

<h1>CONNECT</h1>	
<h2>New Ethical Medical Systems</h2>	

NW 1384 IB

1 For anyone following the people's media, they are likely to have more than an idea that there is something wrong with the current medical system.

2 We have always been so appreciative of these professionals in the past and in many cases, eternally grateful for what they have done for us, personally, or a dear loved one.
But times are different now and what has come to light over the last 20mths or so is causing some of us to sit up and take stock.

3 A group of frontline doctors in the US spoke out publicly in 2020 about their disagreement with the laid down protocols when it came to treating their patients. They could see that by following those protocols - as determined by the US government and its agencies - rather than applying treatment they had come to know works and works effectively, they would not be doing their best for their patients. In fact, they would certainly end up doing harm to them.

Did the government and its agencies listen, you ask? The answer is NO. Not only did these people not listen to the doctors, but they - in many states - banned the use of a drug known as HCQ and another called Ivermectin, which the doctors had been using successfully to cure patients of the No1 disease of our time..

Wow - really, you ask? Yes really!

4 The doctors concerned formed an alliance, called [America's Frontline Doctors](#) and it's headed by Dr Simone Gold. The treatment which Dr Simone advocated along with her colleagues in the alliance, is called HCQ. The expanded name is Hydroxychloroquine, which is a licensed drug and has been in use for decades. It is considered to be free of side-effects and exceptionally safe - if taken at the correct doses.

In fact, it is on the WHO's listed licenced drugs, as an essential drug.

5 A qualification about safety should however be mentioned and I will return to this subject of safety below.

6 I'd like here however to take a change in direction. I'd like to take a different stance to the usual - which has been to challenge the official narrative and raise questions about it. This approach has gone on for some time now and, in realty, it has had not one positive effect. That is, except for the positive effect it has had on those observing how those questions have not been answered - leaving those people to contemplate - why?

- 7 This change in direction is prompted by the plethora of testimony and documented evidence which suggests the following.

That on review of the evidence from literally thousands of sources – the clear majority of which emanate from wholly reliable, professional and scientific sources - the policy instructions laid down by government suggest a clear underlying agenda which is not consistent with good healthcare and medical practice. If that were the accepted case – and it's difficult to see it otherwise – we then ask this:

What could be the possible motive of the government and its agencies – backed by the pharmaceutical companies?

Some suggest that the motive is sinister and relates to a global policy – one agreed to by most of the world's political leaders - to control the population by nefarious means – possibly involving a cull at the same time.

This is a stunning hypothesis of course. The thing is, if we begin with such a hypothesis, much of what we see, listen to, understand from the actions of our governments and their senior advisors, begins to make sense.

Most governments seem determined to inoculate anything that moves - in partnership with the pharmaceutical companies which get paid well for supplying the drugs for the programme. And it appears to be a programme that is destined to involve regular 6mthly inoculations, EVERY YEAR.

There is a volume of evidence supporting the idea which forms the basis of this hypothesis. Further, that evidence comes from some very surprising sources. In fact, that evidence makes for a subject of its own, which requires addressing at some point soon.

- 8 What is not difficult to see, - evidence and understand - is that the pharma industry over a number of decades now, has developed two facets which have become pillars of strength for the companies concerned. The pillars being, its vice-like grip on healthcare around the entire world and the companies' immunity from prosecution if their medications cause death or harm to people.

In America, for example, Big Pharma – as it's known – dominates the media in terms of its advertising spend. Anyone who has watched TV or news channels in the US will know all too well, how selling pharmaceuticals is the predominant drive. The influence Big Pharma thus has on the media in this way is not a healthy one.

Dr Simone Gold tells how children in the US – before they reach 17yrs of age – have received the full programme of inoculations laid down by the policy making and controlling healthcare body. That programme involves receiving a massive 72 jabs over each person's early years.

Dr Simone says, our children in the US should be the healthiest in the world – given the lengths Big Pharma go to pump our children with these supposed health-confirming formulations. But they are, in actual fact, among the most sick in the world – and the situation worsens year on year.

A film was made by a group of people headed by a well-respected UK doctor. The [film](#) uncovers the sheer enormity of this problem. It also uncovers the fact that the CDC [Centre for Disease Control - in the US] covered up the damage caused to children by a certain inoculation. The CDC thus – not only caused untold harm to countless children by the cover up, but openly and deliberately misled the public into believing the inoculation was safe.

The parallels of this proven history to today's situation – being the world's No1 virus issue - should not be missed by any of us.

8 This article could extend to a book quite frankly – given the volumes of counter narrative that is available – and still available.

