Special Feature

| | CONNECT | |
|-----------------------|---------|--|
| I Can See Clearly Now | | |

2115 GC

The revised view of the world which this account gives us, changes everything!

... the rain has gone. Well, what we see clearly is only made possible by a gap in the dark clouds. But, what a view of the ground it has given us! What we were all told was real and true - turns out not to be!

The view that many of us have carried in our heads for decades is now beginning to change rapidly for many people. This article uncovers serious defects in our world of dominating Big Media and Big Pharma.

That old view - as I will call it - is a view of the world as were given it through our then trusted sources, delivered by television, radio and printed sheets. People in the UK have grown up in the last century with a sense of pride about the BBC - the dominant and first place to go to for trusted news - presented to UK citizens and the wider world as the model of truth, honesty and good old Britishness!

We all know that old phrase:

"If you heard it on Radio 4 [BBC] - then it must be true." We trusted auntie to deliver the news – the truthful news. That is – having conducted careful and critical research along the way.

Well, not any more it seems!

Since I personally became interested in the BBC news programmes – last century - the world has changed and - I have to confess – it did so without my realising it. Maybe you didn't realise it either?

- We have been educated over the years that these people are bad and these others are never to be trusted. And we have believed and trusted those who informed us. We listened to everything that was broadcast about 9/11 including on the BBC and were told in detail about those types who were responsible for one of the world's greatest acts of violence inflicted on innocent people in the space of 24hrs. Hmmm. Who believes all that now?
- As time has passed, many things have come to light about 9/11 and having done so, those things have caused some of us to question more deeply what we have been informed about. The thing is this. The sources that should have the answers those who should have done the critical research have been found silent, and if not silent totally lacking.

The subject of this View is not that 9/11 story – but on that story, there is clearly a completely different story to be told. That's a View for another day.

- 5 Three of the major changes in the world over the last two decades in particular, relate to business and they each have their roots in the USA.
 - The ownership of some of the giant corporates in the world.
 The majority shareholdings of many household names representing major supply and service organisations in the world are held by just three investment companies, being:
 Blackrock Inc | Vanguard Group [The] Inc | State Street Corporation

What this means is that the companies these three investors have majority shareholdings in, are not independent - as people may think they are. In effect they are all one - it's a monopoly in other words, controlled by a small boardroom of powerful individuals.

The same small number of individuals are responsible for all the big retailers pulling out of Moscow due to the invasion of Ukraine.

See this documentary - <u>Monopoly</u>. It explains the situation very clearly.

2. The major tech giants in the world – including those that run our social media and computer systems – all have direct and long-term links with the <u>World Economic Forum</u> - WEF. The WEF is composed of a small number of extremely wealthy individuals - leaders of extremely wealthy corporates and - government leaders – some of whom have been groomed by the WEF in its school of future world leaders.

The WEF has some very interesting views about the direction it wishes to take the world in – and in particular, its promotion of how we are all going to live in the future – *owning nothing*! The flip side of this coin is that the *wealthy*, *dictating*, *self-appointed elite* – will own everything.

None of these views – and intentions - are discussed or voted on by representatives of the ordinary people. It is a direction being effectively imposed on us all – without any say whatsoever.

You might say that these *self-appointed elites* have our best interests at heart. Or is it the case that the highly privileged few, like Bill Gates for example - as one prominent WEF member - have their very own best interests at heart?

3. Then there are the Big Pharma giants.

These giants have for some years been steered by Bill Gates – the number ONE promoter of vaccines in the world. We have all seen this man speaking about the vital need to inject all 7billion of the world's population - to fight off a pandemic which he predicted would arrive. Given that the virus was manmade – from Gain of Function studies in

Wuhan, orchestrated and financed by the USA - we are left to ponder on these very key facts - and their connections.

The sums of money received by Big Pharma - and their investors - as a result of our governments around the world buying into the injection strategy - with taxpayer's money - has meant the plandemic has generated a cash bonanza for this industry.

But the cash generation from vaccine sales was preceded by the cash mountain generated from drug sales around the world – including heavily in the UK's NHS - way before C19 hit the news media.

In the world today – as before today - if you want to influence anyone, then cash is your No1 turn-to asset. And Big Pharma and its investors have plenty of that to spread around – and they do!

That asset has been used to drive Bill Gates' agenda with all kinds of people and institutions – including the World Health Organisation, world governments, research institutions and even media platforms. And included in those media platforms – may be surprising to most – is our dear old auntie – the BBC.

- What this Sky Cam View more than hints at, is that the direction of policy in the world and particularly health policy via the World Health Organisation, which Bill Gates is the second-largest funder of is being dictated by a small number of people with exceptional powers of influence.
- 7 Speaking of influence imagine for a moment that there was a James Bond type villain in the world and they had one of those world domination plans.

