

CONNECT

What Sort of Ships See YOU?

3085 SC

We can't always be certain about people's intentions – only about their actions

- 1 It's often said that everyone has their own opinion about Bill Gates. Is he a philanthropist, a wealthy man with an evil agenda, or somewhere in between? People are certainly divided on the man – but why IS that?

In part, the diversity of views about Bill Gates is due to the *vastly different narratives* about him. This diversity of views, however, is also due to *vastly differing assessments* of his intentions.

What if we considered his actions – and more importantly the consequences of his actions – without making any assessment of his intentions?



- 2 I've written about Bill Gates before, in two magazine articles: [CONNECT: This Should Bother You - Part 1](#): M-1455 HF and [CONNECT: This Should Bother You - Part 2](#): M-1465 IF.

Other articles about him, published on **CONNECT**, appear at the end of this article under **Further Reading**.

In "**This Should Bother You – Part 1**", I described how someone who is as incredibly wealthy as B.Gates - with more wealth than almost anyone else in the world – can use their wealth to buy power and influence with world leaders, non-governmental organisations, universities and media platforms. He does so by making huge donations, grants and other forms of financial gifts and investments.

He is also invested significantly in the pharmaceutical industry, the tech industry, the biotech industry, the food industry and the education industry - at school and university level - by having shares - and ownership – of

commercial companies. He is invested in energy, Covid-19 tests, fossil fuel, security and other business arenas in the UK and even the penal system.

Even children in school, although they learn what their government decides they should learn, are influenced by – and about - B.Gates because the exact content of what they learn is provided by a commercial company and he has shares and/or part ownership of such companies. The relevant links are in [This Should Bother You - Part 1](#): M-1455 HF.

Given that, when people read about B. Gates, it's highly likely that they're reading from a media platform that he funds and it's also highly likely that if a "rumour" is shared online about him, the rumour will be "fact-checked" by a "fact-checking" platform, that he also funds!

I asked, therefore in that article:

How can anyone's view of Gates be relied upon?

[This Should Bother You - Part 2](#): M-1465 IF delved into Gates' investment in the mainstream media, the World Health Organisation and many British companies, charities, universities and public bodies. B.Gates has massive financial investment in the UK medical and scientific establishment – which means, he has a lot of influence and control.

In that article, I argued that B.Gates might have good intentions – or bad ones – or a blend of both. Without delving into what's good and bad – and for whom – I also argued that the problem – given all his investments as outlined above – is:

How can he be found out?

That is – assuming that he's done anything that needs to be found out. And this, of course, is what people have differing views about. So, has he got something to be found out about???

- 3 I'm going to look at the question – *has Bill Gates done anything that needs to be found out?* - from a different perspective, which may impact upon people's ability to form an informed opinion about him.

"Has he caused harm – is he causing harm – is he likely to cause harm" to any person, living being, our planet or future generations? is the real question here. As has been suggested by David Charles in a previous article on CONNECT - [Nelson Said – "I See No Ships"](#): M-3065 RD, sometimes it's helpful to turn the telescope around and look through the other end. By doing so, we have a different view. The changed view I suggest taking of B.Gates is to look at his ACTIONS – not what we believe or assume his INTENTIONS are. We don't know what his real intentions are, because we don't know what anyone's real intentions are. We only know what they tell us they are – that's not always the same – and sometimes people don't fully understand why they do the things they do.

Another word for intention is *motive*. Now, "Means, Motive and Opportunity" was the mantra, back in the day when I read detective novels, for assessing

who had done the dastardly deed. *Murder – it was always murder.* “The categorical trinity that provides a structure for detection method” is how *Means, Motive and Opportunity* is described by [Oxford Reference](#).

But what if there's a dead body on the floor – with bullets in – and someone standing next to the body with a smoking gun? What if the bullets, when examined, turn out to be from that same gun? What if the person holding the smoking gun can be confirmed to have fired those same bullets?

