

CONNECT

Death In The Garden

MA-3285D

When the gardening contractor is guilty – there is currently no one to go to!

- 1 In a survey of the People, one in four – at the time - believed that the man pictured below did not commit suicide. Instead, one in four people believed this man was murdered by those who wanted to silence him.

This is a classic case of 'Who Done It' and by definition, also a classic case of Conspiracy Theory. People will have noticed by now that whenever a theory is put up which challenges the Official Narrative, there are those who label it – in an effort to discredit the challenging narrative – a Conspiracy Theory. People will also have noticed that these theories are never openly debated.



- 2 The man in the picture is **Dr David Kelly** and a scientist at the centre of an apparent security breach in **2003** – now **20yrs** ago almost to the day.

Dr Kelly was a senior weapons inspector and was the man leading investigations into WMD and bio-warfare research for the United Nations. This role placed him at the head of investigations carried on in Iraq, which began shortly after the first Gulf War in **1990**.

Dr Kelly held a number of senior posts, including - Head of Defence Microbiology Division – at the government's bio-research establishment at Porton Down in Wiltshire and was personally highly regarded worldwide.

[Wikipedia](#) has more on David Kelly.

- 3 The lead up to the second Gulf War in **2003** was something that a great number of people had issue with at the time. The demonstration in London

against further conflict – matched by similar events in **600** cities around the world - was the largest demonstration of the People on record. I witnessed it myself on a beastly cold February Saturday. The people knew enough – and sensed enough – to know the action being promoted by the US president, **George W Bush** - supported by his most trusted ally **Tony Blair** – was wrong!

[BBC](#) has more on the protest march in London.

As we all know, the People were ignored – on the basis that a small number of appointed contractors [ie People Appointed Politicians] knew better. The People were never given the opportunity to review the detailed justification for the war or - more likely - witness the issues being fully debated.

What was shared with the People however was a very key piece of data about the threat which Iraq's leader – **Saddam Hussein** – posed to the People of the UK. Tony Blair told the people of Britain that we could all be under threat within **45minutes**. What the 45minute claim really meant has never been made clear, since there are differing interpretations. That said, the time quote was sufficiently effective to send a shock wave down the spine of every war fearing being – or at least those in the UK.

At least two questions stem from the claim:

1. Was the 45minute claim based on fact?
The answer here is an unequivocal NO!
2. Who was responsible for its insertion into the official narrative?
Was it No.10's chief press man **Alastair Campbell**?

[The Guardian](#) had this about the 45minute claim.

- 4 **Dr David Kelly** had intimate knowledge of Iraq's capabilities – arrived at by having made **37** investigative missions to the country. He seemingly had an issue with the official narrative put out by the government led by **Tony Blair**. It appears that the scientist met with a **BBC** journalist for an off-record discussion - where it was later reported that the government's justification for the war – detailed in a dossier on Iraq's WMD capability - was over-egged. The dossier on Iraq's capabilities, was reported as 'sexed up' to gain effect. Well, the 45minute claim certainly sexed things up and had the effect of turning the opinions of MPs – at least – in favour of war against Iraq.

It was not long after the journalist made his scoop public that the government had the knives out for the whistleblower and – despite having the ability and means to handle matters differently – it effectively pinpointed the source of the comments by describing Dr Kelly's position in the MoD. It was as if the government already had doubts about the scientist and his propensity to spill beans on some very sensitive issues.

Dr Kelly volunteered to appear before a parliamentary select committee to divulge his version of the truth. What Dr Kelly admitted to - during what was naturally perhaps a most hostile interrogation process by MPs - was that he had met a BBC journalist by the name of **Andrew Gilligan**.

He also said, that whilst he shared certain information with the journalist, he did not believe he was Andrew Gilligan's main source of information which he subsequently made public.

[BBC's Andrew Gilligan had this to say about Tony Blair's dossier.](#)

There are two separate recordings from the BBC Today Programme.

The result of Dr Kelly becoming named as the whistleblower - and the press scramble for news about the claims - not surprisingly made life challenging for the scientist. So much so, that the official narrative - put out by the government, supported by **Lord Hutton** who led the government's inquiry following David Kelly's death - pointed to those challenges as being the single cause for the scientist to commit suicide. In other words, the pressure, the guilt - even the shame perhaps - caused the scientist to take his own life.