Let's pretend that this villain – so to misdirect the whole world - took control of the media. By doing this, the villain's message – being a corruption of the truth – would be broadcast to everyone, meaning everyone would be duped into thinking one thing – and only one thing – when something quite different was happening.

If you think that journalists wouldn't play ball with such a distortion of reality – you, like I was – would be wrong. It is amazing how people stay quiet if their mortgage depends on keeping their jobs. Examples of this abound in our own NHS system, the research systems – including Liverpool University. They also abound in the media industry with journalists.

Such a story would make for an interesting screenplay for a future Bond film.

But and this is a BIG but – this screenplay is actually being played in reality. Take a look at this documentary – <u>Busted</u>. The whole film is a fascinating account revealing the truth about the mainstream media. Just to add a little spice to the Bond-type story – the man who introduces the film is now dead. Was he bumped off – we don't know? But he would have upset the types that engage in that sort of cleansing work.

At **15min 20sec** we are shown how all the news scripts – across a multitude of different news platforms - albeit in the USA – are all coordinated. Wow. Who would have guessed such a thing was possible? I certainly didn't. Have you stopped to watch Monopoly yet? It will help make things clearer.

The other reality, is that we have almost come to know our favourite news presenters – those in our living rooms every night - as close family members. For some, we see these news presenter's faces more often than we see our kid's. They are familiar – if not family – and are our trusted purveyors of truth.

8 Let's now develop further our Bond film plot. Let's pretend that the reason for the misdirection of the US population – and the world's – is to scare people into taking an injection to save us all from a dangerous pandemic. The purpose of the injection though is something nefarious as you would expect it to be, given the film – but we won't develop that idea here today.

Our primary Bond villain, known simply as KS, has an associate BG who is otherwise known as No1. BG works to get the world injected with a dubious substance – an unknown substance for unknown purposes. BG also has the job of making sure that nothing gets in the way of people deciding to be injected. This means, making sure, there are no alternative treatments for anyone. He makes it known that it is the injection that will save people from the deadly pandemic – and nothing else will do it.

That is nothing else – even if there really is something else – even if it is a cheap, available, safe and effective alternative treatment.

The role of BG – KS' No1 - is to ensure the mainstream media or anyone - doesn't get to know about it that alternative treatment.

The role of No2 in this film – working for No1 - is to ensure that any mention of the alternative treatment is wiped from all social media platforms. Anyone posting information about that treatment is censored and labelled a source of misinformation. Their peers add to the strategy of shaming, by labelling all challengers "Conspiracy Theorists" and do so at the merest indication their thinking is out of line with the official narrative.

The CIA first coined that phrase - "Conspiracy Theorist" - in the 1960s. It was used in the media to smear those sceptics who didn't believe the official narrative about the assignation of President Kennedy. It was rolled out again in respect of 9/11 and is still applied to that story - albeit with much-reduced effect - given what's come to light since.

The label worked well for these two historical events and – incidentally – it seems it has been working well today in respect of C19.

No3 also works for No1 and has the role of debunking any misinformation - not fitting the narrative dictated by No1. This is achieved by having the *misinformation* assessed as such by a new breed of animal which has swept the world *clean* – the Fact-Checkers. The existence of this animal should tell us something in itself – particularly when it's understood by whom they were created.

The above extract from this Bond type screenplay sets the scene for the View I am going to introduce next.

Enter a man who has been assessing the medical industry on television in the USA for some years now. He is a man passionate about the truth and has a developed mistrust of what Big Pharma tells people. It is a mistrust matched by an equivalent mistrust of politicians and the major news platforms. Given this man's devotion to finding the truth – and over a long period of time – he really deserves to be listened to.

Del Bigtree of <u>The Highwire</u> is that man and - in his illuminating <u>54min video</u> - he speaks with a UK based scientist - Dr Tess Lawrie - who until recently was a senior advisor to the WHO and the NHS.

In the exchange, we hear the real-life account about that alternative treatment mentioned above in the film screenplay. We also get to hear about the powers of some major influencers. These are influencers who clearly support the ONE solution talked about to counter the pandemic – it's the ONE solution any of us ONLY get to hear about in the mainstream media. It's the solution that our Bill Gates has been championing for 2yrs.

The alternative treatment - in this real-life account - it's called Ivermectin.

Del Bigtree in the above video refers to a video by Dr Tess giving an account of her exchange with another scientist – a scientist also based in the UK and also an advisor to the WHO. That scientist is Dr Andrew Hill.

The video by Dr Tess – <u>A Letter to Dr Andrew Hill</u> and released in **Mar22** - is a remarkable account of what happened in **Jan21**. At that time, the single treatment – allowed to be spoken about by anyone, anywhere – was just being rolled out to the world. That was our Bill's solution.

