

ABC NEWS

The Trials To Finish Freedom

2415 KA

Conspiracy Theorists appear to be government's worst enemies. Why's that?

- 1 This American Conspiracy Theorist declined to take the stand in his own trial for fear of perjuring himself. He called the trial a fraud – a political hit job and the judge, a “tyrant”, according to ABC News reporting on the events in court.

Standing back from all the media hype surrounding this case, one surely cannot fail to be deeply disturbed by what has happened - and what is still happening before our very eyes. The background story is fascinating, but the current events could hardly be more disturbing. Disturbing that is at multiple levels - including Freedom rights, Justice, Truth and Integrity.



- 2 This story is about a man – Alex Jones and his media channel [InfoWars](#), who expressed opinions – his own and others' - about a reported tragedy which took place in **2012** in a small town in Connecticut, called Sandy Hook.

ABC News in their article - [Alex Jones 'boycotts' defamation trial as Connecticut jury to soon hear closing arguments in damages phase](#) – provide a short summary of the case and what has happened in the last few days before the jury will give their verdict in the coming week.

- 3 To lay the ground for this story, it is important to start at the very beginning.

We will have all lost count of the number of times we have read in the media the term Conspiracy Theory – or Conspiracy Theorist. Alex Jones has been billed as one of America's foremost theorists and those who have tuned to him and his platform over the years, will certainly understand why.

I have written about the *theory* of Conspiracy Theorists before – and recently. However – I make no apology for taking this opportunity to restate what I've said before – and I do so again here.

I feel it is incredibly important for people to understand what is meant by the term – how it originally came to be part of the world's lexicon – and why the theories of the Theorists come to exist in the first place.

4 **CONSPIRACY THEORY**

The term – Conspiracy Theory - was originated in the 1960's by the CIA and is now used ad nauseam to discredit anyone who challenges the official narrative – that being the government's storyline, in the main.

The term is powerful beyond measure and sows the seed of doubt in anyone's mind when it is connected with one of those challenges.

Conspiracy Theories are like plants – they grow and thrive in soil which suits them. Take away that soil and they wither and die. The soil – or shall we say circumstance - in which Conspiracy Theories grow and thrive is described here:

1. Too much conflicting information
2. Inconsistencies in the official narrative
3. Too many oddities and anomalies in that same narrative
4. A total lack of transparency – and refusal to offer up transparency
5. The avoidance of questions or - more often - ignoring them altogether

In every Conspiracy Theory, the above *tell-tale* elements can all be found.

What can develop from these theories – pursued scientifically with professionalism – is an ultimate Conspiracy FACT.

FACT is what is left when the official narrative has been exposed as a lie.

Someone looking for the truth will piece together the facts that are known. Where the gaps exist in the exercise, that someone puts in place a stand-in thought or idea. It's a substitute stand-in fact, if you like, which stands to be replaced – or confirmed – later.

So, a theory arises and is subject to later modification or collapse due to a different or better theory – OR better still, arrival at THE TRUTH.

- 5 In every situation where Alex Jones has been labelled a Conspiracy Theorist by the media - and the government – the above FIVE *tell-tale* elements have ALL been present.

What however has not been present in these situations – at any time - is the very thing that would close all discussion around the subjects – that is:
A Full and Factual disclosure.

The story of Sandy Hook is one such situation.

6 ORIENTATION

The EVENT which took place is summarised here from the official narrative

1. The Event was a shooting at Sandy Hook Elementary School -SHES – Newtown, Connecticut, USA on **Fri14Dec2012**
2. The Event narrative records that 20 children and six staff members were shot dead at the school
3. Also shot dead – by the named perpetrator Adam Lanza – was Adam Lanza's mother and lastly Adam himself

The official narrative concerning the Event – the story according to the government - has been widely publicised for years.

The publicity continues to this day, with a recent high-profile court case – one still in progress and another to follow – seeking to confirm the official narrative and penalise those who challenge it.

The cases alone, are a stand-out feature of the whole story about the Event. It is a story that few – if any – mainstream media channels have challenged in whole or in part. We all have to wonder why?

Given the number of oddities and anomalies - which the official narrative appears to include - it is strange that the mainstream media have themselves been so accepting of the information they have been given. Accordingly, the role of challenging the story – the official narrative – has been taken up by persons and organisations feeling compelled to investigate the details surrounding the Event for both their satisfaction and that of other interested and concerned parties.

