

CONNECT

Cheap Drugs – The Real Truth

3145 VB

For cheap – don't read ineffective, or dangerous!

- 1 This story is about the truth behind some truly cheap drugs – and although I describe them as cheap – that is not the same and nothing like the same – as ineffective or dangerous. The truth is – the exact opposite is true.

I'm putting the spotlight on two cheap drugs in particular. But drugs typically start life as expensive - the reason being that before they are allowed on the market for doctors to treat patients they are tested and modified. It is only after years of painstaking research - then after testing measured in years and often decades – that they are authorised by regulators for use.



- 2 This is the standard practice for all pharmaceuticals. At least that is how it has been for decades. Drug regulation in America emerged as early as **1848**, under a law that addressed only imported drugs. In **1905** the American Medical Association **AMA** launched a private, voluntary means of controlling a substantial part of the drug marketplace, a system that remained in place for over a half-century. Drug regulation was later taken up by the Food & Drug Administration **FDA** and has now evolved considerably since President Theodore Roosevelt signed the **1906** Pure Food and Drugs Act.

Some brief history about drug regulation and the emergence of the American gatekeeper which until recently was headed by **Dr Anthony Fauci**.

In **1938**, a newly enacted U.S. Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act subjected new drugs to pre-market safety evaluation for the first time. This required FDA regulators to review both pre-clinical and clinical test results for new drugs. Although the law did not specify the kinds of tests that were required for

approval, the new authority allowed drug officials to block the marketing of a new drug formally or delay it by requiring additional data. The act also gave regulators limited powers of negotiation over scientific study and approval requirements with the pharmaceutical industry and the medical profession.

A worldwide drug disaster in **1961** resulted in the enactment of the **1962** Drug Amendments, which explicitly stated that the FDA would rely on scientific testing and that new drug approvals would be based not only upon proof of safety, but also on *substantial evidence* of a drug's efficacy [i.e. the impact of a drug in a clinical trial setting]. Increasingly, responsibility for testing standards previously established as voluntary by the AMA's Council on Drugs, the U.S. Pharmacopeia and the National Formulary were taken up by the FDA.

The UK's version of regulator performs a similar role to that of the FDA, called the Medicines & Healthcare products Regulatory Agency **MHRA**. The UK also has its own National Formulary. The Formulary specifies clearly - in relation to regulator approved drugs - the safe level of doses which can be given to patients - taking account of their personal statistics. I will be mentioning the National Formulary again later.

- 3 The subject of this article is two *cheap* and available drugs, namely:

Hydroxychloroquine HCQ- described by Wikipedia as:

It's a medication used to prevent and treat malaria in areas where malaria remains sensitive to chloroquine. Other uses include treatment of rheumatoid arthritis, lupus, and porphyria cutanea tarda.

Hydroxychloroquine was approved for medical use in the USA in **1955**. It is on the World Health Organization's **WHO** List of Essential Medicines. In **2020**, it was the **126th** most commonly prescribed medication in the United States.

The next bit is interesting - and an untold number of doctors and scientists disagree with the following - saying that clinical trials proved without doubt the safety and efficacy of the drug to treat C19!

*Hydroxychloroquine has been studied for an ability to prevent and treat coronavirus disease 2019 [C19], but clinical trials found it ineffective for this purpose and a possible risk of dangerous side effects. Among studies that deemed hydroxychloroquine intake to cause harmful side effects, a publication by **The Lancet** was retracted due to data flaws.*

Ivermectin - described by Wikipedia as:

An antiparasitic drug. After its discovery in **1975**, its first uses were in veterinary medicine to prevent and treat heartworm and acariasis. Approved for human use in **1987**, today it is used to treat infestations including head lice, scabies, river blindness [onchocerciasis], strongyloidiasis, trichuriasis, ascariasis and lymphatic filariasis. It works through many mechanisms to kill the targeted parasites.

