Special Feature

CONNECT

The WEF – Another Look: Part 2

MA-3285A

Looking at the under belly of this thing provides a different view of the beast

1 The World Economic Forum - it's become the world's steering committee on everything that's important. When I say important, I really mean everything that has a serious monetary dimension for all those at the Forum.

And all those at the Forum are unlikely to include anyone who is NOT in a serious political position – or on the way to one – or is NOT in a serious wealth position – or on the way to one. Others fitting the bill for an invite to the annual meet up in Davos will include specially selected groups of mainstream media and, apparently, women with overnight service duties.



2 The question is, where to begin with this alternative look at the organisation with an incredible influence over everything that matters – that is everything that matters to those with an invite – excluding the service media and the overnight service providers naturally.

First to say about the WEF is that *nearly* everything you hear them talk about sounds very acceptable – even sensible. It's the way they tell it – to sell it. They talk about:

- global corporates working together to improve sustainability
- reducing the effects of climate change
- using the Covid opportunity to bring about a Great Reset
- building back better
- and a lengthy list of seemingly wonderful things

But it appears the array of wonderful things are not the wonderful things they appear at first to be. At least not for us.

All of the above sounds, just – well, dandy really. And that is as far as most people are aware. The WEF are not unhappy most of us get the top-level message this way – telling us THEY have our interests at heart – although I haven't heard them say that exactly.

There are others however who drill down on the messaging and go deeper to question what the hidden motives might be – if there are any – testing whether THEY have a plan – that's not so obvious to the casual observer. And the first answer to surface is that there certainly are motives - and not all of them hidden – and none of them sound great. In fact, one rarely has to drill very far to be told – by their own mouths - what the situation and the plan is for the future. Actually, it appears to be more about THEIR future than anyone else's. The strange thing is, THEY talk in terms that suggest that we – that's us – actually belong to THEM. A most curious idea I have to say.

Right-hand man to WEF founder **Klaus Schwab** – **Yuval Noah Harari** - has said the same in different interviews. In the coming years WE – that's THEY in this case - will not need the majority of the People. That's nice.

That's a very odd statement to hear for the first time, isn't it? Once you have heard it several times and in different situations – gaining a grip on the basic context in which his words are heard – then the feeling towards the statement changes. We get to understand how THESE people are thinking and how THESE people regard the rest of us.

It's said by YNH, We – meaning US now - will become useless eaters. This is because we will lose our jobs to Ai and robots – leaving us with nothing worthwhile to do with our lives. The solution – for those who might still be here in THEIR space – is drugs and computer games. Yippee some of you might be thinking – although, I think NOT!

You might listen to an <u>interview he gave on the Dutch platform VPRO</u>. It was centred on Ai, and non-human life forms. It left me pondering the question - what happens to us when the algorithm says NO? It's actually an ideal opener to the topic of the WEF.

I almost feel it makes us want to apologise for becoming this way - useless. And how did we become so useless and why aren't we serving THESE people – our *masters* - better. Speaking of which, Klaus Schwab tells us openly that those who possess the data will become masters of the world. And those masters won't be elected masters – a clear contradiction in terms. Those *masters* are those Power Rich individuals who have a chunk of the data already. And as you might guess – the data being referred to, is actually OUR data anyway. Hmm. Does this all get you thinking a bit?

It's the stuff of horror sci-fi films really, except it's not sci-fi - but it is horrific. The WEF - and all THOSE within the cult, since that is what it is - have placed themselves at the top of the pyramid and by default everyone else – that's you, me and everyone else - sits way below THEM. The question is – if all this comes to pass – for how long? There's been mention of depopulation, which is another one of those things that isn't buried under the layers of sound-bytes. It is talked about by these people – and that includes **Bill Gates**.

Bill Gates gave a TED talk on the subject of reducing the population – which is a kinder and softer way of saying the same thing. With better healthcare and vaccines – his pet *go-to* product – WE – meaning THEM I think - can get the total population down by 10%. There are some who calculate that the last round of the vaccines he was involved in pushing will do more than 10%. That's without the next ones for the next pandemic. You may know that the Covid one – he calls pandemic NO1. He also grins somewhat disturbingly when he says "*No2 will get attention this time.*" I think he's serious.