Alex Jones - of Infowars - was one of those persons.

The Event as recorded by [Wikipedia](#)

- 7 Following the Shooting Event in late **2012**, the story reported by government sources and the mainstream media, began to unravel in the eyes of people who chose to drill down through the reported details. These same people began to fill in the gaps in the official narrative – after carefully assessing those reported details. They eventually arrived at a completely different set of theoretical narratives. Those different and theoretical narratives were received as an attack on the state's own official narrative and the media responded - to discredit them - with the fervour and determination we have come to expect. Alex Jones was one who was and is, being discredited.
- 8 Those unfamiliar with the competing narratives surrounding Sandy Hook will be wondering what the FIVE *tell-tale* elements are - all present in the Event story. We put together our own shortlist of some of the issues we have come to recognise as *tell-tale* elements and called that list - A [Snagging List](#).
- 9 The oddity is the glaring lack of a response, that one would naturally expect where narratives do not provide all the answers. A response – for example - in the form of a Full and Factual disclosure.

After a full 10yrs since the Sandy Hook Event, that Full and Factual disclosure has not happened. It has neither been offered or even talked about by the writers of the official narrative. And it really would be the easiest thing to do!

By providing such a response, the Conspiracy Theories - which the government seem to be so worried about - would simply all disappear and die. Full and Factual disclosure would eliminate the fertile soil the theories take root in. The challenges to the narrative would all cease and the deep suspicions of the government - gaining momentum daily - would simply fade away into nothingness - just as the government would prefer.

- 10 So, there we have it. The ground laid out setting the scene for at least 3 trials against Alex Jones. But why the court cases and 3 trials you will be asking? And the reasons - both official and *almost* unofficial - are these.

OFFICIAL

Alex Jones and his team at InfoWars researched the Sandy Hook Event directly after it happened. Alex Jones - motivated by his own Snagging List - determined that the Event was a false flag event, perpetrated by the government. The reason being to place pressure on politicians and society in general to reduce - by various means - the proliferation of guns among the population. The goal would seem reasonable enough to people outside the US, but the means would be highly questionable - even if no one was killed.

Alex Jones on his media platform made a number of allegations. It appears that thousands - if not millions of his platform's viewers - agreed with his determinations. The problem for Alex Jones however was that - supposedly - some of his followers were themselves motivated to verbally attack some of the named victim's families - the families that reportedly lost children in the shooting Event but were now accused of being crisis actors.

For these actions by so-called followers of Alex Jones - Alex Jones is being pursued in the courts for damages. You might ask, are the plaintiffs - being the stated real bereaved families of the victims lost - not pursuing the wrong person? Well, yes, they are. Alex Jones is not guilty of inciting anyone - including his own followers - to take some form of expressed action against these families. He only reported the situation that his own research revealed to him. This is what journalism is about. Journalists report on situations - which others are free to take up and investigate for themselves. We should not be shooting the messenger!

***Almost* UNOFFICIAL**

Alex Jones has been a labelled Conspiracy Theorist for decades. He even called out the 9/11 Event as a false flag event soon after it happened. The FIVE *tell-tale* elements are all present in that story too. And more than 20yrs after that Event, the questions have still not been answered in an all revealing Full and Factual disclosure.

Over the time since, Alex Jones has built a reputation for being a pursuer of Truth and calling out government lies. For someone being vocal like that on the street - or on social media - with barely anyone listening, is of no threat

to the government. The threat to the government takes on a different hue though once it's realised that the person calling out the government has millions of followers. Alex Jones is believed to have millions of followers.

Now Alex Jones becomes a person of interest for the government.

The situation becomes far more interesting for the government – and those that wish to form a government – when it's understood that Alex Jones is a Trump supporter and as such, has the ability to impact upon election results.

Trump lost the 2019 election and many argue that it was stolen from him by forces acting for Joe Biden and the Democratic Party. Interesting it is that on Joe Biden's watch, these legal trials are being held in the run up to the Mid-Term elections where Biden is expected to do badly.

So, the Unofficial reason for attacking Alex Jones and doing so at this time, could basically be two-fold - according to our assessment.