It is on the **WHO's** List of Essential Medicines and is approved by the US **FDA** as an antiparasitic agent. In **2020**, it was the **423rd** most commonly prescribed medication in the United States. It is available as a generic medicine.

Again, the next bit is interesting – and an incalculable number of doctors and scientists disagree with the following statement – in connection with treating patients with C19 infection!

*During the C19 pandemic, misinformation has been widely spread claiming that ivermectin is beneficial for treating and preventing C19. Such claims are not backed by credible scientific evidence. Multiple major health organizations, including the US **FDA**, the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention **CDC**, the European Medicines Agency **EMA** and the **WHO** have stated that ivermectin is **not** authorized or approved to treat C19.*

Due to the patent terms covering both these drugs having expired long before **2020**, they were both classed as generic. Thus, they were available to all pharmaceutical makers to produce without royalty to the original makers. This fact has made the drugs cheap to produce and make available to doctors for treating their patients.

- 4 Due to the length of time these drugs have been available to doctors, they have proved to be exceptionally safe, with only a minor percentage of side-effects associated with their use. Together the drugs have been administered to patients around the entire globe in billions of doses.

For reasons not necessary to explore in this article, it is normal for doctors and scientists to look for already existing and safe drugs on the market when new diseases are discovered. Good reasoning and careful consideration applied by scientific experts will often determine which drugs are best placed to be trialled on patients exhibiting medical conditions not before seen. Before scientists get involved quick and alert doctors apply their wealth of expertise to find possible remedies and cures for their patients. This happened for C19.

Exactly this approach was applied by experts around the world when C19 emerged in the early months of **2020**. After frontline doctors used the drugs successfully, these two drugs were considered ideal for trialling and both drugs recorded good results. In fact, many doctors declared the results exceptional. Word about these successes quickly spread throughout the medical profession globally.

Even president Trump was put on **HCQ** by his own physician. He didn't waste any time telling the world about its success for him and recommended everyone should take it. After all it was proved safe. As it turned out HCQ was exceptionally effective too.

The Guardian said this – **07 April 2020**:

[Hydroxychloroquine: how an unproven drug became Trump's coronavirus 'miracle cure'](#)

Extract:

"What do you have to lose? Take it," the president said on Saturday as he boasted that the US had amassed 29m doses of the drug. On Sunday, facing questions from the press about his aggressive promotion of an unproven treatment, he argued against waiting for the completion of clinical trials. "In France, they had a very good test," he said. "But we don't have time to go and say, 'Gee, let's take a couple of years and test it out, and let's go and test with the test tubes and the laboratories.'"

*Meanwhile, **Dr Anthony Fauci**, the country's top infectious disease doctor, has repeatedly warned that there is no conclusive evidence to support using the drug. Asked whether it should be considered a treatment for Covid-19, he said on **24 March**: "The answer is no."*

Despite what has been written in The Guardian's article and since – by way of negativity about HCQ – 3yrs on now – the exceptional safe and effective results achieved by doctors prescribing HCQ speak for themselves. So, did the world get behind HCQ? Answer NO. Why was that?

Dr Anthony Fauci could answer the question and in his own way he has. He did not want the world to be treated with a cheap, safe, effective drug that was widely available. He actually wanted two things.

1. He wanted every patient in American hospitals to be put on a drug he has a personal financial interest in selling – **Remdesivir**. Incidentally, some of the most prominent doctors in America speak of Remdesivir as causing guaranteed death. Interesting that the US Chief Medical Officer to the president should be promoting it. It is noteworthy to say, President Trump and Fauci were on opposite pages when it came to policy. *Trump seemed to know something.*
2. Fauci also wanted everyone in America – and elsewhere – to receive the vaccine which was being hurried to market.