In addition, there is an untold volume of counter narrative and testimony which has been deleted from the internet. That testimony challenged the official narrative by its content, although it was produced and originally shared with the public by eminent doctors, scientists from around the whole world.

One depository of such information can be found on this semi-political platform abbreviated as [TPC](#).

A further depository of information is accessed here, the [CONNECT](#) website.

9 Deletion of anything from anywhere – and particularly the internet – is a total NO NO for any grown-up intelligent society. The presence of free speech – and the absence of negative agendas – are key features of such a society. This is not where we currently are in the world today – although we do have a route to achieving it now.

We will return to this more positive view of the world another day.

10 Returning now to the current position in the healthcare industry; anyone that has been following developments in how patients have been treated – in both meanings of the word – will have been shocked by what has been going on. That is, not just in American hospitals, but also hospitals around the world, including, in particular, the UK.

One question, however, is this:

Despite whistle blowers everywhere speaking out about the shocking practices going on – and not going on – in hospitals, why aren't more medics speaking out?

The answer appears to be centred on a regime of silence which has been introduced, backed by threats of people being sacked if they speak their truth.

And some people have been sacked and others have left of their own accord because they cannot stand with the practices and policies currently being followed. One UK doctor who has stepped outside the system is [Dr Sam White](#). He made a personal video as a whistle blower who had witnessed what was going on. It was picked up by another channel where this interview tells more – [A Warrior Calls](#).

- 11 [The World Of Health](#) have some interesting comments and views on the current world of healthcare.

They say:

The Hippocratic Oath – Do No Harm First used to be the first and most important rule for any Medical Doctor, Nurse or Health Practitioner. Do No Harm First **used to be the foundational principle** in healthcare - a promise that doctors and healthcare practitioners will decline from doing harm to their patients. But in the world of modern-day medicine, has this become overlooked, lost, or even forgotten? Especially in today's world where many medical practitioners are finding themselves in incredibly challenging and compromising situations. Where most medical care around the world is seriously underfunded, mismanaged, influenced, and controlled by governmental and pharmaceutical agendas.

The Do No Harm Dilemma of The Modern Doctor And Nurse

So much so that many millions of caring and compassionate Doctors and Nurses today are feeling seriously ethically and morally compromised. They know they are not always able to give their clients the care and treatment they know their clients deserve. But how serious are these issues? Is allopathic medicine doing enough to ensure modern medical medicine and interventions do no harm? Or are we giving too much power, authority, and control over our wellbeing to the pharmaceutical and science world? In many ways, I believe modern medicine has become more like symptoms management and emergency treatment than anything about actively promoting and empowering patient health and wellbeing. We just need to see the lack of health and wellbeing in many Doctors, Nurses, and other Healthcare Professionals. Or look at the lack of health promotional videos during covid 19.

Modern Medicine And Nutrition

I found it extremely concerning that over the last year there was no major NHS campaign focusing on ways to boost and support the immune system. Absolutely nothing encouraging adequate nutrition and healthy eating. In fact, I saw one shocking BBC program that said eating healthy would not help.

There was no mention of Vitamin D, Zinc, and Vitamin C in 1st world countries while some 2nd and 3rd world countries were encouraging their people to do what they could to boost their wellbeing. But is this just more proof that

mainstream medicine has moved so far into drugs and policies that the basics of health and wellbeing such as good nutrition got lost? So how much has modern medicine become more like emergency medicine or symptom management - than about true health care, health promotion, health advice, and wellbeing?

NOTE by CONNECT: The country's CMO, Chris Whitty, has never promoted the idea that people should pay more attention to their own immune systems. He never suggested Vitamin D3, zinc or exercise in sunlight.

The Extreme Mental Pressure Of Medical Practitioners

As someone who has seen hundreds of Doctors and Medical Experts, who has been a participant in different types of medical research and medical studies I do see strands of the broken system. I also found it interesting and refreshing when I speak to mature Doctors who have less to prove, they tend to be more objective, more honest, and more focused on Doing No Harm First.

The Importance of Research And Evaluation

One medical friend of mine whose mum has had medical harm due to the recent jab has been completely shocked recently by the naivety of many fellow medical practitioners. How many doctors, nurses, and pharmacists are prescribing or promoting the latest pandemic vaccine to patients but actually have done no proper research on any of its clinical studies, possible and documented side effects. Promoting and giving out something they have not personally looked into. Even more, concerning was that more than a few medical professionals had no idea that this medical intervention was still in the experimental stages and that in phases 1 and 2, many people with certain medical conditions were excluded from the study.