Job done thank you - that is all you people and press need to know on this. Let's move on shall we!

Hey - not so fast Mr Tony Blair.

The thing is - like the graphic below suggests - when the facts don't add up, people go looking for facts that do. It is these very people who look for these alternative facts that are regularly labelled Conspiracy Theorists. Another name for these types - types with inquisitive minds - is Critical Thinkers.

So, what do the Critical Thinkers have to say about Tony Blair's story - supported by Lord Hutton - and the evidence which has come to light since? That evidence points to facts which do add up and in a completely different way from those told to us in the official narrative. In fact, the way the facts appear to add up now is that Dr David Kelly was murdered.

- 5 The full story of **Dr David Kelly** is best described in the videos by investigative journalists who have spent countless hours on their research of the alternative facts. Their presentations are professional and skilled and their findings thought-provoking in the extreme! I shall leave it to them to explain the detail which has come to be revealed as a result of their work.

In summary, I will document here some of the key points made by the investigative journalists which should have the effect of pricking anyone's interest to get to the bottom of this story. And eventually at the bottom of the story we should have confirmed for us what really happened to a quiet, professional man with an apparent conscience and desire to speak his truth.

The documentary - [The Case of Dr David Kelly: The Coverup Continues](#) gives is an extraordinary in-depth analysis and record of this disturbing story. Disturbing because the scientist has lost his life - in what many believe are extremely suspicious circumstances - and the level of integrity our government personalities don't possess.

The film is a long watch - but a long watch worth every minute of our time.

6 The challenging and alternative facts then – on **Dr David Kelly's** death:

Dr David Kelly's body was found about a mile from his home on Harrowdown Hill. The pathologist's report claimed that it was textbook suicide caused by a slit to the left wrist and the ingestion of Co-proxamol painkilling drugs. Despite a number of senior medics making representations challenging the pathologist's report - and Lord Hutton's ready acceptance of it – the matter was closed by the government.

The interesting additional and *challenging* facts to consider are these:

1. The scientist was not easily spooked by attention – given his role
2. The affair would have been troubling but not to the extent suggested
3. The day before he died nothing suggested a poor mental state
4. An email to a friend spoke of looking forward to his return to Iraq
5. He'd been in previous talks with a writer and publisher about a book
6. It appeared he had information he wanted to make public
7. He was bound by the Official Secrets Act – but was undeterred by this
8. After going missing his body was found very quickly in a wooded area
9. A search dog found his body – possibly the only one deployed
10. The search team described the position of the body when found
11. Subsequent investigators described the body in a different position
12. No finger prints were found on the drug blister pack at the scene
13. No finger prints were found on the pruning knife also at the scene
14. Paramedics on-site later spoke about the lack of blood in evidence
15. Senior medics claimed that the artery slit would not cause death
16. Toxicologists claimed the drugs David took would not cause death
17. Tony Blair announced Inquiry the day the scientist's body was found
- 18. The police search investigation was started before he went missing**

A further question arises and it relates to Dr David's choice of suicide. Toxicologists determined that the drug intake was a third of that needed to cause death. A person of Dr Kelly's capability in the field of bio-sciences, would be equipped to ensure a definite method for life exit. Plus, with free access to labs under his jurisdiction, he would presumably have had free access to lethal drugs of all types – including cyanide and even Novichok.

Novichok – the Russian-made toxin which Porton Down had a quantity of – nearly killed **Sergei Skripal** and daughter in Salisbury a few miles away. Novichok did kill an innocent resident in a nearby town as part of the same incident supposedly.

[The Conversation](#) has more on Novichok

How interesting it is that **Tony Blair** should move – without a moment's delay - in the way he did, appointing a senior person to head an Inquiry into the scientist's death and on the very same day the scientist's body was found. Apparently, Tony Blair was in flight to Tokyo at the time.

An inquiry has less teeth than an inquest, but rather than allowing an inquest to proceed as would have been appropriate, Tony Blair intervened to appoint Lord Hutton to lead his inquiry. Perhaps this ensured the outcome?