You will recall how long it normally takes to approve new drugs for use – often going into decades – well that was an idea not being promoted by Dr Fauci or anyone of his ilk around the world. Consequently – to great applause and fanfare – in the closing months of **2020**, Emergency Use Authorisation **EUA** was given to a host of different treatments – although labelled vaccines, in reality they were nothing like what people or doctors understood vaccines to be. *The definition of vaccines had been altered to include gene therapy.*

So, without rigorous testing and prolonged study, a worldwide inoculation programme was commenced at a cost of billions of pounds for the UK spent on supplies and the same for pretty much all countries throughout the world. The exceptions – countries saying 'NO' – tell another interesting story.

- 5 Let's now take a closer look at **Dr Anthony Fauci** [pictured below] as there's so much to be revealed about this man – about his ability, his record and his interests. His financial interests in pharma patents I'll leave for another day.



And the person that has brought the public's attention to this *health expert* is a man who will be widely applauded - **Robert F Kennedy Jr.**

Robert F Kennedy Jr – a lawyer and son of **Robert F Kennedy** [who was tragically assassinated in Los Angeles - like Robert's uncle was - **JFK** in Dallas a few years earlier] - has done in-depth analysis on Anthony Fauci – a man he has been following closely for the better part of two decades. In the process of his more recent analysis, he has made some startling discoveries.

His latest book is really a deep-dive on Anthony Fauci – a man who has received an extraordinary level of protection by the US government, since **Joe Biden** superseded **Donald Trump** as US president in **January 2021**.

Had Biden not been declared the winner of the late **2020** presidential election, you might imagine that Fauci's story may have been quite different – as too the story of C19 may have been. But what transpired, is now history.

Robert F Kennedy's book is called **The Real Anthony Fauci** and having read the greater portion of it – I can say there is not one sentence wasted. It is a masterful piece of work which is referenced in astonishing detail throughout. I recommend anyone to read it.

Keep in mind that Anthony Fauci could – but hasn't – filed a defamation case against the author. He is certainly wealthy enough to do that.

Firstly, who is this man anyway - Anthony Fauci – according to Wikipedia? Anthony Stephen Fauci [born **24 December 1940**] is an American physician-scientist and immunologist who served as the director of the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases **NIAID** from **1984 to 2022**, and the chief medical advisor to the president from **2021 to 2022**.

As a physician with the National Institutes of Health [**NIH**], Fauci has served the American public health sector in various capacities for more than **fifty years** and has acted as an advisor to every U.S. president since **Ronald Reagan**. During his time as director of the NIAID, he made contributions to

HIV/AIDS research and other immunodeficiency diseases, both as a research scientist and as the head of the NIAID. From **1983 to 2002**, Fauci was one of the world's most frequently cited scientists across all scientific journals. In **2008**, President **George W. Bush** awarded him the Presidential Medal of Freedom, the highest civilian award in the United States, for his work on the AIDS relief program PEPFAR.

During the C19 pandemic, he served under President **Donald Trump** as one of the lead members of the White House Coronavirus Task Force. Fauci's advice was frequently contradicted by Trump, and Trump's supporters alleged that Fauci was trying to politically undermine Trump's run for re-election. After Joe Biden took office, Fauci began serving as one of the lead members of the White House C19 Response Team and as Biden's chief medical advisor. Fauci stepped down on **31 December 2022**.

This man has held a firm grip on all the steering mechanisms governing strategy formulation and execution in the United States for decades. America's public health achievements are in large part due to this man.

EXTRACT:

With courtesy and thanks to RFK Jr, I reproduce here various extracts - with their respective page references. I've been careful not to include extracts out of context which - if done - can corrupt a reader's interpreted meaning. The bold text is mine.

Pxx – His effect on the economy via lockdowns when none were needed:

Dr Fauci's business closures pulverised America's middle class and engineered the largest upward transfer of wealth in human history. Some 493 individuals became new billionaires and an additional eight million Americans dropped below the poverty line. The biggest winners were the robber barons - the very companies that were cheerleading Dr Fauci's lockdown and censoring his critics - including Big technology, Big data, Big telecom, Big finance, Big media and the Silicon Valley Internet Titans, like Jeff Bezos, Bill Gates and Mark Zuckerberg.