Firstly, it could relate to the Mid-Term elections where Alex Jones would not be supporting Joe Biden's party, but instead Biden's opposition.

Secondly, and probably more importantly, Alex Jones has been vocal about the whole Covid story. Here again we have the FIVE *tell-tale* elements necessary for any Conspiracy Theory to take root. With so many people following Alex Jones, he is well positioned to seriously dent the government's official narrative – and possibly to the extent of revealing the real Truth of it all.

I called this reason an *Almost* Unofficial reason.

This second reason would never be admitted to as the real reason for bringing these cases against Alex Jones. I mean, how would that look? Interestingly however, in listening to the summing up by the plaintiff's lawyer at the first trial in Austin Texas just a few weeks ago, he was very clear in his argument to the jury. He wanted the jury to award such damages against Alex Jones that:

1. He – Alex Jones - cannot tell LIES again:
Not that he did, if he reported what he found through research
2. He cannot rebuild his media platform again:
To continue reporting on what he finds through research
3. Others are dissuaded for doing what Alex Jones still does:
That is, report a challenging narrative to the government's own

The remarks made in court by the plaintiff's lawyer could not have been any more stark and revealing. The legal trials against Alex Jones are seen as sending a message to the free world that free speech is really not allowed if the government says it's not. The message was clear for all to witness – Do not follow in Alex Jones' shoes or action will be taken to silence you!

Action by who though?

Now the voice in your ear will be saying, wait a minute. It is not the government which is the plaintiff here or anyone else. Rather, it is the families of the Sandy Hook child victims. And you are correct.

The question which follows is this. Would the families – even collectively – be motivated to attack Alex Jones in court? Should they not instead take a legal case against those who actually attacked them verbally?

Two points to take-away here.

Anyone would believe Alex Jones might have far more wealth than an ordinary Jo who posts an attacking social media post. Perhaps better then to pursue Alex Jones for damages. But Alex Jones might also be better financially resourced to counter such an action – and if he won, it could financially ruin the families. In essence, it's a potential stalemate situation and one leaving a difficult call to make – to take him on OR NOT take him on.

The second point being:

Who was really motivated to silence Alex Jones – and for the reasons I've alluded to? Was this legal action therefore – taking the form of 3 trials for damages against Alex Jones – all about silencing Alex Jones and his platform – whilst sending a clear message to anyone else in the world of media who might be thinking of following in his shoes?

A question remains. If the families of the Sandy Hook victims – as they have been reported – were prompted to take this legal action against Alex Jones – did those families have a sponsor? If so, who was that?

- 11 There is a footnote to the legal claims against Alex Jones which is relevant to give important mention to.

The victim's families claimed Alex Jones made millions in profit from selling product on his website. The legal cases against him claim that he made that profit as a result of peddling lies and false information about the Sandy Hook Event. It is a fairly weak argument given that all commercial media platforms generate income from advertising or selling product through their platforms.

Alex Jones reported news and associated stories as he saw them. This is what journalism is about. So the fact that his platform generated profit as a consequence of the media stories he gave exposure to is irrelevant.

Even more interesting however is this point.

Alex Jones has repeatedly said – and for some years – that he actually believes now that he was wrong and the Event was not a false flag event. Despite this, the legal cases have and are going ahead.

The question which sits heavily in my mind, however, is now this.

- Given the *tell-tale* elements surrounding Sandy Hook that I have seen
- Given the Full and Factual disclosure I have NO ONE has seen

I can see exactly why Alex Jones - and probably countless other millions – reached the same original conclusion he did in early 2013.

I know of no reason why Alex Jones would have changed his mind about the Event – despite the fact that he has, since, publicly admitted to doing so.

Is it therefore possible, that Alex Jones was *advised* to change his mind – and publicly say so? Plus, who might have *advised* him?

- 12 The previous trial in Texas, the current trial in Connecticut and the one yet to start - and conclude before the Mid-Term political elections I might guess – all paint one picture in the eyes of this journalist – and doubtless countless others around the world.

The trial is about finishing press freedom in the way we currently know it. The last question to voice here – on this subject – is what if anything are People going to do about it? What would you suggest?

13 **STOP PRESS**

The jury's verdict in the 2nd of Alex Jones' trials has now been announced.