- 7 In another world, the death of the scientist would have been properly and thoroughly investigated. We do not live in that other world currently. Because of that, the government exercises the power it does to close down challenging narratives and does so by employing all the mechanisms in its armoury – including the justice system – to sign-off what clearly appear to be inadequate and totally questionable findings.

What we have in simple terms is this.

The people have appointed *People Appointed Politicians* – to work for the good and in the interests of all the People. They are akin to our gardening contractors – appointed and paid by us to look after our garden.

In this case, our garden contractors appear to have murdered one of our own, but argue, they instead killed themselves with their own pruning knife. Despite our appointing these contractors to work solely on our behalf, they are telling us what will happen and what will not. The contractor avoids investigation by being the sole arbiter in this matter – as well as in others.

In the everyday world in which we do live, if we suspected our gardening contractor of murdering one of our family in the shrubs at the foot of the plot, we would call in the police and expect a full and thorough investigation. But...

Again, in the everyday world in which we do live, if we suspect our contractors – being the *People Appointed Politicians* – of lying to us or worse still, killing one of our own, there is no one to go to. There is no representative body which sits above the politicians to which they are answerable. And here I discount the role of police in such affairs – since their involvement comes later if required.

The story of this apparent murder is yet another brick in the wall which highlights where the administration process in this country severely lacks. The lack is not limited to the UK either, as the C19 affair showed everyone across the world, just how governments steamrolled their diktats in true authoritarian style, robbing people of their rights, freedoms and choices. This country – and so many others – lacks an administration which is properly orientated to serve the People as opposed to those who are elected into position – elected as paid contractors for the People.

For more on this viewpoint see the **Connect M3** article:

[The Problem In Our Garden The Problem In Our Garden : M-3085SA](#)

- 8 One further question involving **Dr David Kelly** remains hanging over the heads of the *People Appointed Politicians*.

The research conducted by the investigative journalists reveals that the scientist was seeking to publish information in some form. That information may have related to the sexed-up dossier – central to **Tony Blair's** argument to go to war. Alternatively, it may not have.

Dr David Kelly was privy to the government's top-secret bio-weapons programmes – and not just in the UK. He was involved with the same programmes in the USA, Canada and quite possibly South Africa too.

A documentary film produced by CBC of Canada leads us on a walk through the dark secrets of governments around the world – and their experiments on unsuspecting people – for example with Anthrax vaccines. The investigators also reveal the abhorrent policy to sterilise – even kill – particular ethnic groups in Africa.

The film also speaks of research scientists involved in these dark secrets having met their deaths in suspicious circumstances. The strong suggestion is that some if not all these scientists were done away with because they were regarded as unreliable for keeping secrets – secret.

The question hanging then is this. Was the prominent UK scientist planning to divulge secrets in a published book format – written, as we learn in CBC's film, by a ghost writer? David Kelly was well aware of the Official Secrets Act he had signed many years before, but the testimony of his close confidant, **Arthur Thomas**, suggests that he wasn't going to be deterred by that detail. David Kelly seemed determined to make sensitive information public. But by doing so, did he sign his own death warrant? It certainly looks that way.

The CBC film is called [Anthrax War](#).
CBC Dr David Kelly & Biological Warfare (2009)

The documentary closes with some powerful words by **Francis Boyle** – author of the Biological Anti-Terrorism Act.

*You must understand, that the human race stands at a critical turning point in terms of bio-warfare technology. We are at risk now as I speak. We have to bring this research development and testing and the technology itself under democratic control. We can not rely upon the government to do this for us and we certainly cannot rely on the scientists. So it's going to be up to you and to me to stop this, to reduce this, to bring it under control.
If we do not, our children could suffer a biological catastrophe.*

Given where things stand today, this position has not got any better.

PS1 Given the subject of this article and its relationship to **Tony Blair** in particular – the government and the Gulf War of **2003** – it is relevant to make mention of the documentary drama film based on real events.

