Thought BYTE

CONNECT M3


Who Will Back This Intention?

TB-6466A

by setting an intention we let everyone know where we are going

1 It's become clear over recent years that our society has now reached a turning point – a fork in our road. Our society has suffered the burden of a political system that blatantly does not serve the people – but serves certain others instead.

And just one clear indication of the truth of this, is the political system's treatment of official government petitions. Such petitions are an official request – a plea even - for politicians to do something. They're raised by motivated people – requesting that the government does something about a particular issue. However, anyone who's followed such a petition will know the following. They all come to nothing!



2 Given they all come to nothing, we really have to explore two thoughts.

1. How is it that they come to nothing?
2. What's to be done about this?

Surely, if citizens left these thoughts to the government or parliament to consider, what would be our guess as to the outcome? Absolutely nothing maybe?
That being so, this Thought Byte gives a window on what citizens could do – or should do – to correct for these failures. Or is it more a case of corruption?

Let's consider a few realities and ask the above questions again at the end.

3 In **Jan 2025** a government petition was initiated by **Michael Westwood** who began a call for a general election. The petition gathered pace very quickly, given the mood within the population for wanting change. As you will see from the image above, the petition gained **3,000,000** signatures and went on to gain even more. **CONNECT M3: [3a]** told the story in some detail.

According to The Electoral Commission, the number of citizens registered to participate in voting at a government election is **46M**. Thus, the petition calling for a General Election – supported by **3M** voting citizens – amounted to **0.65%** of the voters, in percentage terms, which doesn't sound much. But **3M** is a large number indeed and politicians should have read the signs. They didn't – not as they should.

The petition asked for a General Election to be called due to the poor performance of the **6mth** old government, its leader **PM Sir Keir Starmer** and – in particular – the government rowing back on its election promises to the electorate. That's those who voted Labour and those who didn't. Additionally, the petition was originated in part due to the lack of transparency and lack of proper process in all government business and protocols.

The petition was debated by fewer than **30** ***Servant*** **MPs [SMPs],** representing **0.46%** of all sitting SMPs – in a debate which took place in a room with seating for fewer than **70SMPs**. In effect it was almost a private debate and clearly not one that attracted the attention of all SMPs as it deserved to. It was thus, not a petition taken seriously - irrespective of the **3.1M** signatures this petition attracted.

A serious debate would take place in the Commons chamber with all **650** sitting SMPs present. This petition – like all government petitions – was held in an antechamber with as many attending in the public gallery as there were SMP's in the room. It lasted approx. **2hrs 30min** and ended with a vote.
That vote being – as for all such debates – *"Has the petition been debated?"*
The vote was Aye – and a rush to catch their trains home. End of!

Read the whole transcript on **HANSARD: UK: [3b]**

The lack of due process could hardly be any more obvious.

4 On the same theme of petitions – which ask politicians to do something – there was another important example I must give mention to. I'd say it is the most revealing of all petitions I have looked at. It strikes at the very heart of a political system that reveals itself as wholly ineffective and hugely failing the citizens of the UK.

The article describing the debate and its background runs into more than 12pages. It can be read here **CONNECT M3: [4a],** but I will summarise below a few of the main points relative to this horrendous example of shameful government process.

1. The petition had accumulated more than **107,000** signatories and was debated in an antechamber on **24oct22.** The call was for a parliamentary debate on whether or not the C19 jabs were **Safe and Effective**.

2. A proper determination of an answer to this question would have sanctioned a public inquiry into all the science related to the C19 jab. The science – in this circumstance - included that relating to data gained from experience and collected by a number of parties and organisations. It was based on reported deaths and other adverse reactions, reportedly caused by C19 jabs.

On this matter, the current **ALLISON INQUIRY: [4b]** in Canada, could hardly be more revealing on the subject of safety. There has been so much harm caused to people by the C19 jab with no evidence of efficacy. Testimonies given by victims are often heartbreaking to listen to. Anyone would be left puzzled by the fact the Canadian government – with the UK and others – knew about these harms but allowed the C19 jab rollout to continue anyway.

3. The petition read:
*There has been a significant increase in heart attacks and related health issues since the rollout of the Covid19 vaccines began in **2021**. This needs immediate and full scientific investigation to establish if there is any possible link with the Covid-19 vaccination rollout.*
It is the duty of the Government to ensure that the prescribed medical interventions of its response to Coronavirus are safe. We believe that the recent and increasing volume of data relating to cardiovascular problems since the Covid-19 vaccine rollout began is…enough…to warrant a full Public Inquiry."