The gun is the means – the method – for murder. Being there is the opportunity. But what about the *motive*? What if there's no known connection between the perpetrator and the victim? Would that mean the person holding the gun would be allowed to go free and the investigation would look for someone with a known motive, even someone who doesn't have a smoking gun and was nowhere in the vicinity at the time of the shooting?

Let's *hope* not. My point is simply – do we need to know someone's motive to know that they have caused harm? What if we simply examine what they have done, are doing and intend to do – and examine the likely or known consequences of those actions? The *outcomes*, if you like.

Doesn't this process take us away from *speculation over motive* and towards *certainty over outcomes*?

- 4 Returning to Bill Gates, a CONNECT article - [Small Farms Matter – Here's Why](#): M-3045 QD said that, in the USA, he has become the biggest farmland owner. The article contrasted B.Gates, as the multi-billionaire he is, with local farmers, who are part of their communities.

It also suggested that B.Gates has a wish for people to eat Genetically Modified [GMO] foods – along with bugs – even though the risks to humans of GMO are still not settled, according to science – in short, the article said that he *wants people to eat what he thinks we should*.

Now, when it comes to his motives for these three actions – buying massive swathes of farmland, suggesting people eat GM foods and suggesting that people eat bugs – everyone has their own opinion. As I said, I'm going to just park that topic – *motive* – and explore, albeit briefly, the *outcomes* of these three actions.

Bugs, first. The *outcomes* of someone with the influence B.Gates holds – over the media, scientific research and policymakers – of suggesting we eat insects are:

Some people think – what a good idea! It must be – Bill Gates suggested it!
Some people get used to the idea of swapping the Sunday roast for a nice crunchy Insect Bake.

The idea becomes normalised.

GM foods, next. The outcomes include:

Some people think – what a good idea! It must be – Bill Gates suggested it!
Some people get used to the idea of swapping real food for synthetic food.

Many people will assume that GM food is safe – which is not the case, although that's a topic for another article. The idea becomes normalised.

Then there's the farmland. As The Guardian reported in 2021 - in [Bill Gates is the biggest private owner of farmland in the United States. Why?](#) - at that time B.Gates owned about 242,000 acres of farmland - nearly the area of Hong Kong! It's also twice as much land as the Lower Brule Sioux Tribe owns, of which the article's writer, Nick Estes, is an enrolled member.

As N.Estes puts it:

"A white man owns more farmland than my entire Native nation!"

In terms of the outcomes of this massive farmland ownership, some of them at least are expressed by N.Estes:

"The principal danger of private farmland owners like Bill Gates is not their professed support of sustainable agriculture often found in philanthropic work – it's the monopolistic role they play in determining our food systems and land use patterns... For Indigenous caretakers, land use isn't premised on a return of investments; it's about maintaining the land for the next generation, meeting the needs of the present, and a respect for the diversity of life... That's why lands still managed by Indigenous peoples worldwide protect and sustain 80% of the world's biodiversity, practices anathema to industrial agriculture. The average person has nothing in common with mega-landowners like Bill Gates or Ted Turner. The land we all live on should not be the sole property of a few."

So, to sum up these *outcomes*, they include a **monopoly over food systems**. Ownership by non-farming investors such as Gates **reduces biodiversity**. Also, the more land B.Gates has, **the less is left** for farmers and other people who live from the land – such as indigenous people like N.Estes' Native American tribe. Gates can afford to pay more for land than other people, **increasing the "value" – in reality, the cost - of land**.

- 5 A Norwegian media platform, CCN, confirms – in [Bill Gates Conspiracy Theorists Might Not Be as Crazy as You Think](#) – that many so-called "conspiracy theories" about what B.Gates does – or more correctly, what he funds – are not theories at all. They're accurate and factual statements.

These include:

There IS a team of Harvard scientists who B.Gates is funding, in part, to experiment with blocking out the sun to prevent climate change. As the article asks - is this a plot to control the human race, or save it? The article goes on to say that it's *a stretch to believe [blocking out the sun] is an evil plan* by B.Gates, but that it would be an even bigger stretch if Bill Gates weren't involved with some of the most *conspiracy-rich* characters on Earth, most controversial being **Jeffrey Epstein**.