The film – released in **2018** – is called **Official Secrets** and tells the story of a GCHQ operative in England who blew the whistle on evidence which came to their attention. The evidence confirmed that the UK government were employing tactics in an attempt to win a UN resolution sanctioning – and thereby legitimising – war against Iraq. The brave whistleblower shared their evidence with the press, which was subsequently published. The effect was

to cause the UK and the US to abandon their attempts to gain the UN resolution, since the tactics being employed were now public to all.

The exposure almost caused the termination of **Tony Blair's** efforts to lead the country to war and perhaps would have done so had it not been for the timing of events. The termination of those efforts to go to war was the exact reason for the whistleblower's actions. However, the leaked information only became public just days before the first attack on Iraq's capital and the start of war. The news and pictures of blast bombs going off in Baghdad was enough to steer all attention to the fireworks and thus effectively bury the story of a lying government and a lying PM, despite the heroic efforts and associated risks endured on the part of the GCHQ whistleblower.

A famous line from the film – spoken by the whistleblower – is legendary. In answer to a police investigator's question:

So, you work for the British government?

No, I work for the British people.

*I don't gather intelligence **so the government can lie to the British People.***

Katherine Gun ex-GCHQ

This film provides a record of Tony Blair, the various government personalities and the government systems. It is now for us all to make an assessment of what we all wish to keep and what we all wish to bin - and lock up!

Administration of our country – or any country - should not include lying to the People.

[Remo Conscious](#) tells us how he sees it in this poignant song.

In the words of KCIII "There is a narrowing window of opportunity." The People have an opportunity to remodel the country's administrative systems into a form which not only prevents corruption - holding the guilty to account - but properly serves the People it is there to serve.

THE HISTORY BOOK – THB

We have compiled a repository of interesting articles across a wide range of subjects – cross-referenced in many cases - all freely accessible to you and all our interested readers.

The articles take the form of webpages, PDFs, audios and videos - accumulated from a growing worldwide network of sources and authors.

Articles previously published on the CONNECT-m3 website – are filed in the [LIBRARY](#) section of **THB**. Filed in the [BANK](#) section are articles held for general reference and expanded research.

Further articles relative to the subjects covered in *this* magazine article can be read under their respective headings, in our different **Reading Rooms**.

The History Book is already a compendium of immensely valuable information and - with records being added every week - is rapidly expanding to become a unique source of reference on many important and major topics of our time.
Access to thousands of articles, on the expanding array of subjects, is FREE - providing for you - our reader - an easily accessible reference library within a few simple clicks 24/7. Please do enjoy!

CONNECT'S Maxim and Oath

Connect is only interested in finding and sharing the TRUTH.
In search of that TRUTH, we only pose questions - we have no answers.

By: David Charles


Contact/Source: CONNECT: [Magazine](#)

- 2 [LINK](#) **Wikipedia:** Dr David Kelly
- 2 [LINK](#) **BBC:** Protest march in London - 16feb03
- 2 [LINK](#) **The Guardian:** The 45minute claim
- 4 [LINK](#) **BBC:** Andrew Gilligan and his bombshell story - in two pieces
- 6 [LINK](#) **The Conversation:** Novichok - a deadly toxin agent
- 7 [LINK](#) **Connect M3:** The Problem In Our Garden : M-3085SA
- 8 [LINK](#) **CBC: Anthrax War -** Dr David Kelly & Biological Warfare
- PS1 [LINK](#) **Official Secrets:** The film trailer
- PS1 [LINK](#) **Official Secrets:** A discussion with the film's director and the real main characters depicted in the film.
- PS1 [LINK](#) **Remo Conscious:** The rapper tells it how he sees it

FURTHER READING

- A [LINK](#) **The Guardian:** Dr David Kelly: 10 years on, death of scientist remains unresolved for some.
- B [LINK](#) **BBC:** Dr David Kelly: Controversial death examined
- C [LINK](#) **The History Book:** Library/Topic/Gulf War
- D [LINK](#) **Connect:** Conspiracy Theory - Or Fact?: M-2235RD
- E [LINK](#) **Connect:** Nelson Said - " I see No Ships": M-3065RD

PLEASE DOWNLOAD AND SHARE THIS ARTICLE



Opportunity to join the CONNECT [team and network](#)

END