If there was some secret plan to crash the economy, then this kind of action would do exactly that. But why would anyone wish to do such a thing? Well, there are suggested answers to that - but this is not the day to deep-dive on those reasons. They do exist however, and they are more than plausible.

The CONNECT Library has more for those wishing to know.

Pxxi - His impact on the nation's health.

*When Dr Fauci took office, America was still ranked among the world's healthiest populations. An **August 2021** study by the Commonwealth Fund ranked America's healthcare system dead - last among industrialised nations with the highest infant mortality and the lowest life expectancy.*

Dr Simone Gold – founder of [America's Frontline Doctors](#) – said that given the schedule of **69plus** vaccines on the vaccination schedule for children to have before they reach 18yrs [more being added] –

American children should be the healthiest children in the world.
They aren't!

Under Dr Fauci's leadership the allergic, autoimmune, and chronic illnesses which Congress specifically charged NIAID to investigate and prevent have mushroomed to afflict 54% of children - up from 12.8% when he took over NIAID in **1984**.

On the website [Children's Health Defense](#) are quoted these shocking statistics.

54% of US youth are chronically ill
4 in 10 kids with Depression
1 in 5 kids with Obesity
1 in 5 kids with Suicidal Thoughts
1 in 6 kids with Developmental Disorders
1 in 10 kids with Anxiety
1 in 10 kids with ADHD
1 in 12 kids with Asthma
1 in 13 kids with Food Allergies
1 in 44 kids with Autism
1 in 285 kids with Cancer by Age 20

The growing problem of Autism in children has reached epidemic proportions and is the subject of compelling documentaries - called **Vaxxed**.

See **Further Reading** [A-B] below.

Anyone might surmise, that the reason the above statistics read so badly is that there is something about the vaccination schedule that needs looking at.

Any intelligent person - even if they weren't medically qualified - would surely conclude, that a look into vaccines would be a reasonable first step.

If vaccines were indeed the cause, what might you guess is going on? Could we further surmise that erroneous government policy has been dictated by some other outside influence? But other than Big Pharma - who are spilling over with wealth and particularly now - I personally wouldn't know where to start looking for such an influence.

Interestingly, Dr Fauci does have unhealthy connections with Big Pharma, as readers of this book will clearly discover.

Pxxii/xxiii – The health catastrophe

Neurological diseases like ADD/ADHD, speech and sleep disorders, narcolepsy, facial tics and Tourette's syndrome have become commonplace in American children. The human, health and economic costs of chronic disease dwarf the costs of all infectious diseases in the United States. By this decade's end, obesity, diabetes and pre-diabetes are on track to debilitate 85% of American citizens.

Lamentably, Dr Fauci's failure to achieve public health goals during the COVID pandemic are not anomalous errors, but consistent with a recurrent pattern of sacrificing public health and safety on the altar of pharmaceutical profits and self-interest. He consistently prioritised pharmaceutical industry profits over public health. Readers of these pages will learn how in exalting patented medicine Dr Fauci has throughout his long career routinely falsified science, deceived the public and physicians and lied about safety and efficacy.

P4 – The Covid response

Dr Fauci's libertine approach to facts may have contributed to what for me was the most troubling and infuriating feature of all the public health responses to COVID. The blatant and relentless manipulation of data to serve the vaccine agenda became the apogee of a year of stunning regulatory malpractice. High-quality and transparent data, clearly documented, timely rendered and publicly available are the sine qua non of competent public health management.