This article, however, is focusing on outcomes, not motives. What are the outcomes of blocking out the sun? Where does one even *start* with that question?

A major German study has pointed out that Gates' focus on biotechnological farming methods and GM-modified seeds in Africa is a tool to open African markets to US agri-business. This has been done under the guise of *eliminating hunger* – so, what are the outcomes for farmers in countries where Gates' Big Food approach has control? I mentioned above just a few *outcomes* but an expert on the subject - Vandana Shiva, a scholar, environmental activist and food sovereignty advocate - describes some of these outcomes in a Transcend Media Service article - [Vandana Shiva: Bill Gates Is Promoting "Surveillance Agriculture."](#)

But first, a short and incredible story, told by V.Shiva about B.Gates. I love this!!

Some years ago, Gates visited the Indian president at the presidential palace. Beautiful banquets had been set out for international guests. All this wonderful Indian food - and *Gates ordered a hamburger*.

Moving on from the level of B.Gates' food literacy, V.Shiva explains that one of the outcomes of Gates' gene-editing approach to food production is, in editing one gene, 1,500 other genes get destabilised. V.Shiva also says that what Gates is promoting as *digital agriculture* relies on large-scale monocultures and that farmers are forced to use chemical fertilisers. The outcomes are - harm to the planet, harm to people and reduced natural biodiversity.

6 Vaccines, next.

The CCN article [Bill Gates Conspiracy Theorists Might Not Be as Crazy as You Think](#) refers to a 40-page, 2017 academic paper by Sharmeen Ahmed at the Golden Gate University School of Law. This paper uses the **Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation** as a case study to examine the weaknesses in the accountability of non-governmental organisations [NGOs] carrying out healthcare programmes in so-called "developing countries." Amongst its findings are that there is a lack of accountability, meaning that people often die, are injured and have their human rights breached by healthcare programmes. The paper, as I said, looks specifically at programmes funded by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation.

Outcomes of such programmes include that, in 2010, the Gates Foundation funded experimental malaria and meningitis vaccine trials across Africa and HPV vaccine programs in India. All of these programmes resulted in numerous deaths and injuries, plus forced vaccinations and uninformed consent.

Then there's the exploitation of other vulnerable people. It's been reported that Microsoft makes use of prison labour to manufacture some of its products. Interestingly, the link from the article no longer goes to an article about Microsoft using prison labour. An online search, however, reveals something far worse. Microsoft is named in a 2020 report - [Uyghurs for sale](#) - by the US State Department-funded Australian Strategic Policy Institute as being linked to Chinese forced labour camps.

Of course, Gates is no longer the head of Microsoft – but he still owns a lot of

shares, or at least did in November 2022 - as reported by Capital.com in [Microsoft shareholders: Who owns the most MSFT stock?](#)

Again, as I said with regards to blocking out the sun, when it comes to outcomes - where does one even START?

- 7 So, with all this said, does it really matter whether Bill Gates' intention is to help the whole world - or just himself and his close associates, such as those at the World Economic Forum?

"The road to hell is paved with good intentions," the saying goes. Good intentions? Bad intentions? Let's leave that discussion aside - let's treat it as irrelevant - because there have been *negative outcomes* from Gates' activities. I believe that, sometimes, it's helpful to leave motivations aside - because, as I said, they're unknown. By focusing on *outcomes*, we can see what needs to be ended - and the sooner, the better.

I'm going to end with a second story about B.Gates.

The first story, shared by V.Shiva about the hamburger, happened - it's factual. It's deeply revealing about B.Gates - how he sees the Indian people and their culture and how much he respects that culture, so different from his own.

Not at all, the story suggests.

The second story didn't happen - at least, not in the same way as the hamburger story. It's a fictional story that's also deeply revealing about B.Gates.