4. There were just **11 SMPs** in attendance for this debate – out of a total **650.**

5. It is easier to understand the underlying logic of the debate if one assumes the following:
    - The government does not want to debate this issue
    - The reason being, it would be forced to reveal sensitive detail
    - An inquiry would open the door to challenging views being heard
    - The media would be expected to report the inquiry and its findings
    - The government doesn't want any negative publicity on this subject

6. The SMP introducing the debate – **Elliot Colburn** - said:
    Throughout my speech, I will point out why I do not think that the Government should launch a public inquiry into vaccine safety; it would be a waste of taxpayers' money and is not necessary.

    His comment wouldn't have been received well by all the loved ones of those killed by it – soon after taking it on recommendation or threat – or those citizens who survived it but are permanently harmed by it.

7. Elliot Colburn was asked by **Christopher Chope** if in his preparation for the debate, he had seen the documentary film called **Safe and Effective** by **ORACLE FILMS: [4c].** He answered:
    I have not seen that publication, although I have read a lot of the significant amounts of material that have been shoved through my constituency office door by a large number of anti-vax protesters, who have fly posted my office on no less than a dozen occasions.
Were the protestors trying to communicate something important to him?

The film referred to is truly a must-watch – and it raises many questions. They are hugely important questions - which a person without an agenda would find extremely helpful and certainly illuminating.
But if we don't ask questions – then we really don't want to know – do we?

8. Whatever the cost of finding the truth about the real cause of the deaths and harms, stemming from C19 jabs, it's surely a cost important enough to meet. It seems this point wasn't strong enough to trigger a search for that truth.

9. The debate was a complete and utter sham – with no clear identification of the major issues needing exploration in greater depth by a sub-committee or other investigative body. Nothing at the time could have been more important than conducting an inquiry to discover the truth about the safety and efficacy of the C19 injection – centred on all the disclosed statistical evidence and testimonies available. Instead, the important statistics and facts put before the SMPs were skated over and thus trivialised by those members arguing against the call for further investigation.

10. The whole matter was regarded with such importance, that the affair was concluded in **1hr 34min** and ended with a vote.
That vote being – as for all such debates – "*Has the petition been debated?*"
The vote was Aye – followed by quick exits to catch the trains home.

Watch the whole thing on **HANSARD: UK: [4d]** and read the whole transcript on **HANSARD: UK: [4e]**

I have to say, this petition debate is one of the best examples I personally know which shows the total incompetence and failure of the System we currently call government – parliamentary process – in the *modern home of global democracy*.

5 Let's now look at another feature of government – and here I really mean the System of government. Any government in this modern era – working to the System currently in play – can be judged anywhere on a scale of Bad to Tyrannical. Any observer will have noticed the clear drift to the Tyrannical end since **2020**.

Any government should be held in check and accountable by all those elected as parliamentary placeholders - in that place as they are - to represent the interests of all their constituents. The UK government isn't held to account – by anyone – least of all the mainstream media. Individual SMPs might like to do that – they might feel they should do that - but they all follow the orders of their party leaders.

Party whips ensure the party members in that place behave in the way desired. My own SMP is absolutely silent on any important matter – dancing around the most mundane of subjects with their personal interventions in the House.
In discussion with that SMP – when over the lunchtime table we talked about a scheme to properly brief SMPs on important topics - they pointed to that very outspoken SMP, **Andrew Bridgen**. They identified him as not doing any good for himself because he spoke out in the way he did. He was one of the very few SMPs with any integrity in that place at the time. There're precious few even today.

Let's now look at further evidence of a failing System of government. And I emphasise here again, that it's System failure which is at the heart of the problem – separate from the government in office and those who serve within it.

6 The two images below tell us so much. The Israeli government proclaims to the world that it's at war with Hamas – and the evidence shows us that.

But the same evidence tells us, that Hamas is not at war with Israel in anything like the same way. The image below of Gaza - as it is today - is in stark contrast to the image below of the Israeli coastal economic and tech centre, that is **Tel Aviv**, just **50miles** north of Gaza.






The world and his wife can discern that the image of Gaza *looks* like genocide. It *looks* like the place and the people there have been bombed to total destruction. If that doesn't *look* like genocide – compared with Tel Aviv - then I need to see a clearer picture of what is. And surely, it only needs to *look* a bit like genocide for governments to say STOP. They haven't said that - and Israel hasn't stopped.

