BBC point out the lack of congruence in the UK government's decision to authorise this toxic substance? Given that the "emergency" that justifies the decision is a potential risk to sugar beet?

No - they didn't say a word about it. Or about the risk to the NHS of an increase in diabetes.

Without sugar, there will be a lack of sugar.
We'll survive.

Without bees, there will be a lack of food.
We won't survive.

I believe the reason for the BBC's silence is clear.
I will return to this subject in another article.

Meanwhile, as I said, the Climate Change agenda being pushed by "governments" is not what it purports to be.

- 7 Back to the technocrats. There was massive social media pushback recently to an infamous tweet put out by the World Economic Forum [WEF]. It said: [You should wash your clothes less often.](#)

Just about every time the WEF puts out a message on social media, it provokes fury. The reason - apart from, *an unelected, unaccountable bunch of wealthy people who travel by private jet, tell us ordinary people what we should and shouldn't do* - can be summed up in one word: PRONOUNS. The WEF doesn't say: "WE need to wash OUR clothes less often" or as in an article called [5 ways the circular economy will transform your fashion habits](#), that "the circular economy will transform OUR fashion habits." Their message is: "YOU need to wash YOUR clothes less often" or "The circular economy will transform YOUR fashion habits."

In other words, no change for them.

This small point - which is *not* a small point - is extremely revealing.

- 8 All of these issues, taken together, amount to a staggering lack of congruence in the Climate Change Agenda.

Yet so many people, many of whom are neither evil nor stupid, believe in it. Why is this? So many people are supporting it - they can't all be wrong, surely?

They *can* all be wrong, as it turns out.

The [Abilene Paradox](#) is a situation in which a number of people, collectively, decide on a course of action that is *not what any of them want to do*.

The term was introduced by management expert Jerry B Harvey in 1974.

The Abilene Paradox can take place when people are anxious about a negative reaction from other people - and fear being excluded - if they give their honest opinion.

The theory is often used to help explain extremely poor group decisions, including why people colluded in the Watergate scandal.

Some of these people had personal qualms about the decision but were afraid to express them.

Fear of the group pressure that would result from "not being a team player" played a key role in the cover-up.

Isn't this what we are seeing with the Climate Change agenda?

Is this not a case of people being afraid to speak against it?

The cat is well and truly out of the bag - and it's in the cradle.

The Climate Change secret is out - it's a hoax.

For the sake of ourselves, our children, Planet Earth and all life on it - we need to stop colluding with the Climate Change hoax.

CONNECT'S Maxim and Oath

Connect is only interested in finding and sharing the TRUTH.
In search of that TRUTH, we only pose questions - we have no answers.

By: Helen King

Source: Tetbury CONNECT: [Magazine](#)

- 3 [LINK:](#) The Wordcounter: Cat's in the cradle: What's it mean and when should you use it?
- 3 [LINK:](#) Wikipedia: Cat's in the Cradle [song by Harry Chapin]
- 4 [LINK:](#) Civil Society: Cats Protection interim CEO quits after just three months
- 5 [LINK:](#) There is no climate emergency, say 500 experts in letter to the United Nations
- 5 [LINK:](#) Wikipedia: Photosynthesis
- 5 [LINK:](#) Enterprise would be unable to function without carbon units - clip from Star Trek: The Motion Picture [1979]
- 6 [LINK:](#) For Britain: What's behind the climate change movement?
- 6 [LINK:](#) Bright Green: What Vanessa Nakate being cropped out of a photograph tells us about climate change and race
- 6 [LINK:](#) Children of Millennium: Ted Nordhaus On How Environmental Activists Mislead And Hold Back Progress
- 6 [LINK:](#) UK government website: Prime Minister and Bill Gates launch £400m partnership to boost green investment
- 6 [LINK:](#) BBC: Banned bee-harming pesticide approved for use, despite expert advice

- 6 [LINK:](#) GatesNotes [The Blogs of Bill Gates]: Introducing the Green Premiums - A powerful tool for understanding clean energy and climate change
- 7 [LINK:](#) Tweet by the World Economic Forum: You only need to wash your jeans once a month, experts say
- 7 [LINK:](#) World Economic Forum: 5 ways the circular economy will transform your fashion habits
- 8 [LINK:](#) Wikipedia: The Abilene Paradox

PLEASE DOWNLOAD AND SHARE THIS ARTICLE



Opportunity to join the CONNECT [team and network](#)

END