Do take a listen to him talking about that. The interview is in a documentary called <u>THE PLAN</u> - at the start.

I mentioned the <u>TED talk Bill Gates</u> gave. He centres on the equation:

$CO2 = P \times S \times E \times C$

CO2 is Carbon Dioxide and "P" represents People.

To get CO2 down to zero – being the WEF plan which is now supported by obedient governments and corporates around the world – one of the other elements needs to get close to zero also. Bill Gates gets a chuckle from his audience at this point – and maybe they are all in on the game.

One outspoken person challenging the narrative on CO2 has been journalist **Alan Jones** of Sky News Australia. He was speaking on a Q and A panel about the CO2 subject and mentioned quizzing a senior politician who was arguing on Bill Gates' behalf.

He asked the politician – " Is CO2 the big issue in relation to climate change? The answer he got back was, "YES."

He then asked the politician, what percentage of the atmosphere is made up of CO2 – answer – "I don't know."

Alan Jones suggested that the politician was prepared to stand the economy on its head due to a problem they knew little about. Such is the quality of politicians everywhere!

Alan knew the answer – and said this - I quote:

CO2 makes up 0.04% of the atmosphere Of that 0.04% , humans around the world create 3% Of that 3% of the 0.04%, Australia creates 1.3%

Well, he didn't get corrected in the programme and hasn't been corrected anywhere as far as I can determine. That being the case, there's an argument for asking some deep but basic questions – starting with politicians perhaps. On the face of it though, the mainstream media spew horror and fear on the topic daily, supported – or rather driven – by politicians who either know diddly-squat about anything or are lying. It could be both. But the WEF are the apparent source of all the messaging, which begs the very questions forming in your mind right now. In <u>Alan Jones' second video</u> – on Sky News Australia - he actually tells it straight, looking at Greta Thunberg in the eye, like a good Aussi might! He does give our kids and their parents something to ponder on. It's a little harsh but he does make a good point.

3 Let's now continue our short tour – in this follow up to the Part One expose – M-2345AC <u>The WEF - Another Look: Part 1</u> around some of the things which the WEF have been talking about as THEY have for some time now. What I would say is this.

Keep an open mind and question EVERYTHING. I am simply relaying what has been put out and it's for you to interpret in whichever way you choose.

What I would also advise though is - that:

- you are not quick to dismiss what you read, hear or see not just here in this article but, the articles I have provided links to.
- you look through both ends of the telescope since viewing the same thing through a different lens can change the picture totally!



Assume I have no view on anything that follows – I'm a neutral. The information is presented for your own assessment and discernment. I have looked at the following a little tongue in cheek – forgive me. I find it a tad easier to digest this way.

F. FOOD

Our food supply is under threat from a range of apparently unconnected events. These events include "*measures*" which are supposedly - to "*fight climate change*." Such measures include the slaughtering of cows and other farm animals.

They also include the seizing of farms by governments, with farmers being forced off their land. Their livelihoods end – and of course, food production ends as well. This began in the Netherlands with the government pushing Dutch farmers off their land and reducing their food output. It's not restricted to that nation though – far from it. The US government – presumably acting on the instruction on shadowy transnational organisations such as the WEF – has said that confiscation of farmland by the government is a possibility:

PQ-3215: LAND OWNERSHIP - LAND GRAB

The Netherlands is – or was, at least - the second biggest exporter of food in the world. The Dutch government - working to a WEF agenda it seems – has stated that it wants to reduce the number of farms and close 3000 independently-owned food producers - almost a third of the country's output.

Both the killing of farm animals and the closing of farms are being done – we're told – to reduce *emissions*. I'd have thought the emissions from the dumping of an enormous number of animal carcasses into landfill would be pretty high - but perhaps that doesn't count – as it's being done by the government.

No debate is allowed, of course, about whether reducing so-called greenhouse gases is necessary, even though carbon dioxide – an essential of life – has been re-branded as a toxin.

