

CONNECT

Follow The Money

2315 CC

The UK government has admitted that their profit matters more than our safety.

- 1 It's so important to ask the right questions. And the government, when asked a question about the C-19 vaccines, gave a most interesting and revealing response. Our gov't is following the money – it's all about business profits.

Vikki Spit, whose musician fiancée Zion tragically died just days after having the AstraZeneca jab, asked the government - what would make AstraZeneca's immunity from litigation, null and void? The government will not answer her question because it might harm commercial interests - not only AstraZeneca's but also - the government's!



- 2 There's nothing like hearing the truth from the perpetrators themselves! Vikki Spit's question is a reminder that –sometimes, when asked the right question – those perpetrators DO give a clear and truthful answer. Any idea that the Covid-19 vaccines are for people's protection – the population's health – must – surely - now be filed by everyone in the same drawer as the existence of Father Christmas and the Tooth Fairy.

Vikki put her question to the [Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy](#), a department of the UK government. The department jointly manages the Vaccine Taskforce, along with the Department for Health and Social Care. The Vaccine Taskforce was set up to secure contracts with vaccine suppliers, including AstraZeneca. The Taskforce was chaired by *venture capitalist* Kate Bingham – just one of the clues about the *business* aspect of the Covid-19 vaccines.

The [Vaccine Taskforce](#) page on Wikipedia, by the way, reveals a couple of interesting historical facts. The first is that venture capitalist Kate Bingham, the chair, said in October 2020 that a vaccine for Covid-19 was only expected to be about 50% effective – no better than flu vaccines. Safe and “effective”? The second is that, until November 2020, the membership of the Taskforce was a secret – and a Freedom of Information request to find out who the members were resulted in three pages of names – *all redacted*. Clearly, we, the People, are not seen as deserving to know who decides what we must have injected into our bodies.

- 3 Back to Vikki's question – and the answer to that question from our government. Her question, entitled [What would make the indemnity granted to AstraZeneca void?](#) was asked under the Freedom of Information Act. It was asked via the online platform What Do They Know [a fascinating resource for truth seekers] and it read:
“We understand you will not release the terms of the agreement; which granted indemnity to AstraZeneca from litigation.
Can you give details of what would make that indemnity null and void?
For example, faulty or incomplete trial data?”

NOTE: If for example, a person gave false or incomplete information on a motor insurance application, we can expect that if it came to the crunch – the policy and its cover would likely become null and void.
Vikki Spit had the same idea in mind as regards AstraZeneca.

The following is the key content – copied and pasted - from the answer that Vikki received [the emboldening is mine]:

“The Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy can confirm that we do hold some information relating to vaccine indemnities, as per your question.

*However, we are withholding this information under section 43(2)(a) of the Freedom of Information Act. Under Section 43(2) of the Act, which states that “**information is exempt information if its disclosure under this Act would, or would be likely to, prejudice the commercial interests of any person (including the public authority holding it)**”.*

*The requested information contains commercially sensitive information with regards to contracts with vaccine suppliers, and **we consider that the disclosure of that information would prejudice the commercial interests of the companies involved.**”*

The response from the Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy continued:

*“This is subject to a public interest test, and we have carefully considered the balance in this case. We recognise that there is a general public interest in the disclosure of information relating to the vaccination programme, as **greater transparency makes Government and its decision making more open and accountable**.*

However, against this, there is a strong public interest in ensuring that the commercial interests of Government and vaccine suppliers are not damaged or undermined by disclosure of information which is not common knowledge, and which could adversely impact current or future business."

- 4 Vikki Spit, who asked the right question, is not impressed by the government's priorities. A widow, tragically, before the age of forty because her partner "did the right thing", Vikki is speaking out - like the equally courageous Caroline Pover, who we talked with on [CONNECT: In Conversation](#) in a two part-podcast [see below for links].

The issues that Vikki and Caroline are raising awareness of include the urgent need for help for people who are vaccine injured and have lost loved ones due to the Covid-19 vaccines. This includes practical assistance in the form of medical diagnosis and treatment for Caroline and financial help for both Vikki and Caroline. Vikki, while the first to get her compensation, had to battle for it. Caroline – after 17 months – has not received her compensation and has no idea when she will receive it.

One of the issues people like them face – among many – is being regarded as liars by the many people who refuse to face the reality - that the Covid-19 vaccines have killed and injured people.

And, as Vikki has explained on GB News in: [Pretty disgusting, isn't it? You don't withhold information if it's good information](#), those issues have expanded. We know, now, that the government prioritised *profit* – not only for Big Pharma but also for itself – over our health and wellbeing. We know, because they have told us.

A wise man once said: "The truth will set you free."

I agree – but we all need to understand, that being set free after finding out the truth is often not immediate. Finding out the truth the UK government regards profits as more important than lives and health - that is, profits for them and Big Pharma – may be painful, especially if you did not understand this before.

But it IS the truth. And it WILL set us free.

Many of us knew it already – but it's always good to have it confirmed by the perpetrators.

We, the People, need to rise together, step into our power and say as one: NO MORE. If we do this, the wall WILL fall.

CONNECT'S Maxim and Oath

Connect is only interested in finding and sharing the TRUTH.

In search of that TRUTH, we only pose questions – we have no answers.

By: Helen King

Source: CONNECT: [Magazine](#)

- 2 [LINK](#) Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy

- 2 [LINK](#) Wikipedia: Vaccine Taskforce
- 3 [LINK](#) What Do They Know: What would make the indemnity granted to AstraZeneca void?
- 4 [LINK](#) YouTube: CONNECT: In Conversation: Meet The Author: Caroline Pover: Part 1
- 4 [LINK](#) YouTube: CONNECT: In Conversation: Meet The Author: Caroline Pover: Part 2
- 4 [LINK](#) GB News: Pretty disgusting, isn't it? You don't withhold information if it's good information

FURTHER READING

- [LINK](#) BrandNewTube: Vikki Spit CONFIRMED Astra Zeneca Vax Widow
- [LINK](#) GB News: German government release figures on serious adverse reactions to Covid vaccine

PLEASE DOWNLOAD AND SHARE THIS ARTICLE



Opportunity to join the CONNECT [team and network](#)

END