James Corbett has covered the subject of B.Gates before on his channel The Corbett Report. I include some links to his fascinating four-part series "Who Is Bill Gates?" at the end under Further Reading.

In [Corbett Report: SolutionsWatch: Fairy Tales and Children's Stories](#)

J.Corbett talks about the story - a fairy story for children and adults - with its author, Frode Burdal Klevstul. F.Klevstul explains how the story presented itself to him, and he merely wrote it down.

Incidentally, the publication of the book resulted in F.Klevstul having his *Upwork* and other freelancing accounts cancelled. "*If the cap fits, wear it*" is such a good saying. Did Bill Gates decide that the "cap" of Bill Goats - in the story, a mountain goat who does not recognise the Balance, but instead wants to control everything in the Forest and be like God - fitted him? Or did someone else decide to cancel the author's accounts? Whoever decided, this cancellation was one of the *outcomes* for F.Klevstul.

The CONNECT LIBRARY

We have compiled a library of interesting articles across a wide range of subjects - and they are all accessible to our interested readers. They take the form of webpages, PDFs, audios and videos.

Some of the articles have been published by CONNECT but many have simply been catalogued for general reference and expanded research.

Further articles relative to the subjects covered in *this* magazine article can be read under their respective headings, in our different Reading Rooms.

The CONNECT Library is free to join and is being added to every week. [Register here today](#) and gain access to thousands of articles on your subjects of interest – using it as your reference library to return to whenever you wish.

CONNECT'S Maxim and Oath

Connect is only interested in finding and sharing the TRUTH.
In search of that TRUTH, we only pose questions – we have no answers.

By: Helen King

Contact/Source: CONNECT: [Magazine](#)

- 2 [LINK](#) **Connect:** This Should Bother You - Part 1: **M-1455 HF**
- 2 [LINK](#) **Connect:** This Should Bother You - Part 2: **M-1465 IF**
- 3 [LINK](#) **Connect:** Nelson Said – “I See No Ships”: **M-3065 RD**
- 3 [LINK](#) **Oxford Reference:** Means, Motive and Opportunity
- 4 [LINK](#) **Connect:** Small Farms Matter – Here's Why: **M- 3045 QD**
- 4 [LINK](#) **The Guardian:** Bill Gates is the biggest private owner of farmland in the United States. Why?
- 5 [LINK](#) **CCN:** Bill Gates Conspiracy Theorists Might Not Be as Crazy as You Think
- 5 [LINK](#) **Transcend Media Service:** Vandana Shiva: Bill Gates Is Promoting “Surveillance Agriculture”
- 6 [LINK](#) **Australian Strategic Policy Institute:** Uyghurs for sale
- 6 [LINK](#) **Capital.com:** Microsoft shareholders: Who owns the most MSFT stock?
- 7 [LINK](#) **Corbett Report:** SolutionsWatch: Fairy Tales and Children's Stories: Frode Burdal Klevstul: “Bill Goats and the Forest”

FURTHER READING

- [LINK](#) **James Corbett:** Who Is Bill Gates? – Part 1
- [LINK](#) **James Corbett:** Who Is Bill Gates? – Part 2
- [LINK](#) **James Corbett:** Who Is Bill Gates? – Part 3
- [LINK](#) **James Corbett:** Who Is Bill Gates? – Part 4

[LINK](#) **Connect:** 1000 Families Won't Eat Today: **NW-1295A**

[LINK](#) **Connect:** Money Buys Censorship: **BP-3024 B**

[LINK](#) **Connect:** It Was Just A Matter Of Time: **BP- 2466 B**

[LINK](#) **Connect:** It's All Been Planned For Us: **BP-2394 D**

[LINK](#) **Connect:** Bully Boy Fights Free Speech: **BP-2213 A**

[LINK](#) **Connect:** Stop It – It's Bugging Us!: **M-2365 GD**

[LINK](#) **Connect:** Food, Glorious Food: **M-2085 DD**

PLEASE DOWNLOAD AND SHARE THIS ARTICLE



Opportunity to join the CONNECT [team and network](#)

END