Ex-UK **PM Keir Starmer** and others have said they are not qualified to judge on genocide. Continuing, "*It's only genocide if the courts declare it so.*" Is this a bit like saying – only a qualified doctor can determine if a decapitated person is dead or not – meaning, the evidence alone is not enough to tell anyone?
So, what does all this tell us – and what do we take from the clear lack of action?

The story of Gaza provides for us a torturous example of gross System failure. And we see more of it in this next expose of another blinding truth.

7 The below two messages have been looked at by the MET police, but they have drawn two different outcomes.





As of today, the media platform **MIDDLE EAST MONITOR-MEM: [7a]** reports that since the group known as **Palestine Action** was proscribed in **2025**, approx. **4000** innocent, *peace-loving* citizens - many in their 60s, 70s, 80s and even 90s - have been arrested by the police – as terrorist supporters - on the wishes of the UK government under the **Terrorism Act 2000**. The platform also reports that Labour SMPs were directed by their party leaders to vote in support of the proscription. So, whether an SMP felt this move was unjust, or not, Labour Party leaders instructed their SMPs accordingly.

The Labour SMPs were also told at the time, that proscription would NOT prevent peaceful protests. Oh, how wrong this was - and how the Labour SMPs were misled. You might say, just like the rest of the population has been misled.

So, do we not have a UK government that clearly supports Israel – clearly supports the genocide in Gaza – and clearly does its best to confuse the citizens, who it clearly believes, are all gullible in the extreme and don't see the mixed messages?

Speaking of mixed messages, I can't ignore the message Labour's Foreign Minister, **Ed Miliband,** delivered at the party's **2026** conference. See **JOE MEDIA: [7b]**

" We hear you", he proclaimed, *"You were right",* he added.

Yes of course, those people were right and he was applauded for saying so. But what has changed since? Absolutely nothing that can be recognised as a discernible change in direction. It seems as if it's business as usual and those people who Ed Miliband now says were right, keep getting arrested for telling him - peacefully - their truth. A simple truth written in a few words on a cardboard sign. Are the government's mixed messages confusing? It sure does feel like it.
But it doesn't end there.

Now another question.
A cardboard sign held and displayed by an elderly, *peace-loving* citizen will get them arrested – if the words replicate those in the L/H image above.

But those words – and many other examples - were copied from another message. Specifically, from the message in the R/H image above.

Sarah Helm, who is married to **Jonathan Powell**, wrote on 'X' last Thursday:

"God help us authors who support Palestine and Palestine Action."

Although Sarah Helm's words had been shared with the police, the MET have said, that no further action will be taken against the wife of the government's national security adviser, despite her posting on social media referencing Palestine Action.


If words are displayed on cardboard, matching **Sarah Helm's** – the UK government minister's wife who posted the said words on social media – the ordinary citizen, oddly, will still be arrested. And they were arrested outside London's Supreme Court last week, for displaying the very same words as the minister's wife.

Sarah Helm then, hasn't been arrested for the exact same apparent crime - but ordinary citizens have been. How does that sit with you? It might appear confusing at first, until one understands there are two tiers in the UK police and justice Systems. Some individuals are protected by them and others are not! **BBC: [7c]**

In conclusion on this particular story, I have to draw attention to this.

Nearly **4000** peace-loving citizens have now been arrested for showing support for Palestine Action. Each of them, will have held and displayed a short message like the one shown below in the L/H image. I'm wondering however, what might be the case if the words on a cardboard sign were those on the rather clever sign shown below in the R/H image? How would those words be interpreted by the police and the justice Systems?





8 At the time of writing there's been a development which interestingly brings together the two stories above – petitions and Gaza.

Jennifer Forbes started the petition which now has over **100,000** signatures. The petition reads:

> *We want the UK Government to launch an inquiry into any British involvement in Israeli operations in Gaza, including the sale, supply or use of weapons, surveillance aircraft and Royal Air Force bases. We believe the UK has played a vital role in Israeli military operations in Gaza.*
>
> *We call on the UK Government to launch a fully independent inquiry into the UK's involvement since October 2023, which would have the power to question government ministers and officials involved in decision-making processes, particularly relating to: what arms have been supplied to Israel, what RAF bases have been used and why; what intelligence was collected during RAF flights; and what legal advice has the UK Government sought in assessing whether a genocide has taken place in Gaza.*

Jeremy Corbyn has supported the petition and talked about his support with **NOVARA MEDIA: [8a]**

It would clearly be more comfortable for the government if this debate does not happen – albeit held in a small antechamber where few SMPs are motivated to go and participate. Or perhaps, I should say – where most SMPs are instructed NOT to go, if they know what's good for them.