Additionally:

*At the outset of the pandemic Dr Fauci used wildly inaccurate modelling that overestimated US deaths by 525%. Scammer and pandemic fabricator **Neil Ferguson of Imperial College London** was their author, with funding from the **Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation of \$148.8million**. Dr Fauci used this model as justification for his lockdowns.*

Additionally:

*Dr Fauci acquiesced to CDC selective protocol changes for completing death certificates in a way that inflated the claim deaths from C19 and thus inflated his infection mortality rate. CDC later admitted that only **6%** of COVID deaths occurred in entirely healthy individuals. The remaining **94%** suffered from an average of **3.8** potentially fatal comorbidities.*

P5 Trust the Experts

Instead of demanding blue-ribbon safety science and encouraging honest, open and responsible debate on the science, badly compromised government health officials charged with managing the C19 pandemic collaborated with mainstream and social media to shut down discussion on key public health questions. They silenced doctors who offered any early treatments that might compete with vaccines or who refused to pledge unquestioning faith in zero liability, shoddily tested, experimental vaccines.

The chaotic and confusing data collection and interpretation allowed regulators to justify their arbitrary diktats under the cloak of 'scientific consensus'. Instead of citing scientific studies or clear data to justify mandates for masks, lockdowns and vaccines our medical rulers cited Dr Fauci or WHO, CDC, FDA and NIH – the captive agencies to legitimise the medical technocracy's assumption of dangerous new powers.

Dr Fauci's aficionados including president Biden and the cable and network news anchors, counseled Americans to 'trust the experts'. Such advice is both anti-democratic and anti-science - science is dynamic. Experts frequently differ on scientific questions and their opinions can vary in accordance with and

demands of politics, power and financial self-interest. Telling people to trust the experts is either naive or manipulative or both.

*All of Dr Fauci's intrusive mandates and his deceptive use of data tended to stoke fear and amplify public desperation for the anticipated arrival of vaccines that would transfer billions of dollars from taxpayers to pharmaceutical executives and shareholders. Some of America's most accomplished scientists and the physicians leading the battle against COVID in the trenches, came to believe that Anthony Fauci's do-or-die obsession with novel mRNA vaccines and Gilead's expensive patented antiviral **Remdesivir** prompted him to ignore or even suppress effective early treatments causing hundreds of thousands of unnecessary deaths while also prolonging the pandemic.*

Those early treatments - as you will guess - included the two cheap drugs, HCQ and Ivermectin.

P6 – Fortifying Immune Systems

*I was struck, during C19's early months, the America's doctor, apparently preoccupied with this single vaccine solution, did little in the way of telling Americans how to bolster their immune response. He never took time during his daily white house briefings from **March to May 2020** to instruct Americans to avoid tobacco, to get plenty of sunlight and to maintain adequate vitamin D levels. Quite the contrary, Dr Fauci's lockdowns caused Americans to gain an average of two pounds per month. He didn't recommend avoiding sugar and soft drinks, processed foods, and chemical residues all of which amplify inflammation, compromise immune response and disrupt the gut Biome which governs the immune system.*

Exactly the same was true in the UK. **Prof Chris Whitty** - Chief Medical Officer and **Prof Patrick Vallance** [Chief Scientific Officer] never at any point stressed the importance of bolstering and caring for our immune systems.

Incredible - given who their positions. It's as if they didn't want people to have heightened immune systems - and why would that be?

In the summer of **2020**, they were both thoroughly enthusiastic about the coming *experimental and untested* vaccines. Just like Dr Fauci was. As an aside - both advisors have financial interests with Big Pharma.

During the centuries that science has fruitlessly sought remedies against coronavirus only zinc has repeatedly proven its efficacy in peer-reviewed studies. Zinc impedes viral replication, prophylaxing against colds and abbreviating their duration.

Zinc was being promoted by doctors around the world - recommending people to take zinc together with those cheap drugs doctors had found to be safe and effective - albeit written-off by senior government medical advisors.

Dr Fauci's neglect of natural immune response was consistent with the pervasive hostility towards any non-vaccine intervention that characterised the federal regulatory gestalt.