SC-3245C: <u>IS THIS WHERE - WE SAY NO!</u> BP-3226B: <u>COWS TO THE SLAUGHTER</u> BP-2483B: <u>DUTCH GOV'T STEAL 3000 FARMS</u>

Meanwhile, "green electricity" is being produced by burning wood, some of which comes from virgin forests. The trees are felled in Canada and the wood is shipped to the UK! Not only this – the electricity producers receive government subsidies!

Such cognitive dissonance - as well as other issues – has consequences. Those consequences include -suspicion about the true causes of events such as the destruction of the Kakhovka dam in Ukraine. One result of the dam's destruction – as well as the massive trauma and cost in every sense to the people who are directly affected - is the desertification of massive swathes of fertile land. This, in a nation which has perhaps the most fertile land on the planet and is known as one of the *bread baskets* of the world.

BP-3113C: IT'S ALL ABOUT CONTROL OF US SC-3103B: WE ALL HAVE TO EAT DON'T WE? BP-3101C: HAS THIS REALLY BEEN PROVED? BP-3245A: THE DAM: WAS IT TO LIMIT FOOD?

One close associate of **Klaus Schwab** and a high-profile WEF member is **Bill Gates**. Bill has become the biggest farmland owner in the USA, which is odd, given that he's a computer software expert, Big Pharma investor and world policy steering guru. His acquisition of farmland begins to make more sense, however, when the big picture around him is viewed – in particular, the WEF and the topic of food.

The WEF want to wean us all off eating meat and instead get us eating bugs, something else Bill Gates is investing in, it appears. The Dutch haven't been *asked* about this – it is just happening. THESE people

won't sit down for a debate with ordinary folk – only with politicians, Big Business types and those who rub shoulders with Bill Gates.

So, the question is – are you comfortable with the sound of all this? Maybe you are beginning to have concerns - that would be understandable.

Viewed together, these apparently separate events form an image. Like a child's *dot-to-dot* exercise, an image gradually takes form. It is an image of famine - of *Biblical* proportions - across the world. A famine will not of course affect WEF members, who – it seems – are intent on making sure THEY own all the earth's assets – including the ability to produce food – farmland being a key part of that ability.

This famine will have been *allowed* to take place – or *made* to take place? Given the power of the WEF, it seems reasonable to say that – despite all their talk about wonderful things referred to above – the WEF is allowing [or causing?] a famine to take place across the world.

Some people will think all this is mere conspiracy theory. For such people, may I make a suggestion, being – to read a short **CONNECT** Magazine article - **M-2235RB** Food Chain Fun And Games. This article is about an event in 2015 where 65 international *experts* assembled. These experts played a *game* all about food production and supplies. The aim of the game - and the event - was to ensure that the world could be fed.

One question we might all ask ourselves is - does it appear that the experts' aim has succeeded? Another question we might all ask ourselves is – does it look as if this was the event's real aim?

If we were to turn the telescope around and view the event differently, could its real purpose have been perhaps to explore how best to **gain** control of the world's food supplies?

G. OWNERSHIP

The World Economic Forum [WEF] – has become famous – or infamous, rather – in recent times for its catchy little slogans. Perhaps the most infamous and menacing slogan the WEF has shared with the world is – *"You will own nothing and be happy":*

SC-3151C: YOU WILL OWN NOTHING!

One of the things that the WEF is set on preventing people from owning in the near future is cars. There's a lot of talk from the WEF and its partners about cycling being a main form of transport in addition to walking.

I believe, however, there have recently been suggestions that tyres cause emissions. Uh-oh - if that's the case, bikes will also be *verboten*

and we'll be restricted to just walking. That is, until the WEF decrees that shoes cause emissions. At that point, can we assume that the WEF will ban walking, too?

About cars, however, the WEF has said they should be rented by the hour. They've also said that people shouldn't be able to own their homes. Shall we guess who WILL own those assets in the future? Let me see, now...