The government System though provides for a useful escape route for the party leaders not wishing to allow such a potentially uncomfortable and revealing debate to take place. Just because a petition has reached the landmark number of **100,000** signatures, doesn't mean it will trigger a debate. The government isn't necessarily obligated to stage a debate – depending on certain views. There are loop-holes which – in this case – might be used to allow for such an escape. Jeremy Corbyn will know this.

Despite this career politician knowing this – and also knowing the expected result of such a debate, after two something hours it may last – he has backed it anyway. He will also know - as you do now - the ultimate outcome. Those in the room will be invited to vote – *"Has the petition been debated"* – all those say Aye… etc, etc

There will not be a fully independent inquiry – and if there ever was, it would be in the deep and distant future, **20yrs** on from now perhaps, once all things have moved on and the guilty ones are nowhere to be found.

However, since Jeremy Corbyn is a wise politician and one with a good heart and eye for finding the truth, he will have another idea in mind. All publicity about this petition is certain to be very powerful and informative indeed. He has spoken about it on **Novara Media** for starters. He and others will be speaking about it on similar news and commentary channels – but most likely NOT on the BBC. This exposure by other means, spreads the words about it and thus spotlights the government's position on the subject. It also spotlights the government's position on its apparent support of Israel to inflict genocide on a race of innocent people. The article by **Novara Media**, sheds more light on the cruel treatment of those innocent people – including children – as served on them by the *genocidal* government of Israel.

In another universe, we would not be in the position we now find ourselves today. And this is the very point of this Thought Byte. Let's look at that different universe.

7 In section 2 above, I asked this:
Given these petitions come to nothing, we really do have to explore two thoughts.

1. How is it that they come to nothing?
2. What's to be done about this?

The answers are these:

1. They come to nothing because the current System makes that possible
2. As for what's to be done – it's simply to change the current System

The solution could hardly be more straightforward really – looking at the problem as I do. It's only the *how* that we need to think about – starting with an **Intention**!

Anyone with even the most casual interest in following the daily news will be as depressed by it as anyone could be. There is nothing to be cheerful about – no light at the end of the tunnel and the tunnel gets ever more dark, dank and uncomfortable as we all descend further into oblivion. People complain about the problems and across a multitude of issues. But this is key – people continue to bark at and criticise the government, pleading with it to do something about it all.

And whether we are talking about petitions or anything else, the result is the same – nothing gets done and nothing changes. The best anyone will hear is that the government has pledged £XM [***of who's money*?]** to help fund XYZ. Great? Err No!

The citizens of the UK do not need any more petitions. The citizens should be finished with the idea of asking the government to do anything. The citizens should certainly stop writing to their local SMP. That process only makes those SMPs feel important – when in truth they are unhelpful and controlled gatekeepers – blocking the progress which all citizens see as needed and desire to enjoy.

Rather than initiate a further petition we – all of us – need to set an **Intention**.
That intention begins with just a one-line statement.
We the undersigned commit to the following **Intention**.
We Intend to end the current System by replacing it with one which works – and works for all the citizens as any System should. And that is it! **Intention** now set.

It's from this position that all kinds of thoughts. ideas and new energy begin to flow – gravitating as they will to the simple **Intention** scribed above. Now ask yourself - what could possibly be more exciting than planning a new future?
It's about planning for that different new universe we would all choose to live in!

PS Also, at the time of writing, I came upon a current social media post which I thought relevant to record here – in view of the petition debate covered about the C19 jabs: It reads:

> *Alice Weidel the joint leader of the AFD, Germany's main opposition Party has said in the German parliament the Bundestag that - Many people have died from the Covid 19 vaccine side effects and questioned the approval of the novel mRNA treatments. She also stated that 'long Covid' is vaccine harm.*
> *Expect the 'cabal' to redouble their attacks on the AFD.*
> *They told me in the UK Parliament all the Covid 'vaccine deaths and harms' would be covered up for at least 20 years and that by raising this issue I was 'taking on the most powerful vested interest in the world'.*
> *We must not let this cover up continue. The truth must be exposed and those responsible for their heinous crimes against humanity must be held to account.*
> *The pressure is building around the world but UK Parliament Labour, Tories, LibDems, Green and Reform still tell us Covid shots are 'safe and effective'.*
> Andrew Bridgen

So, that's my current thinking and opinion on this subject today –
but I wonder - what are your thoughts?