*On **30 April 2021**, **Canadian Ontario College of Physicians and Surgeons** threatened to de-licence any doctor who prescribed non-vaccine health strategies including vitamin D. 'They are trying to erase any notion of natural immunity', says Canadian vaccine researcher **Dr Jessica Rose**. 'Pretty soon the incessant lies and propaganda will have successfully instilled in the masses that the only hope for staying alive is via injection.*

Instead of urging calm and telling us, as FDR [US president] did during the depths of the depression, that we have nothing to fear but fear itself, all of Dr Fauci's prescriptions and communications seemed intended to maximise stress and trauma: enforced isolation, mandated masking, business closures, evictions and bankruptcies, lockdowns and separating children from parents and parents from grandparents. We now know that fear, stress and trauma wreak havoc on our immune systems.

*Dr Fauci's strategy committed hundreds of billions of societal resources on a high-risk gambit to develop novel technology vaccines and virtually nothing towards developing re-purposed medications that are effective against COVID. "That strategy kept the medical treatment on hold globally for an entire year as a readily treatable respiratory virus ravaged populations," says **Dr Pierre Kory**. "It is absolutely shocking that he recommended no outpatient care, not even vitamin D, which he takes himself, and much of the country is deficient in".*

*Doctors Risch, McCullough and Kory are among the hundreds of scientists and physicians who express shock that the doctor made no effort to identify re-purposed medicines. Says Dr Kory, "I find it appalling that there was no consultation process with treating physicians. Medicine is about consultation. You had **Deborah Birx**, Fauci doing press conferences every day and handing down these arbitrary diktats and not one of them ever treated a Covid patient or worked in an emergency room or ICU. They knew nothing".*

The inventor of the famous **PCR** test – **Dr Kary Mullis** – said something similar about Anthony Fauci. "He knows nothing about anything and I would tell him that to his face". Unfortunately, he didn't get the chance. Kary Mullis died - in what some call suspicious circumstances - in late **2019**, just before C19 escaped. Kary Mullis said very clearly that his award-winning test should NEVER be used to detect viruses. He would have been in direct conflict with the held-up science pushed by Fauci and others had he still been alive.
See **Further Reading** [C-D+K] below.

Dr McCullough argues that, as Covid czar, Dr Fauci should have created an international communications network linking the world's 11 million frontline doctors to gather real time tips, innovative safety protocols and develop the best prophylactic and early treatment practises. "He should have created hotlines and dedicated websites for medical professionals to call in with treatment questions and to consult, collect, catalogue and propagate the latest innovations for prophylaxing vulnerable and exposed individuals and treating early infections, so as to avert hospitalizations.

Well, he didn't – and neither did any government agency.

- 6 I have spent some time looking at one particular public health professional, despite this article being about two cheap, safe and effective drugs. My purpose has been to lay out the landscape – or battleground – such that the government policy can be understood as being visibly steered towards one solution – and one solution only – to combat C19. The vaccine solution.

There wasn't a united front spoken about in the world media – telling people how to bolster their immune systems. There was no talk of certain drugs proving to be effective in keeping people out of hospital. There was no talk of the same drugs having a miraculous effect on people – almost bringing them back from the dead as they lay struggling to breathe in a hospital ward. Well, there was talk going on – but the world's media were silent about that. You're left to draw your own conclusions – raising your own question – why?

But, thankfully, despite the pressure on doctors to toe the line – and say nothing which conflicted with government narrative on the subject – many did. Among them were **Dr Simone Gold**, **Dr Pierre Kory** and **Dr Tess Lawrie**.

- 7 **Dr Simone Gold** spoke eloquently in Washington – standing with a group of supporting medical doctors – about the positive effects resulting from the use of the drug **HCQ**. The video went viral with millions of hits within hours. Social media platforms took it down.

Another supporting doctor unafraid to speak out – **Dr Stella Immanuel** – has also spoken passionately about the drug HCQ – and her video postings on HCQ have been taken down by social media platforms also. These are not isolated events either. The world appears to have been poisoned with a virus which eliminates narratives in conflict with the official versions.

The drug HCQ however became the subject of a study performed by Oxford University in **May 2020**. The study was called the **Recovery Trial**. It included around 170 hospitals across the UK and should have made the case for HCQ being a safe and effective drug. It didn't. See **Further Reading [F-I]** below.