The UK's regulator – the MHRA like other regulators around the world – only allowed that roll-out to citizens on the basis that no alternative – available and effective treatment - existed. Which, as we know now, it did.

Dr Tess had told PM Johnson and his advisors about that alternative in a video letter early in **Jan21**. Dr Andrew Hill had agreed with Dr Tess about that alternative – along with a host of other doctors and scientists from around the world. On **19jan21**, the WHO was waiting on Dr Andrew Hill's report which would advise on the role Ivermectin was to play – or not play in the fight against the virus.

The problem was – for reasons which have not yet been made totally clear – Dr Andrew Hill's report to the WHO was altered at the last moment, just before release and distribution. It was downgraded in its praise of Ivermectin.

The adjusted wording – which Dr Andrew Hill hints was made by other interested parties – effectively reported that the multitude of studies done to date was inconclusive – and at **Jan21**, Ivermectin could not be recommended as a treatment for C19.

This negative conclusion flew in the face of all the evidence amassed, assessed and agreed by all the scientists and doctors involved. None were more stunned at the reversal of Dr Andrew Hill's conclusions than Dr Tess – who challenged Dr Hill in a recorded zoom call. That call is the subject of the video, A Letter to Dr Andrew Hill

So, Dr Andrew Hill's report to the WHO meant

- 1. Ivermectin was effectively buried as an effective available treatment
- 2. The inoculation alternative pushed by Bill Gates was cleared to roll out It also meant
 - 3. People died of C19 being barred from being allowed Ivermectin
 - 4. People died of the inoculation as so many have due to fatal effects The number of deaths due to Dr Andrew Hill's adjusted report is incalculable – but will now be in the millions!
- This truly stunning account should make us all sit back and think. It provides for us all a different View of everything.

 What it also does is cause us all to rethink what/who we can trust and what/who we cannot. The View that this account gives us truly lays bare the serious nefarious *like* connections the deceit the corruption which seems riddled throughout the world of Big Pharma bought researchers and ineffectual, incapable and perhaps, also corrupted governments.

In respect of the UK government, any reasonable person would have imagined that Dr Tess' submission to PM Johnson would have been leapt on and verified within days. But no, it wasn't. What does that tell us?

And if we were to give PM Johnson the benefit of the doubt – whatever that might mean - then an in-depth inquiry would confirm his innocence or not. It would tell us what really happened to Dr Tess' submission to our PM.

And then, let us not forget the mainstream media's role in this story. The BBC and all our favourite newsreaders never said a positive word about Ivermectin – and I mean NEVER! The BBC only ever gave it a mention - to tell us what someone else [No1] wanted them to tell us – and that was a negative mention, telling us that Ivermectin is a treatment for animals. Which it is – that is true – and also humans!

Having read this account through – and watched the videos – I wonder if your conclusion – your Sky Cam View of the world – is now the same as mine?

- Ps1 Speaking of videos I'd like to make a suggestion.

 Concerning the story attached to Dr Tess Lawrie and Dr Andrew Hill. If you haven't watched them yet, then I would suggest watching these videos in this order.
 - 1. A Letter to Dr Andrew Hill 18min
 - 2. Del Bigtree speaks with Dr Tess Lawrie about Ivermectin 54min

This video is a late entry. It involves an early champion of Ivermectin Dr Pierre Kory speaking with a UK investigative film-maker Phil Harper.

3. The interference of Big Pharma in THE SCIENCE – at Liverpool Uni!

Listen out for the name Dr Andrew Owen in this video. He has an important role to play in this whole affair. The film suggests Owen is the main villain's *GoFer* – meaning that Dr Andrew Hill is just protecting his mortgage.

CONNECT'S Maxim and Oath

Connect is only interested in finding and sharing the TRUTH. In search of that TRUTH, we only pose questions – we have no answers.

Source: Tetbury CONNECT: Magazine

- 5 <u>LINK</u> The major shareholders in the global reaching corporates
- 5 LINK World Economic Forum
- 5 <u>LINK</u> Monopoly: Who Owns the World?
- 7 <u>LINK</u> Busted: The TRUTH about the mainstream media the puppeteers
- 10 <u>LINK</u> The Highwire
- 10 LINK Del Bigtree speaks with Dr Tess Lawrie about Ivermectin
- 11 LINK A Letter To Dr Andrew Hill by Dr Tess Lawrie
- PS1 LINK The interference of Big Pharma in THE SCIENCE at Liverpool Uni!

FURTHER READING

- LINK Was It Prof. Andrew Owen Who Blocked Ivermectin?
- LINK Klaus Schwab's WEF "Young Global Leaders" and the Pandemic

PLEASE DOWNLOAD AND SHARE THIS ARTICLE





Opportunity to join the CONNECT team and network

END