On the other side of that, unfortunately, we are seeing more and more nurses, doctors, and pharmaceuticals who are trying to speak up or question things due to some of the things they are seeing. I have witnessed some heart-breaking stories of nurses, doctors, and scientists who have walked out of their profession because of the pressure and compromise. One paramedic walked out because he was sick of watching patients' freedom of choice, freedom of body autonomy being abused. Others sick and tired of being told to not ask questions during suspect harmful vaccine side-effects.

We All Have The Right To Safe Effective Healthcare

I personally believe that for example, a big problem in the UK is that in some ways we have created a nanny state that in some ways discourages self-responsibility for our health.

END

12 Given all the above – including what is said by The World Of Health - it is time for a new approach to healthcare. Our own view is that we are beyond the point of adjusting what we already have – despite this being the most obvious thing to do.

Instead, we feel a new beginning is needed – meaning that a completely new approach – an ethical and transparent approach – to healthcare is needed.

- 13 Given the situation in the US – reflected as it is here in the UK to a large extent – America's Frontline Doctors – via Dr Simone – published a poll recently. The poll asked this:

Would you support a new and totally Ethical and Transparent Medical System in the US?

Bear in mind, that the audience for the poll was almost certainly comprised of people following Dr Simone - including the doctors who form the alliance she is part of – and thus believing her narrative as opposed to the official narrative.

The results were interesting and were:

35.5% said YES - they would support such a NEW system

61.7% said YES – DOUBLE YES

Being a total of **97.2%** in favour of such a NEW system.

Oddly, **2.8%** said NO – they were not in favour.

- 14 The good thing I feel is that people are slowly waking to see what is going on in healthcare. When enough people see what is happening and lose their previously held trust in the healthcare system, a new system will be born.

It is not impossible to conceive, that such a system will be more patient centred and more focused on supporting the body's own resources and capabilities to heal itself. This would mean becoming less reliant on external concoctions made by very wealthy drug companies – getting wealthier by the minute as a result of making us all feel more dependent upon them.

- 15 Before closing on this article, I wanted to throw another story into the mix. This story adds more weight to the hypothesis mentioned above.

It places a spotlight on the apparent strategy by certain persons to put aside or discredit any solution – available, safe, cheap and effective as it may be - In favour of an inoculation solution made available by Big Pharma.

- 16 I gave mention above to the safety of one of those solutions – HCQ.

HCQ was subject of a trial led by Oxford University in May 2020, involving over 170 UK hospitals testing the drug on patients who were showing signs of having been infected with the N01 virus of our time.

The trial was halted early due to the high number of deaths that were recorded among the patients treated with the drug. It came to be known after, that by design or incompetence, the patients given the drug, as part of the trial, were given dangerous overdoses – contrary to accepted medical practice supported by and documented within the [British National Formulary](#).

[Dr John Campbell](#) analysed the numbers in a video. Sky News Australia covered the story of the overdoses – which were revealed in an interview with a senior leader of the trial, Dr Landray. Sky played a clip where Landray spoke with the French media platform - France Soir – where he revealed by confirming, in error it seems, the overdoses used. The video and sound clips have since been deleted from the internet by YouTube [for infringing policy]. One might read from that – they are referring to Truth silencing policy.

- 17 It appears beyond reasonable doubt, that the leaders of the trial knew exactly what they were doing and due to that, they are seen as culpable in the harm and deaths caused to patients in the trial.

One final point to be made about this story here and it is this. The Oxford Recovery Trial, as it was termed, was part funded and supported by Bill Gates – the single most influential person in the world promoting the inoculation of the entire planet's population of 7 billion people. Bill Gates is also the No1 investor in the pharmaceutical industry. Cheap, available, safe and effective solutions would not be in his, or the pharmaceutical companies', best interests – one suspects, confidently.

Disclosure about the trial was documented by a whistle blower and senior scientist – the details of which are shared [here](#).

- 18 So, the time might now be upon us all to opt for a complete change in how we do things in the world. There is perhaps no better place to start that process of change – as the one right here under the microscope.

We all deserve and need an Ethical and Transparent Medical System. Would you vote for it?

By: David Charles

Source: Tetbury CONNECT: [Magazine](#)

[LINK](#): America's Frontline Doctors

[LINK](#): TPC

[LINK](#): CONNECT – The People's Media

[LINK](#): Dr Sam White

[LINK](#): A Warrior Calls: Dr Sam White

[LINK](#): The World Of Health

[LINK](#): The film

[LINK](#): The British National Formulary

[LINK](#): Dr John Campbell

[LINK](#): HCQ disclosure



END