The trial was stopped early, because people were dying in large numbers after having been treated with HCQ. The results of the trial became major news around the world and signalled the official end of HCQ being a drug regarded and accepted as being an early and effective treatment of C19.

The truth is the trial was flawed. Some refer to the trial as being sabotaged. The reason being that the doses of HCQ administered to the trial patients were way in excess of safety limits – as specified in the National Formulary. The patients were killed – and due to the circumstances prevailing and the expert knowledge of the trial executives – they were knowingly killed. See **Further Reading [F-I]** below.

One other interesting fact about this trial, is that it was funded by the funder of most trials of this type – **The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation**. The outcome of the trial opened the path to vaccines being the one solution for combatting C19 – vaccines being heavily invested in by, Bill Gates.

There is a stunning analysis of the HCQ story and it was compiled by another outspoken doctor - **Dr Meryl Nass**. It is attached to this article. See **Further Reading [E]** below.

Note: Early on, doctors found that HCQ was most effective in treating C19 patients in the early stages of infection. They determined that it was an ideal treatment to be administered to patients in the home setting – before their symptoms were allowed to worsen to the point of them requiring hospitalisation. The Recovery Trial was not based on these known observations by doctors already using HCQ. The trial included patients already hospitalised and past the point of HCQ having maximised effect.

Was this incompetence?

Or was there a particular reasoning for conducting the trial as it was?

- 8 Over the Christmas break of **2020**, **Tess Lawrie** listened to **Pierre Kory** speak to the US congress about **Ivermectin** and the success he had had using it to treat his patients. What Pierre Kory said was exceptionally interesting and important to Tess Lawrie. Based in Bath, England, Tess Lawrie leads a private consultancy advising the NHS and the WHO.

Over the holiday, Tess Lawrie compiled a meta-analysis - a compilation of 27 clinical determinations drawn from the results of studies going on around the world involving Ivermectin. The results of the analysis were very clear in showing that Ivermectin was a safe and effective drug for treating patients with C19. Conclusions were drafted and a communication sent to medical officials in the UK government – including the then Health Secretary, **Matt Hancock**. The communication was not responded to or even acknowledged.

Dr Lawrie followed up her urgent communication with a [video communication](#) direct to **PM Boris Johnson**.

The communication prompted the same result.

There was no response and no acknowledgement.

What does that tell anyone?

This fact should tell us that either our government systems or personnel are completely inept – or both – or something else.

Thinking about the *something else* – there's this to consider.

- 9 The vaccines arriving at this time in the UK – early in **2021** - had all been given **EUA**. They were given emergency use authorisation - despite being totally new and untested in the usual way, as pharmaceuticals are required to be – on one basis and one basis **ONLY**. The basis was that there were **NO** alternative, safe and effective treatments available to combat C19. And yet - as we have discovered – there was!

So we might all conclude, that one way or another the seas had been parted to allow the **ONE** and **ONLY** solution to the C19 pandemic.

It was going to be a new vaccine treatment – or gene-therapy as it really is.

You will be wondering why?

For possible answers to that question – it means a dive down the rabbit hole. That dive – if it concerns you – can begin with a visit to the CONNECT Library.

- 10 Before you embark perhaps on that dive of discovery, there is a final twist to ponder relative to Dr Lawrie, Ivermectin and another doctor working for the WHO through his employer – The University of Liverpool.

Inspired by the trial results of Ivermectin – coupled with the recorded results of the drug's effects outside official trials – Dr Lawrie teamed up with Dr Andrew Hill at the university working on a report to go to the WHO. It was a report which would determine the future of the drug as a confirmed safe and effective treatment for C19. An unfavourable report deposited with the WHO would confirm its destiny – meaning that the vaccine would be hailed as the only treatment available in the entire world – and the only treatment which governments around the entire world would allow to be used.

You may guess what happened.

Rather than guess though – you may like to listen to what happened.