GB NEWS reported:

[Alex Jones must pay Sandy Hook families nearly \\$1 billion for hoax claims, jury says.](#)

Conspiracy theorist Alex Jones must pay at least \$965 million in damages to numerous families of victims of the 2012 Sandy Hook mass shooting for falsely claiming they were actors who faked the tragedy, a Connecticut jury said on Wednesday.

The verdict, which came after three weeks of testimony in a state court in Waterbury, Connecticut, far outstripped the \$49 million Jones was ordered to pay by a Texas jury in a similar case in August.

The plaintiffs were relatives of 20 children and six staff members who were gunned down at Sandy Hook Elementary School in December 2012. Jones claimed for years that the massacre was staged as part of a government plot to take away Americans' guns.

- 14 This verdict will be interpreted as the best evidence yet that the families concerned have been sponsored – and sponsored to:
- NOT ONLY bring down and financially ruin Alex Jones, but
 - through – what many would regard as – an independent court *justice* action, send a loud message to all media platforms anywhere in the world, the following message:

*If, your platform disagrees and challenges the Official Narrative – told to you by your government – and people have reason to feel harmed by your accusations and assertions, whoever the aggrieved may be and whatever form that harm may have taken – then you and your platform will receive the same treatment Alex Jones has. **Wow!***

- 15 There are two further observations which are relevant to make.

ONE

The verdict reached in this latest trial – the second of three - has awarded damages - payable by Alex Jones – equating to approx. \$40M per family.

This is an extraordinary sum of money when one understands how the families have said they have suffered. No family has apparently lost another loved one as a result. The harm appears to have ranged from receiving hate mail and having the inconvenience of moving home to protect their identities.

No harm of any nature was caused – to anyone - due to Alex Jones directly. Instead, the harm caused was due to unknown third parties – who appear to have been motivated by the accusations and assertions, Alex Jones had reasonably shared on his media platform – InfoWars – given the information and lack of information he was alerted to.

TWO

The basis for the trials against Alex Jones – is that harm was caused to people by persons unknown, apparently being the direct result of what Alex Jones was sharing with people on his media platform.

The award equated to approx. \$40M per family.

Now consider this.

If a family was similarly inconvenienced – let's say inconvenienced by route of being medically harmed – again by persons unknown – and being the direct result of what PM BoJo, Joe Biden or any other politician OR media platform host had said -would those families have recourse to suit those individuals?

It would seem so.

This is a conclusion which I'm sure many will be contemplating in America right now.

- 16 To get a measure of the culpability of certain politicians and media hosts connected with the medical harms caused by their messaging to people - inflicted on innocent and otherwise healthy people over almost 2yrs now – a particularly illuminating documentary film might give cause for anyone to wonder about that legal action.

This is a 2hr documentary, packed with an array of thought-provoking content: [Uniformed Consent](#)

It is gripping from the first moments in every sense – and will raise a plethora of valuable and important questions for everyone who views it.

- PS1 To expand on the story covered above you may like to read two previous articles by Connect:

[Sandy Hook – A Shooting Event: M2345 EA](#)

[The Snagging List](#) mentioned in [8] above is referred to in this article.

[The Attack On People's Media: M2325 DC](#)

CONNECT'S Maxim and Oath

Connect is only interested in finding and sharing the TRUTH.
In search of that TRUTH, we only pose questions – we have no answers.

By: David Charles

Contact/Source: CONNECT: [Magazine](#)

- 2 [LINK](#) InfoWars
- 2 [LINK](#) ABC News: Alex Jones 'boycotts' defamation trial - in Connecticut
- 6 [LINK](#) Wikipedia: Sandy Hook Elementary School Shooting
- 13 [LINK](#) GB NEWS: Alex Jones must pay Sandy Hook families nearly \$1 billion
- 16 [LINK](#) Documentary film: Uniformed Consent
- PS1 [LINK](#) Connect: Sandy Hook – A Shooting Event: M2345 EA
- PS1 [LINK](#) Connect: Sandy Hook Elementary School – The Snagging List
- PS1 [LINK](#) Connect: The Attack On People's Media: M2325 DC

PLEASE DOWNLOAD AND SHARE THIS ARTICLE



Opportunity to join the CONNECT [team and network](#)

END