Fascinatingly, however, despite the WEF insisting that privately owned cars are a problem for the planet - due to the demand for precious metals and fossil fuels - the WEF isn't bothered by the private jets their members and partners fly in. Thousands of people fly to Davos each year in private jets for the WEF's get-together:

BP-2296D: <u>SQUEEZED UNTIL WE BLEED.</u>

If it wasn't for certain problems, the WEF's ideas on ownership would be wonderful. Wouldn't it be fantastic to be able to just step outside and grab a car whenever we needed one, dropping it off when we reached our destination? No car insurance to arrange – how lovely! No MOT test to organise – how lovely! No maintenance costs, no parking problems – this all sounds brilliant!

The same is true for private home ownership. In many nations, renting a home has been the norm and has worked well. There are, however, as I said above, certain problems with the WEF's decrees that we won't own anything.

I'll just address two of those problems here. The first is – nobody's asked our opinion. According to the WEF, we'll be expected to give up everything we own – because they say so.

The second problem is - pronouns. Now, pronouns are extremely important nowadays, with many people being expected to share their pronouns in their work emails and so on. So I'm surprised that the WEF is behind the curve here. The WEF's slogan doesn't say - *"WE will own nothing and be happy."*

If it said WE, it could have been expressing a visionary idea depicting a better world in which ownership was no longer necessary. That's a beautiful idea! It suggests abundance and generosity – that whatever we need, we will be given. It suggests that we would not need to hold onto anything – instead we'd use things, enjoy them, benefit from them and then pass them on so that someone else can make use of them. It's a bit like the idea of leaving books in public places so that someone else can take them away, read them and leave them for the next reader.

But – no. The WEF tell us: *"YOU will own nothing and be happy."* Us and them, in other words. THEIR future will be quite, quite different

from OURS. THEY will own whatever they like – and it will include everything that the rest of us own now. That's what we can infer from their use of pronouns.

So, for me, the *pronoun use* alone tells me everything I need to know about the WEF, its plans and its agendas.

I'm so glad the WEF has shared with the world what its pronouns are! And the message of those pronouns is clear – it's US and THEM.

H. THE GREAT RESET

Many aspects of The Great Reset are fabulous. No – I haven't lost my mind. How could anyone argue with better, fairer and cleaner ways of living and working in the future? The trouble is – as above – two major problems stand out, for me, at least.

One problem is that we haven't been consulted – The Great Reset is something that a bunch of wealthy, influential people with far too much power want to bring about.

The other problem is that these same Power Rich people will benefit by gaining even more wealth and power, while everyone else will lose. Just one of the reasons why I say this is - The Great Reset is all about creating dependence on big business. For example – who will own the cars that people rent by the hour? Big businesses. Who will own your home? Big businesses. Who will produce the food that people will eat? Big businesses. Who – or rather, what – will run every aspect of our existence after The Great Reset, such as in the 15minute Cities – soon to become Smart Cities – we might expect to be moved into?

For a quick explanation of The Great Reset and why it is such a terrible, inhuman plan, Rowan Dean - a presenter for Sky News Australia - has put out dire warnings about the plan, which he calls a "terrifying coalition of big business and big tech." It could be argued that, as a journalist, Rowan crosses the line in what he says about it. He's not on the fence, it has to be said!

YouTube: <u>'You will own nothing, and you will be happy</u>': Warnings of <u>'Orwellian' Great Reset</u>

Marc Moran explains The Great Reset in more detail in a video: **DD-3201C**: <u>THE GREAT RESET IN 37 MINS</u>

A more detailed, written explanation of The Great Reset, is provided by the researcher Iain Davis in a two-part article: <u>Seizing Everything: The Theft of the Global Commons – Part 1</u> <u>Seizing Everything: The Theft of the Global Commons – Part 2</u>

lain's two articles are packed with links to evidence that show how he has come to his conclusions about The Great Reset. One example is

his explanation – evidenced - of how the slogan *Build Back Better* - which *sounds like common sense* – fits into The Great Reset,

Even some *conspiracy-deniers* can see what is wrong with this invention from the WEF - The Great Reset: **Open Democracy:** <u>Conspiracy theories aside, there is something fishy</u> <u>about the Great Reset</u>

I. THE NEW WORLD ORDER

The New World Order may be the most-denied plan ever. According to a Wikipedia article - <u>New World Order [conspiracy theory]</u> - the New World Order is a *conspiracy theory* about a world government. The common theme in New World Order conspiracy theories, the article says, is that a secretive power elite with a globalist agenda is conspiring to eventually rule the world through an authoritarian oneworld government which will replace sovereign nation-states.