By: David Charles Contact CONNECT: HERE

THE HISTORY BOOK – THB
We have compiled a repository of interesting articles across a wide range of subjects – all easily accessed and cross-referenced.
The articles take the form of webpages, PDFs, audios and videos - accumulated from a growing worldwide network of sources and authors.

Articles previously published on the CONNECT websites – are filed in the **LIBRARY** section of **THB**. Filed in the **BANK** section are articles held for general reference, expanded research and even entertainment.

Further articles relative to the subjects covered in *this* Thought Byte article can be read under their respective headings, in our different **Reading Rooms**.

The History Book is already a compendium of immensely valuable information. With records being added every week – it's rapidly expanding into a unique source of reference on important and major topics of our time.
Access to more than 16,000 articles, on the expanding array of subjects, provides for you - our reader – an easily accessible reference library within a few simple clicks 24/7. Please do enjoy!

CONNECT'S Maxim and Oath
Connect is only interested in finding and sharing the TRUTH.
In search of that TRUTH, we only pose questions – we have no answers.

REFERENCED ARTICLES

3a **CONNECT M3:** WHERE DO I BEGIN WITH THIS? : TB-5025B

3b **HANSARD: UK**: PETITION: M WESTWOOD : AT-5023D

4a **CONNECT M3:** AND THEY CALL THIS A DEBATE? : M-2455MA

4b **ALLISON INQUIRY:** IT'S ABOUT VACCINE INJURIES : AW-6446E

4c **ORACLE FILMS**: IT'S ALL BEING HIDDEN FROM US : XR-2393A

4d **HANSARD: UK:** VACCINE SAFETY DEBATE : AT-3303F

4e **HANSARD: UK:** VACCINE SAFETY DEBATE : AV-2434A

7a **MEM:** TOLD TO FOLLOW PARTY ORDERS : BP-6462A

7b **JOE MEDIA:** SHOCK: THIS IS A FULL 180 : AT-6422A

7c **BBC:** NO FUTHER ACTION MRS HELM : AN-6462B

8a **NOVARA MEDIA:** JEREMY CORBYN WANTS TRUTH : AN-6463A

FURTHER READING

Articles in **The History Book** are in two sections: **Library** and **Bank**.
Both are accessed via the Library and Bank icons on each page.
For more related to this Thought Byte check out the following links

A **GRUS JUSTICE PROJECT:** THEY WANTED THIS BURIED : AW-6446D
Silencing Detective Grus [Helen Grus]

B **THE LAVIGNE SHOW:** THEY SAID IT WAS SAFE : AF-6446B
FILM-Trailer: Silencing Detective Grus [5:36]

C **ACTUALLITE POLITIQUE DU QUEBIC:** SHUT DOWN OF A DETECTIVE : AV-6446C
Testimony of Helen Grus | Allison Inquiry [42:03]

D **PARLIAMENT.TV:** SMP RUPERT LOWE : AV-6353F
Rupert Lowe FORCES MPs to hear SIXTEEN grooming gang testimonies [5:49]

E **THE RAPE REPORT:** THE RAPE GANG REPORT : AW-6253E
The Rape Gang Inquiry Report

F **MIDDLE EAST EYE:** GENOCIDE DEFINED IN NAZA : AN-6441O
watching NAZA, describing genocide, should be "compulsory" for Britain's Zionists. [9:33]

G **THE GUARDIAN:** WILL SHAKE YOU TO THE CORE : AF-6464A
FILM-Trailer: NAZA [1:54]

H **DECLASSIFIED UK:** THE IDF DEATHTRAP : AN-6415C
Ex-Marine exposes Israeli killings at Gaza aid sites [22:47]

I **CHANNEL 4:** IDF SOLDIERS - "ARE WE EVIL?" : AP-6435S
'Does that make us evil?': The IDF soldiers speaking out in 'NAZA' [30:18]

J **NAZA: "THE REAL STORY":** NAZA - THE ISRAELI VERSION : AF-6464B
FILM-Documentary: Naza: The Real Story | Full Movie [49:02]

K **NAZA:** NAZA - **THE NON-ISRAELI VERSION**
Will be available via freeview on The Guardian website in late **Nov26**

LINK **The History Book**: Library/Awakening/The New Model

LINK **The History Book**: Bank/Awakening/The New Model

LINK **The History Book:** Persons Index/Andrew Bridgen