[Dr Andrew Hill in conversation about his report to the WHO on Ivermectin.](#)

You will notice that there is mention of other authors – silent voices – involved in the report which was sent to the WHO – in addition to Dr Hill's.

A short while after Dr Hill filed his damning report with the WHO, his employers – the University of Liverpool – received a large tranche of funding from a pharmaceutical industry-based funder.

- 11 The sums of money amassed by the pharmaceutical industry and their related partners in the field - like the **Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation** – are eye-watering and well beyond most people's imagination. It is not beyond people's imagination to believe that without necessary controls over integrity, it is within certain people's capacity to see that these sums could be used for nefarious purposes. Purposes such as influencing decision makers to make certain things happen or maybe not happen.

Whether that is something which has happened in the case of C19 - cheap drugs and expensive vaccines – I'm not saying. I don't need to.

I only present the information contained in the article such that you as the reader can begin – at least begin – to make up your own mind.

What I would say however, is that whatever one chooses to believe, they might go forward from here with a hanging doubt about the past and a certain doubt about placing complete trust in these people in the future. That question of doubt in our minds – could save our lives one day.

The Real Anthony Fauci - authored by Robert F Kennedy Jr - can be purchased in **book shops**, on Amazon or via [Children's Health Defense](#).

PS1 All the above quoted references are included in the CONNECT LIBRARY. The Library is our Records Repository where articles on this and other related subjects can be found. Also to be found are articles linked together in strings. The strings relate to particular threads – connecting articles across different subjects and topics.

The CONNECT LIBRARY

We have compiled a library of interesting articles across a wide range of subjects - and they are all accessible to our interested readers. They take the form of webpages, PDFs, audios and videos.

Some of the articles have been published by CONNECT but many have simply been catalogued for general reference and expanded research.

Further articles relative to the subjects covered in *this* magazine article can be read under their respective headings, in our different Reading Rooms.

The CONNECT Library is free to join and is being added to every week. [Register here today](#) and gain access to thousands of articles on your subjects of interest – using it as your reference library to return to whenever you wish.

CONNECT'S Maxim and Oath

Connect is only interested in finding and sharing the TRUTH.
In search of that TRUTH, we only pose questions – we have no answers.

By: David Charles (and Robert F Kennedy Jr)

Contact/Source: CONNECT: [Magazine](#)

- 4 [LINK](#) **The Guardian:** Hydroxychloroquine: how an unproven drug became Trump's coronavirus 'miracle cure'
- 8 [LINK](#) **Dr Tess Lawrie:** Communication to PM Boris Johnson **Jan21**
- 10 [LINK](#) **Oracle Films:** A Letter to Andrew Hill | Dr Tess Lawrie

FURTHER READING

- A [LINK](#) **VAXXED Documentary** - From Cover-up To Catastrophy
- B [LINK](#) **VAXXED II Documentary** - The People's Truth
- C [LINK](#) **Prof Kary Mullis:** Extended interview with Gary Null
- D [LINK](#) **Prof Kary Mullis:** Short compilation of revealing commentary
- E [LINK](#) **Dr Meryl Nass:** The story of HCQ plus [additional references](#)
- F [LINK](#) **Dr John Campbell:** HCQ Trials summary analysis
- G [LINK](#) **Dr John Campbell:** HCQ Trial doses dangerously exceeded in trials
- H [LINK](#) **France Soir:** Interview with Prof Martin Landray on Oxford HCQ trial
- I [LINK](#) **France Soir:** Clip of Prof Landray being unsure about safe dosages

- J [LINK](#) **The Highwire:** WHO consultant exposes takedown of Ivermectin
- K [LINK](#) **Amazing Polly:** Analysis of Deborah Birx – Assistant to Dr Fauci
- L [LINK](#) **BBC:** Ivermectin: How false science created a Covid 'miracle' drug

PLEASE DOWNLOAD AND SHARE THIS ARTICLE



Opportunity to join the CONNECT [team and network](#)

END