This is, of course, a completely different picture from the New World Order that will be in place if the plans of the WEF and its partners succeed:

BANK: <u>WEF - Plans</u> SC-3112A: <u>THIS HAS BEEN TALKED ABOUT</u>

The real global agenda to create one-world governance which will replace sovereign nation-states is *obviously* quite different from the conspiracy theory of the Wikipedia article.

Is it? Can you spot the difference? I can't see it myself. The only difference I can see is that the *real* New World Order isn't a secret. The idea of it has been promoted by many world mis-leaders, although the precise nature of such world governance has morphed over time - or at least the language has.

The only other difference I can see is the word *totalitarian* or *authoritarian*. There's no sign of that in the plans of the WEF and its partners – none at all. Not after all the lovely meetings we've had with them to discuss how our world will be... oh, wait...

The *real* New World Order is explained by the researcher James Corbett:

The Corbett Report: <u>Globalization is Dead. Long Live the New World</u> <u>Order!</u>

The WEF is the public relations lead in the New World Order, but its plan for The Great Reset is not new. It's merely the re-branding of an old idea that some "special" people are destined, and therefore have the right, to lead [or RULE] the rest of us without a democratic mandate - or even popular support. They simply believe that they were born to rule over the rest of us. It's like they think they own us.

The phrase "Build Back Better", that the Power Rich class use, is a global signal that the plan to create a New World Order is underway. The Power Rich class plans to seize the entire earth, all its resources and everything on it - including humans - and divide it up amongst themselves, according to lain Davis:

lain Davis:

Seizing Everything: The Theft of the Global Commons – Part 1

lain Davis:

Seizing Everything: The Theft of the Global Commons – Part 2

J. WEF and DAVOS

Key members of the WEF – an unelected body that is not accountable to anyone – have said publicly that they intend to bring the WEF's plans to fruition. They overtly say they will do so, regardless of whether or not the public – the vast majority of the population – like, approve or even understand these plans.

Members of the WEF state that their policies will shape public opinion. They refer to themselves as "a select group of human beings." They overtly say that press freedom is a problem that must be dealt with. They say that the WEF has "the rules" and that sanctions will follow any lack of compliance with these "rules":

Rukshan Fernando: <u>The WEF AGENDA 23 - A New World Order In A</u> <u>Fragmented World</u>

The belief that WEF members have, that they are "a select group of human beings" - and thus have dominion over the rest of us humans is not a new belief. Throughout human history, people have existed who believe that – as a small group – they are superior to everyone else and that they own the rest of us. They think they are gods:

PQ-3264A: DO THEY THINK THEY OWN US?

Perhaps the clearest lens for viewing the WEF through is its annual get-together at Davos in Switzerland, for it's here that some of the contradictions at the heart of the WEF come into sharp focus.

One contradiction is that the WEF is all about asking billionaires, who caused the world's biggest problems, to solve those problems. Another is the hypocrisy of the "climate crisis" featuring on the Davos agenda as it does, when one in ten participants fly to the conference by private jet:

Euro News: <u>What is Davos and why is it important? Your guide to the</u> <u>World Economic Forum's annual meeting</u>

BANK: WEF - Davos

Even the climate activist Greta Thunberg, who has been a regular attendee at Davos in recent times, appears to have realised the contradiction and hypocrisy at the heart of the WEF:

SKY News: <u>Greta Thunberg accuses Davos elite of putting 'corporate</u> <u>greed' above people and planet</u>

But who ARE these people who appear to believe that they are superior? They come from a range of industries and are regarded by the WEF as a "global community of thought leaders, CEOs, heads of state, academics, public figures, cultural leaders and technology disruptors":

WEF: <u>Get involved</u> WEF: <u>Partners</u>

The WEF is described in the mainstream media in two ways. One is – the WEF is a somewhat toothless organisation without any real power. This is peculiar, given how much power they wield over decision makers both in governments and businesses. The other MSM description of the WEF is that it's a key driver of globalisation [which of course has nothing at all to do with the New World Order referred to above!]:


SKY News: Why an absence of A-listers at Davos is not just deep trouble for the World Economic Forum, but for globalisation too

The WEF's people have also been described as clowns - but dangerous clowns, with a lot of power, who re-brand slavery as being good for people:


Jason Liosatos: Davos 2023 Pantomime and Puppet Show

4 Due to the size of this topic, it has to be divided into manageable pieces to aid digestion. The next part in this series – Part 3 – will cover a further range of interesting topics – including:

BELIEFS – why do some people believe that they own others? IDEAS – where the WEF may have found some of their ideas. 15MIN CITIES – SMART CITIES – and THE INTERNET OF THINGS. FREEDOM IS SLAVERY – and other Orwellian WEF concepts. MACHINE-THINK – why the WEF is so keen to merge humans and Ai.



2	<u>LINK</u>	VPRO: Interview with Yuval Noah Harari
2	<u>LINK</u>	Stop World Control: The Plan
2	<u>LINK</u>	TED Talk: Bill Gates on getting CO2 down to zero
2	<u>LINK</u>	Alan Jones: Sky News Aus: Q+A - Some facts on global CO2
2	<u>LINK</u>	Sky News Aus – Alan Jones: Blaming kids for fuelling consumerism
3	<u>LINK</u>	Connect: The WEF – Another Look: Part 1
3F	<u>LINK</u>	Connect: LAND OWNERSHIP - LAND GRAB - PQ-3215A
3F	<u>LINK</u>	Connect: IS THIS WHERE - WE SAY NO! - SC-3245C
3F	<u>LINK</u>	Connect: COWS TO THE SLAUGHTER - BP-3226B
3F	<u>LINK</u>	Connect: DUTCH GOV'T STEAL 3000 FARMS - BP-2483B
3F	<u>LINK</u>	Connect: IT'S ALL ABOUT CONTROL OF US - BP-3113C
3F	<u>LINK</u>	Connect: WE ALL HAVE TO EAT DON'T WE? - SC-3103B
3F	<u>LINK</u>	Connect: HAS THIS REALLY BEEN PROVED? - BP-3101C
3F	<u>LINK</u>	Connect: THE DAM: WAS IT TO LIMIT FOOD? - BP-3245A
3F	<u>LINK</u>	Connect: Food Chain Fun And Games - M-2235RB
3G	<u>LINK</u>	Connect: YOU WILL OWN NOTHING! - SC-3151C
3G	<u>LINK</u>	Connect: SQUEEZED UNTIL WE BLEED - BP-2296D
ЗH	<u>LINK</u>	Sky News Australia: 'You will own nothing, and you will be happy':
ЗH	<u>LINK</u>	Warnings of 'Orwellian' Great Reset Connect: THE GREAT RESET IN 37 MINS - DD-3201C
3H	<u>LINK</u>	Iain Davis: Seizing Everything: The Theft of the Global Commons – Part 1
ЗH	<u>LINK</u>	Iain Davis: Seizing Everything: The Theft of the Global Commons – Part 2
ЗH	<u>LINK</u>	Open Democracy: Conspiracy theories aside, there is something fishy about the Great Reset
31	<u>LINK</u>	Wikipedia: New World Order [conspiracy theory]
31	<u>LINK</u>	The History Book: Bank/Subject/WEF/Plans
31	<u>LINK</u>	Connect: THIS HAS BEEN TALKED ABOUT - SC-3112A
31	<u>LINK</u>	The Corbett Report: Globalization is Dead. Long Live the New World
3J	<u>LINK</u>	Order! Rukshan Fernando: The WEF AGENDA 23 - A New World Order In A
31	<u>LINK</u>	Fragmented World Connect: DO THEY THINK THEY OWN US? - PQ-3264A



END